

# Solutions 09

**P9.1.** i. Express the ring  $\mathbb{Z}/\langle 720 \rangle$  as a product of three quotient rings.

ii. Exhibit elements  $e_1, e_2,$  and  $e_3$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/\langle 720 \rangle$  such that

$$e_1^2 = e_1, \quad e_2^2 = e_2, \quad e_3^2 = e_3, \quad e_2 e_3 = 0, \quad e_1 e_3 = 0, \quad e_1 e_2 = 0,$$

$$\text{and } [1]_{720} = e_1 + e_2 + e_3.$$

*Solution.* Set  $R := \mathbb{Z}/\langle 720 \rangle$ .

i. Since

$$\begin{aligned} 4(16) - 7(9) &= 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{720}, \\ 1(16) - 3(5) &= 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{720}, \quad \text{and} \\ -1(9) + 2(5) &= 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{720}, \end{aligned}$$

we see that  $\langle [16]_{720} \rangle + \langle [9]_{720} \rangle = R$ ,  $\langle [16]_{720} \rangle + \langle [5]_{720} \rangle = R$ , and  $\langle [9]_{720} \rangle + \langle [5]_{720} \rangle = R$ . As  $720 = (2^4)(3^2)(5)$ , the least common multiple of  $2^4 = 16$ ,  $3^2 = 9$ , and 5 equals 720, so  $\langle [16]_{720} \rangle \langle [9]_{720} \rangle \langle [5]_{720} \rangle = \langle [16]_{720} \rangle \cap \langle [9]_{720} \rangle \cap \langle [5]_{720} \rangle = \langle [0]_{720} \rangle$ . Hence, the Remainder Theorem shows that  $\mathbb{Z}/\langle 720 \rangle$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/\langle 16 \rangle \times \mathbb{Z}/\langle 9 \rangle \times \mathbb{Z}/\langle 5 \rangle$ .

ii. Since

$$\begin{aligned} [225]_{720}^2 &= [50625]_{720} = [70(720) + 225]_{720} = [225]_{720} \\ [576]_{720}^2 &= [331776]_{720} = [460(720) + 576]_{720} = [576]_{720} \\ [640]_{720}^2 &= [409600]_{720} = [568(720) + 640]_{720} = [640]_{720} \\ [225]_{720} [576]_{720} &= [129600]_{720} = [180(720) + 0]_{720} = [0]_{720} \\ [225]_{720} [640]_{720} &= [144000]_{720} = [200(720) + 0]_{720} = [0]_{720} \\ [576]_{720} [640]_{720} &= [368640]_{720} = [512(720) + 0]_{720} = [0]_{720} \end{aligned}$$

and  $[225]_{720} + [576]_{720} + [640]_{720} = [1441]_{720} = [2(720) + 1]_{720} = [1]_{720}$ , we see that the elements  $e_1 := [225]_{720}$ ,  $e_2 := [576]_{720}$ , and  $e_3 := [640]_{720}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/\langle 720 \rangle$  have the desired properties.  $\square$

**Remark.** Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [16]_{720} \rangle &= \langle [(31)(16)]_{720} \rangle = \langle [496]_{720} \rangle = \langle [1 - 225]_{720} \rangle, \\ \langle [9]_{720} \rangle &= \langle [(9)(81)]_{720} \rangle = \langle [81]_{720} \rangle = \langle [(9)(9)]_{720} \rangle = \langle [1 - 640]_{720} \rangle, \quad \text{and} \\ \langle [5]_{720} \rangle &= \langle [(29)(5)]_{720} \rangle = \langle [145]_{720} \rangle = \langle [1 - 576]_{720} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

**P9.2.** i. Let  $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$  be a ring homomorphism between commutative rings. Assume that the subsets  $D$  in  $R$  and  $E$  in  $S$  are multiplicative and satisfy  $\varphi(D) \subseteq E$ . Prove that there exists a unique ring homomorphism  $\hat{\varphi}: R[D^{-1}] \rightarrow S[E^{-1}]$  such that  $\hat{\varphi}(r/1) = \varphi(r)/1$  for all  $r$  in  $R$ .

ii. Demonstrate that any automorphism of a domain admits a unique extension to its field of fractions.

*Solution.*

i. Let  $\eta: R \rightarrow R[D^{-1}]$  and  $\theta: S \rightarrow S[E^{-1}]$  be the canonical ring homomorphisms associated to rings of fractions. By definition, we have  $\eta(r) = r/1$  for any  $r$  in  $R$

and  $\theta(s) = s/1$  for any  $s \in S$ . Hence, the claim is equivalent to proving that there exists a unique ring homomorphism  $\hat{\varphi}: R[D^{-1}] \rightarrow S[E^{-1}]$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & S \\ \eta \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta \\ R[D^{-1}] & \xrightarrow{\hat{\varphi}} & S[E^{-1}] \end{array}$$

commutes. Since  $\varphi(D) \subseteq E$ , it follows that, for any element  $d$  in  $D$ , its image  $(\theta \varphi)(d) = \eta(\varphi(d)) = \varphi(d)/1$  is a unit in the ring  $S[E^{-1}]$ . Hence, the universal property of  $R[D^{-1}]$ , applied to the composite map  $\theta \varphi: R \rightarrow S[E^{-1}]$ , shows that there is a unique ring homomorphism  $\hat{\varphi}: R[D^{-1}] \rightarrow S[E^{-1}]$  such that  $\theta \varphi = \hat{\varphi} \eta$ .

- ii. Let  $R$  be a commutative domain. Setting  $D := R \setminus \{0_R\}$ , the ring  $R[D^{-1}]$  is its field of fractions and  $\eta: R \rightarrow R[D^{-1}]$  is the canonical ring homomorphism.

Suppose that  $\varphi: R \rightarrow R$  is an automorphism of  $R$ . By definition, there exists a ring homomorphism  $\psi: R \rightarrow R$  such that  $\varphi \psi = \text{id}_R$  and  $\psi \varphi = \text{id}_R$ . Applying part i twice, there exists unique ring homomorphisms  $\hat{\varphi}: R[D^{-1}] \rightarrow R[D^{-1}]$  and  $\hat{\psi}: R[D^{-1}] \rightarrow R[D^{-1}]$  such that  $\hat{\varphi} \eta = \eta \varphi$  and  $\hat{\psi} \eta = \eta \psi$  or, equivalently, we have the following commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & R \\ \eta \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta \\ R[D^{-1}] & \xrightarrow{\hat{\varphi}} & R[D^{-1}] \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\psi} & R \\ \eta \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta \\ R[D^{-1}] & \xrightarrow{\hat{\psi}} & R[D^{-1}] \end{array} .$$

It follows that

$$\eta = \eta \text{id}_R = \eta \varphi \psi = \hat{\varphi} \eta \psi = \hat{\varphi} \hat{\psi} \eta \quad \text{and} \quad \eta = \eta \text{id}_R = \eta \psi \varphi = \hat{\psi} \eta \varphi = \hat{\psi} \hat{\varphi} \eta .$$

Using part i a third time, the identity map  $\text{id}_{R[D^{-1}]}: R[D^{-1}] \rightarrow R[D^{-1}]$  is the unique ring homomorphism such that  $\eta \text{id}_{R[D^{-1}]} = \text{id}_R \eta = \eta$ . Hence, we deduce that  $\hat{\varphi} \hat{\psi} = \text{id}_{R[D^{-1}]}$  and  $\hat{\psi} \hat{\varphi} = \text{id}_{R[D^{-1}]}$ . In other words, the automorphism  $\varphi: R \rightarrow R$  has the unique extension  $\hat{\varphi}: R[D^{-1}] \rightarrow R[D^{-1}]$ .  $\square$

**P9.3.** Describe all of the maximal ideals in the product ring  $\mathbb{Z}/\langle 343 \rangle \times \mathbb{Z}/\langle 343 \rangle$ .

*Solution.* Given the inclusion  $\langle 343 \rangle = \langle 7^3 \rangle \subset \langle 7 \rangle$  of ideals in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}$  of integers, the Induced Map Lemma, applied to the identity map  $\text{id}_{\mathbb{Z}}: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ , produces the ring homomorphism  $\overline{\text{id}_{\mathbb{Z}}}: \mathbb{Z}/\langle 343 \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/\langle 7 \rangle$ . As  $\mathbb{Z}/\langle 7 \rangle$  is a field, it has only two ideals:  $\langle [0]_7 \rangle$  and  $\langle [1]_7 \rangle$ . Hence, the Correspondence Theorem establishes that there are only two ideals in  $\mathbb{Z}/\langle 343 \rangle$  containing the ideal  $\langle [7]_{343} \rangle$ , namely  $\langle [7]_{343} \rangle$  and  $\langle [1]_{343} \rangle$ . It follows that  $\langle [7]_{343} \rangle$  is a maximal ideal in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}/\langle 343 \rangle$ . On the other hand, any integer  $m$  not divisible by 7 is coprime to 343, so the congruence class  $[m]_{343}$  is a unit in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}/\langle 343 \rangle$ . We deduce that the only ideal with an element not contained in  $\langle [7]_{343} \rangle$  is the ideal  $\langle [1]_{343} \rangle = R$ . Thus, the unique maximal ideal in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}/\langle 343 \rangle$  is  $\langle [7]_{343} \rangle$ .

Since addition and multiplication are defined componentwise on a product of rings, we see that the ideals in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}/\langle 343 \rangle \times \mathbb{Z}/\langle 343 \rangle$  are all of the form  $I \times J$  where  $I$  and

$J$  are ideals in the quotient ring  $\mathbb{Z}/\langle 343 \rangle$ . Hence, a maximal ideal has one factor that is a maximal ideal in  $\mathbb{Z}/\langle 343 \rangle$  and another factor that is  $\langle [1]_{343} \rangle = \mathbb{Z}/\langle 343 \rangle$ . In particular, the two maximal ideals in the product ring  $\mathbb{Z}/\langle 343 \rangle \times \mathbb{Z}/\langle 343 \rangle$  are  $\langle [7]_{343} \rangle \times \langle [1]_{343} \rangle$  and  $\langle [1]_{343} \rangle \times \langle [7]_{343} \rangle$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** Basically the same argument shows that, for any positive integer  $m$  and any positive prime integer  $p$ , the ring  $\mathbb{Z}/\langle p^m \rangle$  has  $\langle [p]_{p^m} \rangle$  as its unique maximal ideal.

Fix a positive integer  $e$ . For any positive integers  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_e$ , and any positive prime integers  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_e$ , the product ring

$$\prod_{j=1}^e \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{\langle p_j^{m_j} \rangle} = \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{\langle p_1^{m_1} \rangle} \times \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{\langle p_2^{m_2} \rangle} \times \cdots \times \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{\langle p_e^{m_e} \rangle}$$

has  $e$  distinct maximal ideals: namely, the ideal

$$\left( \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{\langle p_i^{m_i} \rangle} \right) \times \langle [p_j]_{p_j^{m_j}} \rangle \times \left( \prod_{k=j+1}^e \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{\langle p_k^{m_k} \rangle} \right),$$

for all  $1 \leq j \leq e$ .