

## Vector-valued quadratic forms in control theory

Francesco Bullo\*    Jorge Cortés†    Andrew D. Lewis‡    Sonia Martínez§

2003/02/05

### 1. Problem statement and historical remarks

For finite dimensional  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector spaces  $U$  and  $V$  we consider a symmetric bilinear map  $B: U \times U \rightarrow V$ . This then defines a quadratic map  $Q_B: U \rightarrow V$  by  $Q_B(u) = B(u, u)$ . Corresponding to each  $\lambda \in V^*$  is a  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued quadratic form  $\lambda Q_B$  on  $U$  defined by  $\lambda Q_B(u) = \lambda \cdot Q_B(u)$ .  $B$  is *definite* if there exists  $\lambda \in V^*$  so that  $\lambda Q_B$  is positive-definite.  $B$  is *indefinite* if for each  $\lambda \in V^* \setminus \text{ann}(\text{image}(Q_B))$ ,  $\lambda Q_B$  is neither positive nor negative-semidefinite, where  $\text{ann}$  denotes the annihilator.

Given a symmetric bilinear map  $B: U \times U \rightarrow V$ , the problems we consider are as follows.

1. Find necessary and sufficient conditions characterizing when  $Q_B$  is surjective.
2. If  $Q_B$  is surjective and  $v \in V$ , design an algorithm to find a point  $u \in Q_B^{-1}(v)$ .
3. Find necessary and sufficient conditions to determine when  $B$  is indefinite.

From the computational point of view, the first two questions are the more interesting ones. Both can be shown to be NP-complete, whereas the third one can be recast as a semidefinite programming problem.<sup>1</sup> Actually, our main interest is in a geometric characterization of these problems. Section 4 below constitutes an initial attempt to unveil the essential geometry behind these questions. By understanding the geometry of the problem properly, one may be lead to simple characterizations like the one presented in Proposition 3, which turn out to be checkable in polynomial time for certain classes of quadratic mappings.

---

\*Associate Professor, MECHANICAL ENGINEERING, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT SANTA BARBARA, ENGINEERING II BLDG., SANTA BARBARA, CA 93106-5070, U.S.A.

Email: bullo@engineering.ucsb.edu, URL: <http://motion.mee.ucsb.edu/>

Work performed while an Assistant Professor at the University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign

†Assistant Professor, APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MAILSTOP SOE2, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT SANTA CRUZ, 1156 HIGH STREET, SANTA CRUZ, CA 95064, U.S.A.

Email: jcortes@uiuc.edu

Research performed while Postdoctoral Researcher at University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign.

‡Professor, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, QUEEN'S UNIVERSITY, KINGSTON, ON K7L 3N6, CANADA

Email: andrew.lewis@queensu.ca, URL: <http://www.mast.queensu.ca/~andrew/>

Research partially supported by a grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

§Assistant Professor, MECHANICAL AND AEROSPACE ENGINEERING, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO, 9500 GILMAN DR, LA JOLLA, CA 92093-0411, U.S.A.

Email: soniamd@ucsd.edu

Research performs while a graduate student at University of Carlos III of Madrid.

<sup>1</sup>We thank an anonymous referee for these observations.

Before we comment on how our problem impinges on control theory, let us provide some historical context for it as a purely mathematical one. The classification of  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued quadratic forms is well understood. However, for quadratic maps taking values in vector spaces of dimension two or higher, the classification problem becomes more difficult. The theory can be thought of as beginning with the work of Kronecker, who obtained a finite classification for pairs of symmetric matrices. For three or more symmetric matrices, that the classification problem has an uncountable number of equivalence classes for a given dimension of the domain follows from the work of Kac [1983]. For quadratic forms, in a series of papers Dines (see [Dines 1943] and references cited therein) investigated conditions when a finite collection of  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued quadratic maps were simultaneously positive-definite. The study of vector-valued quadratic maps is ongoing. A recent paper is [Leep and Schueller 1999], to which we refer for other references.

## 2. Control theoretic motivation

Interestingly and perhaps not obviously, vector-valued quadratic forms come up in a variety of places in control theory. We list a few of these here.

*Optimal control:*

Agrachev [1990a] explicitly realises second-order conditions for optimality in terms of vector-valued quadratic maps. The geometric approach leads naturally to the consideration of vector-valued quadratic maps, and here the necessary conditions involve definiteness of these maps. Agrachev [1990b] and Agrachev and Gamkrelidze [1991] look at the map  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda Q_B$  from  $V^*$  into the set of vector-valued quadratic maps. Since  $\lambda Q_B$  is a  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued quadratic form, one can talk about its *index* and *rank* (the number of  $-1$ 's and nonzero terms, respectively, along the diagonal when the form is diagonalised). In [Agrachev 1990b, Agrachev and Gamkrelidze 1991] the topology of the surfaces of constant index of the map  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda Q_B$  is investigated.

*Local controllability:*

The use of vector-valued quadratic forms arises from the attempt to arrive at feedback-invariant conditions for controllability. Basto-Gonçalves [1998] gives a second-order sufficient condition for local controllability, one of whose hypotheses is that a certain vector-valued quadratic map be indefinite (although the condition is not stated in this way). This condition is somewhat refined in [Bullo and Lewis 2005], and a necessary condition for local controllability is also given. Included in the hypotheses of the latter is the condition that a certain vector-valued quadratic map be definite.

*Control design via power series methods and singular inversion:*

Numerous control design problems can be tackled using power series and inversion methods. The early references [Al'brekht 1961, Halme 1975] show how to solve the optimal regulator problem and the recent work in [Cerven and Bullo 2003] proposes local steering algorithms. These strong results apply to linearly controllable systems, and no general methods are yet available under only second-order sufficient controllability conditions. While for linearly controllable systems the classic inverse function theorem suffices, the key requirement for

second-order controllable systems is the ability to check surjectivity and compute an inverse function for certain vector-valued quadratic forms.

*Dynamic feedback linearisation:*

Sluis [1993] gives a necessary condition for the dynamic feedback linearisation of a system

$$\dot{x} = f(x, u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

The condition is that for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the set  $D_x = \{f(x, u) \in T_x\mathbb{R}^n \mid u \in \mathbb{R}^m\}$  admits a *ruling*, that is, a foliation of  $D_x$  by lines. Some manipulations with differential forms turns this necessary condition into one involving a symmetric bilinear map  $B$ . The condition, it turns out, is that  $Q_B^{-1}(0) \neq \{0\}$ . This is shown by Agrachev [1990b] to generically imply that  $Q_B$  is surjective.

### 3. Known results

Let us state a few results along the lines of our problem statement that are known to the authors. The first is readily shown to be true (see [Bullo and Lewis 2005] for the proof). If  $X$  is a topological space with subsets  $A \subset S \subset X$ , we denote by  $\text{int}_S(A)$  the interior of  $A$  relative to the induced topology on  $S$ . If  $S \subset V$ ,  $\text{aff}(S)$  and  $\text{conv}(S)$  denote, respectively, the affine hull and the convex hull of  $S$ .

**Proposition 1** *Let  $B: U \times U \rightarrow V$  be a symmetric bilinear map with  $U$  and  $V$  finite-dimensional. The following statements hold:*

- (i)  *$B$  is indefinite if and only if  $0 \in \text{int}_{\text{aff}(\text{image}(Q_B))}(\text{conv}(\text{image}(Q_B)))$ ;*
- (ii)  *$B$  is definite if and only if there exists a hyperplane  $P \subset V$  so that  $\text{image}(Q_B) \cap P = \{0\}$  and so that  $\text{image}(Q_B)$  lies on one side of  $P$ ;*
- (iii) *if  $Q_B$  is surjective then  $B$  is indefinite.*

The converse of (iii) is false. The quadratic map from  $\mathbb{R}^3$  to  $\mathbb{R}^3$  defined by  $Q_B(x, y, z) = (xy, xz, yz)$  may be shown to be indefinite but not surjective.

Agrachev and Sarychev [1996] prove the following result. We denote by  $\text{ind}(Q)$  the index of a quadratic map  $Q: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  on a vector space  $U$ .

**Proposition 2** *Let  $B: U \times U \rightarrow V$  be a symmetric bilinear map with  $V$  finite-dimensional. If  $\text{ind}(\lambda Q_B) \geq \dim(V)$  for any  $\lambda \in V^* \setminus \{0\}$  then  $Q_B$  is surjective.*

This sufficient condition for surjectivity is not necessary. The quadratic map from  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to  $\mathbb{R}^2$  given by  $Q_B(x, y) = (x^2 - y^2, xy)$  is surjective, but does not satisfy the hypotheses of Proposition 2.

### 4. Problem simplification

One of the difficulties with studying vector-valued quadratic maps is that they are somewhat difficult to get ones hands on. However, it turns out to be possible to simplify their study by a reduction to a rather concrete problem. Here we describe this process, only

sketching the details of how to go from a given symmetric bilinear map  $B: U \times U \rightarrow V$  to the reformulated end problem. We first simplify the problem by imposing an inner product on  $U$  and choosing an orthonormal basis so that we may take  $U = \mathbb{R}^n$ .

We let  $\text{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})$  denote the set of symmetric  $n \times n$  matrices with entries in  $\mathbb{R}$ . On  $\text{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})$  we use the canonical inner product

$$\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \rangle = \text{tr}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}).$$

We consider the map  $\pi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \text{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})$  defined by  $\pi(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^t$ , where  $^t$  denotes transpose. Thus the image of  $\pi$  is the set of symmetric matrices of rank at most one. If we identify  $\text{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $\pi(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{x}$ . Let  $K_n$  be the image of  $\pi$  and note that it is a cone of dimension  $n$  in  $\text{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})$  having a singularity only at its vertex at the origin. Furthermore,  $K_n$  may be shown to be a subset of the hypercone in  $\text{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})$  defined by those matrices  $\mathbf{A}$  in  $\text{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})$  forming angle  $\arccos(\frac{1}{n})$  with the identity matrix. Thus the ray from the origin in  $\text{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})$  through the identity matrix is an axis for the cone  $K_n$ . In algebraic geometry, the image of  $K_n$  under the projectivisation of  $\text{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})$  is known as the *Veronese surface* [Harris 1992], and as such is well-studied, although perhaps not along lines that bear directly on the problems of interest in this article.

We now let  $B: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow V$  be a symmetric bilinear map with  $V$  finite-dimensional. Using the universal mapping property of the tensor product,  $B$  induces a linear map  $\tilde{B}: \text{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow V$  with the property that  $\tilde{B} \circ \pi = B$ . The dual of this map gives an injective linear map  $\tilde{B}^*: V^* \rightarrow \text{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})$  (here we assume that the image of  $B$  spans  $V$ ). By an appropriate choice of inner product on  $V$  one can render the embedding  $\tilde{B}^*$  an isometric embedding of  $V$  in  $\text{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})$ . Let us denote by  $L_B$  the image of  $V$  under this isometric embedding. One may then show that with these identifications, the image of  $Q_B$  in  $V$  is the orthogonal projection of  $K_n$  onto the subspace  $L_B$ . Thus we reduce the problem to one of orthogonal projection of a canonical object,  $K_n$ , onto a subspace in  $\text{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})$ ! To simplify things further, we decompose  $L_B$  into a component along the identity matrix in  $\text{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})$  and a component orthogonal to the identity matrix. However, the matrices orthogonal to the identity are readily seen to simply be the traceless  $n \times n$  symmetric matrices. Using our picture of  $K_n$  as a subset of a hypercone having as an axis the ray through the identity matrix, we see that questions of surjectivity, indefiniteness, and definiteness of  $B$  impact only on the projection of  $K_n$  onto that component of  $L_B$  orthogonal to the identity matrix.

The following summarises the above discussion.

*The problem of studying the image of a vector-valued quadratic form can be reduced to studying the orthogonal projection of  $K_n \subset \text{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})$ , the unprojectivised Veronese surface, onto a subspace of the space of traceless symmetric matrices.*

This is, we think, a beautiful interpretation of the study of vector-valued quadratic mappings, and will surely be a useful formulation of the problem. For example, with it one easily proves the following result.

**Proposition 3** *If  $\dim(U) = \dim(V) = 2$  with  $B: U \times U \rightarrow V$  a symmetric bilinear map, then  $Q_B$  is surjective if and only if  $B$  is indefinite.*

## References

- Agrachev, A. A. [1990a] *Quadratic mappings in geometric control theory*, Journal of Soviet Mathematics, **51**(6), pages 2667–2734, ISSN: 0090-4104, DOI: [10.1007/BF01095430](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01095430).
- [1990b] *The topology of quadratic mappings and Hessians of smooth mappings*, Journal of Soviet Mathematics, **49**(3), pages 990–1013, ISSN: 0090-4104, DOI: [10.1007/BF02133177](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02133177).
- Agrachev, A. A. and Gamkrelidze, R. V. [1991] *Quadratic mappings and vector functions: Euler characteristics of level sets*, Journal of Soviet Mathematics, **55**(4), pages 1892–1928, ISSN: 0090-4104, DOI: [10.1007/BF01095139](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01095139).
- Agrachev, A. A. and Sarychev, A. V. [1996] *Abnormal sub-Riemannian geodesics: Morse index and rigidity*, Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré. Analyse Non Linéaire, **13**(6), pages 635–690, ISSN: 0294-1449, URL: <http://eudml.org/doc/78396> (visited on 07/10/2014).
- Al'brekht, É. G. [1961] *On the optimal stabilization of nonlinear systems*, Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, Translation of *Rossiiskaya Akademiya Nauk. Prikladnaya Matematika i Mekhanika*, **25**, pages 1254–1266, ISSN: 0021-8928, DOI: [10.1016/0021-8928\(61\)90005-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8928(61)90005-3).
- Basto-Gonçalves, J. [1998] *Second-order conditions for local controllability*, Systems & Control Letters, **35**(5), pages 287–290, ISSN: 0167-6911, DOI: [10.1016/S0167-6911\(98\)00067-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-6911(98)00067-X).
- Bullo, F. and Lewis, A. D. [2005] *Low-order controllability and kinematic reductions for affine connection control systems*, SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization, **44**(3), pages 885–908, ISSN: 0363-0129, DOI: [10.1137/S0363012903421182](https://doi.org/10.1137/S0363012903421182).
- Cerven, W. T. and Bullo, F. [2003] *Constructive controllability algorithms for motion planning and optimization*, Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers. Transactions on Automatic Control, **48**(4), pages 575–589, ISSN: 0018-9286, DOI: [10.1109/TAC.2003.809798](https://doi.org/10.1109/TAC.2003.809798).
- Dines, L. L. [1943] *On linear combinations of quadratic forms*, American Mathematical Society. Bulletin. New Series, **49**, pages 388–393, ISSN: 0273-0979, DOI: [10.1090/S0002-9904-1943-07925-6](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9904-1943-07925-6).
- Halme, A. [1975] *On the nonlinear regulator problem*, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, **16**(3-4), pages 255–275, ISSN: 0022-3239, DOI: [10.1007/BF01262936](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01262936).
- Harris, J. [1992] *Algebraic Geometry, A First Course*, number 133 in Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag: New York/Heidelberg/Berlin, ISBN: 978-0-387-97716-4.
- Kac, V. G. [1983] *Root Systems, Representations of Quivers and Invariant Theory*, number 996 in Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag: New York/Heidelberg/Berlin, ISBN: 978-3-540-12319-4.
- Leep, D. B. and Schueller, L. M. [1999] *Classification of pairs of symmetric and alternating bilinear forms*, Expositiones Mathematicae, **17**(5), pages 385–414, ISSN: 0723-0869.
- Sluis, W. M. [1993] *A necessary condition for dynamic feedback linearization*, Systems & Control Letters, **21**(4), pages 277–283, ISSN: 0167-6911, DOI: [10.1016/0167-6911\(93\)90069-I](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-6911(93)90069-I).