



SMR.637/34

**ADVANCED WORKSHOP ON ARITHMETIC ALGEBRAIC
GEOMETRY**
(31 August - 11 September 1992)

Abelian Varieties/ \mathbb{C} and Theta-Divisors

E. Kani
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Queens University
Jeffrey Hall
Kingston
K7L 3N6 Ontario
Canada

These are preliminary lecture notes, intended only for distribution to participants

Abelian Varieties / \mathbb{C} and Theta-Divisors31. Basic facts of complex tori (cf. [H1], ch. 1, §1)

Let X be a compact, connected complex Lie group of dimension g (i.e. a compact connected complex manifold of dim. g with a holomorphic group structure).

Fact 1. X is a complex torus in two ways:

- a) Let $V = T_e(X) \cong \mathbb{C}^g$ denote the tangent space of X at the identity. Then the exponential map
- $$\exp: V \rightarrow X$$
- induces an isomorphism of complex Lie groups:

$$(1) \quad V/\Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} X,$$

where $\Lambda = \text{Ker}(\exp)$ is a lattice in V .

- b) Let $W = H^0(X, \mathbb{C})$ denote the space of hol. 1-forms.

Then the period map

$$p: H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow W^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(W, \mathbb{C})$$

$$r \mapsto (\omega \mapsto \int_r \omega)$$

is injective, and its image is a lattice $\Lambda_1 \subset W^*$.

Thus the map

$$X \rightarrow W^*/\Lambda_1$$

$$x \mapsto (\omega \mapsto \int_0^1 \omega \text{ mod } \Lambda_1)$$

is well-defined, and one checks that this is an isomorphism. Thus one has the canonical identification

$$(2) \quad X \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(X, \mathbb{C})^* / pH_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$$

Note that these two descriptions are inverse to each other via the canonical identification

$$T_e(X) = V = W^* = H^1(X, \mathbb{C})^*$$

which is obtained by dualizing the map

$$(3) \quad T_e(X)^* \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(X, \mathbb{C})_{\text{inv}} = H^0(X, \mathbb{C})$$

$$\alpha \mapsto \omega_\alpha$$

where ω_α denotes the translation-invariant holomorphic 1-form defined by $(\omega_\alpha)_x = T_x^*(\alpha)$. Here $T_x: X \rightarrow X$ denotes the translation map $T_x(y) = x+y$.

Fact 2. $H^r(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Alt}^r(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}), \quad \forall r \geq 0$

Let $\pi: V \rightarrow X$ denote the projection map. Then (V, π) is clearly the universal covering space of X ,

and so we have

$$(4) \quad \pi_1(X) = \Lambda \quad (\cong \mathbb{Z}^{2g}).$$

Thus

$$(5a) \quad H^r(X, \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Hom}(\pi_1(X), \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Alt}^r(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Furthermore, cupproduct induces a map

$$\wedge^r H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^r(X, \mathbb{Z})$$

which one checks to be an isomorphism by applying the K nneth formula to $\mathbb{C}^g/\Lambda \cong (S^1)^{2g}$ (homeomorphism).

Thus we obtain the identification

$$(5b) \quad H^r(X, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \wedge^r \text{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Alt}^r(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z})$$

"
 space of alternating r -forms
 $\alpha: \underbrace{\Lambda \times \dots \times \Lambda}_r \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$

Fact 3. $\text{Hom}(V/\Lambda, V'/\Lambda') = \{\lambda \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V, V') : \lambda(\Lambda) \subset \Lambda'\}$

Let $X' = V'/\Lambda'$ be another complex torus, and consider

$$\text{Hom}(X, X') := \{h: X \rightarrow X' \text{ s.t. } h \text{ is holo. with } h(0) = 0\}.$$

Each $h \in \text{Hom}(X, X')$ induces by description 1a) a linear map $\lambda = dh \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V, V')$ on the tangent spaces

which, by description 1b), satisfies $\lambda(\Lambda) \subset \Lambda'$.

Conversely, each $\lambda \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V, V')$ with $\lambda(\Lambda) \subset \Lambda'$ defines a holo. map $\bar{\lambda}: X = V/\Lambda \rightarrow V'/\Lambda'$. Since V and V' are also the universal covering spaces of X and X' , it follows that $\lambda \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}$ is injective, and so we obtain the indicated equality.

In particular:

- 1) Every $h \in \text{Hom}(X, X')$ is a group homomorphism.
- 2) Every holo. map $f: X \rightarrow X'$ is of the form $f(x) = h(x) + y$, where $h \in \text{Hom}(X, X')$ is a homomorphism and $y = f(0)$.

Furthermore:

- 3) The induced map

$$(6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}(X, X') & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda, \Lambda') \\ h & \mapsto & dh|_{\Lambda} \end{array}$$

is injective (since Λ contains a \mathbb{C} -basis of V), and so $\text{Hom}(X, X')$ is free \mathbb{Z} -module of finite rank; in fact, we have

$$(7) \quad \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}(X, X') = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda, \Lambda') = 4gg'.$$

Note that the above map (6) may be (canonically!) identified with the homology map

$$H_1: \text{Hom}(X, X') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}), H_1(X', \mathbb{Z}))$$

$$f \mapsto H_1(f)$$

via the identifications $\Lambda = \pi_1(X) = H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$

and $\Lambda' = \pi_1(X') = H_1(X', \mathbb{Z})$.

Fact 4. $H^q(X, \Omega^p) \cong \Lambda^p V^* \otimes \Lambda^q \bar{V}^*$, where $V = T_x(X)$,
 $V^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-linear}}(V, \mathbb{C})$, $\bar{V}^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-anti-linear}}(V, \mathbb{C})$.

The identification (3) generalizes to yield sheaf isomorphisms

$$(8) \quad \mathcal{O}_X \otimes \Lambda^p V^* \cong \Omega^p,$$

from which we obtain

$$(9) \quad H^q(X, \Omega^p) \cong H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes \Lambda^p V^*.$$

Much more difficult, however, is to show that

$$(10) \quad H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \Lambda^q \bar{V}^*,$$

where $\bar{V}^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-anti-linear}}(V^*, \mathbb{C})$, from which fact 4 follows in view of (9). (For the proof of (10),

cf. [H], pp 4-8).

In particular: $H^1(X, \Omega^1) \cong V^* \otimes \bar{V}^* = \text{Herm}(V)$, ⁶

where $\text{Herm}(V) = \{ H: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \begin{array}{l} H(\cdot, v) \text{ } \mathbb{C}\text{-linear,} \\ H(v, \cdot) \text{ } \mathbb{C}\text{-anti-linear} \end{array} \}$
denotes the space of hermitian forms on V .

Fact 5. The above isomorphisms render the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^n(X, \mathbb{Z}) & \cong & \text{Alt}^n(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}) = \Lambda^n \text{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}) \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha^* i \\ H^n(X, \mathbb{C}) & \cong & \Lambda^n (V^* \oplus \bar{V}^*) = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} \Lambda^p V^* \otimes \Lambda^q \bar{V}^* \\ \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ H^n(X, \mathcal{O}_X) & \cong & \Lambda^n (\bar{V}^*) \end{array}$$

Here, α, β are the maps induced by the inclusion of sheaves $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{C} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$, and $i: \text{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow V^* \oplus \bar{V}^*$ is the can. inclusion. Finally, p denotes the projection onto the $p=0, q=n$ factor.

§2. Line bundles on X

To construct line bundles on $X = V/\Lambda$, let us start with the trivial line bundle $\tilde{L} = V \times \mathbb{C}$ on V . If \tilde{L} admits a Λ -action of the form

$$(1) \quad \lambda \cdot (v, z) = (v + \lambda, e_\lambda(v) \cdot z),$$

where $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $v \in V$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $e_\lambda(v) \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, then we can consider the quotient

$$L(\{e_\lambda\}) = V \times \mathbb{C} / \Lambda \quad \xrightarrow{\text{action via (1)}}.$$

One easily checks:

(2) $\text{pr}_1: L(\{e_\lambda\}) \rightarrow V/\Lambda$ is a holo. line bundle on X
 $\Leftrightarrow \{e_\lambda\} \in Z^1(\Lambda, H^0(V, \mathcal{O}^*))$ is a 1-cocycle,
 i.e. $e_\lambda \in H^0(V, \mathcal{O}^*)$, $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda$ and we have

$$(2a) \quad e_{\lambda + \lambda'}(v) = e_\lambda(v + \lambda') \cdot e_{\lambda'}(v), \quad \forall \lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda, v \in V.$$

In fact, since every line bundle on V is trivial (because $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}^*) = 0$)*, one sees easily

) Since $\forall q > 0$ $H^q(V, \mathcal{O}) = 0$ ($\bar{\partial}$ -Poincaré lemma) and $H^q(V, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ ($V \simeq \mathbb{C}^n$ contractible), it follows from the exponential sequence that $H^1(V, \mathcal{O}^) = 0$.

by pulling line bundles on X back to V that every holomorphic line bundle $L \in \text{Pic}(X)$ on X arises in this way. Moreover, one checks easily that we have an isomorphism:

$$(3) \quad H^1(\Lambda, H^0(V, \mathcal{O}^*)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(X, \mathcal{O}^*) = \text{Pic}(X).$$

Here, the group on the left is the usual 1st cohomology group $H^1 = Z^1/B^1$ in group cohomology.

We now want to arrive at a convenient representation of this cohomology group. To this end, let

$$\text{Herm}(V, \Lambda) = \{ H \in \text{Herm}(V) \cdot (\text{Im } H)_{/\Lambda \cdot \Lambda} \subset \mathbb{Z} \}$$

and for a hermitian form $H \in \text{Herm}(V, \Lambda)$ let

$$\text{Ch}^{\frac{1}{2}}(H) = \{ \chi: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_1^* \text{ s.t. (4)}_H \text{ below holds} \}$$

Here, $\mathbb{C}_1^* = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1 \}$ and the condition here is

$$(4)_H \quad \chi(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) = \chi(\lambda_1) \chi(\lambda_2) \otimes \left(\frac{1}{2} E(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \right),$$

where, as usual, $e(z) = \exp(2\pi iz)$ and $E = \text{Im}(H)$.
 Note that since $e(\frac{1}{2}E(\lambda, \lambda_2)) = \pm 1$, each χ^2
 is a character (when $\chi \in \text{Ch}^k(H)$), so the
 χ 's are "square roots of characters", which
 justifies the notation $\text{Ch}^k(H)$.

Consider now a pair (H, χ) , where
 $H \in \text{Hom}(V, \Lambda)$ and $\chi \in \text{Ch}^k(H)$. Then, as
 is easily checked,

$$(5) \quad e_{\lambda}^{(H, \chi)}(v) = \chi(\lambda) e(-\frac{i}{2}H(v, \lambda) - \frac{i}{4}H(\lambda, \lambda))$$

is a couple $\{e_{\lambda}^{(H, \chi)}\} \in Z^1(\Lambda, H^0(V, \mathcal{O}_V^*))$
 and hence gives rise to a holomorphic line
 bundle

$$L(H, \chi) := L(\{e_{\lambda}^{(H, \chi)}\}).$$

Let

$P = P(V, \Lambda) = \{(H, \chi) : H, \chi \text{ as above}\}$
 denote the set of such pairs. We can make P into a
 group via the addition law

$$(H_1, \chi_1) + (H_2, \chi_2) = (H_1 + H_2, \chi_1 \chi_2).$$

We then have:

Theorem 2.1 (Appell-Humbert). The map $(H, \chi) \mapsto L(H, \chi)$
 induces a group homomorphism

$$L: P(V, \Lambda) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Pic}(X) = H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*).$$

More precisely, we have the following commutative
 diagram with exact rows and columns:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{C}_1^*) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & P(V, \Lambda) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \text{Hom}(V, \Lambda) \rightarrow 0 \\
 (6) & & \lambda \downarrow s & & L \downarrow s & & s \downarrow P \\
 0 & \rightarrow & \text{Pic}^0(X) & \rightarrow & \text{Pic}(X) & \xrightarrow{\zeta_1} & \text{Ker}(H^2(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{O})) \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

in which:

$$\text{Herm}(V, \Lambda) \stackrel{\text{recall}}{=} \{ H \in \text{Herm}(V) : (\text{Im} H)(\Lambda \times \Lambda) \subset \mathbb{Z} \}$$

$$\text{Pic}^0(X) = \ker(c_1 : H^1(X, \mathcal{O}^*) = \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}))$$

$$\alpha(X) = (0, \chi) \in \mathcal{P}(V, \Lambda)$$

$$\beta(H, \chi) = \beta(H) \in \text{Herm}(V, \Lambda)$$

$$\lambda(X) = L(0, \chi)$$

$$\rho(H) = \text{Im}(H)|_{\Lambda \times \Lambda} \in \text{Alt}^2(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}) = H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$$

In particular we have the following formula for the first Chern class of $L(H, \chi)$:

$$(7) \quad c_1(L(H, \chi)) = E|_{\Lambda \times \Lambda} \in \text{Alt}^2(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}) = H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}),$$

↑
fact 2

where, as before, $E = \text{Im}(H)$.

Remark 22 Recall that if $L \in \text{Pic}(X)$ is a line bundle on a $\mathbb{C}P^1$ complex space X , then its ^{first} Chern class is defined as

$$c_1(L) = f(L),$$

where

$$S : H^1(X, \mathcal{O}^*) = \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$$

is the boundary map of the long exact sequence induced by the exponential sequence

$$(8) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{e} \mathcal{O}^* \rightarrow 0.$$

Pf sketch (of Appell-Humbert): Clearly, the diagram (6) commutes and has exact rows.

Using fact 5 of §1 one sees easily that ρ is an isomorphism.

To see that λ is injective, use the fact that if $f \in H^0(V, \mathcal{O}^*)$ is bounded, then f is constant.

The surjectivity of λ follows by a suitable diagram chase and observing that $\underbrace{H^1(X, \mathbb{C})}_{\text{the map}} \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_x)$ is surjective (cf. fact 5).

Since ρ and λ are isomorphisms, and the rows are exact, it follows that L is also an isomorphism.

The Theorem of Appell-Humbert has many consequences.

1. The dual torus $\hat{X} = \text{Pic}^0(X)$

In the course of proving the A-H Theorem we had established the isomorphism

$$\lambda: \text{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{C}^*) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Pic}^0(X).$$

Note that $\hat{X} = \text{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{C}^*)$ is itself a complex torus (also of dimension g), so the group $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ carries a natural ^{top.} structure.

On the other hand, from the long exact sequence associated to the exponential sequence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (*) \quad \hat{X} = \text{Pic}^0(X) &= \text{Ker}(\delta) = \text{Coker}(H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathbb{C})) \\ &= H^1(X, \mathbb{C}) / H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \end{aligned}$$

which shows that \hat{X} is again a complex torus.

2. The theorem of the square

The pullback $T_x^* L$ of a line bundle $L \in \text{Pic}(X)$ w.r.t. the translation map $T_x: X \rightarrow X$, $T_x(y) = x+y$ is given explicitly as follows:

$$(10) \quad T_x^* L(H, X) \cong L(H, \mathcal{O}(E(\sigma, \cdot)) \otimes X), \text{ for } \sigma \in \pi^{-1}(x).$$

From this we see that for any $L \in \text{Pic}(X)$ and $x \in X$ we have

$$(11) \quad \phi_L(x) := T_x^*(L) \otimes L^{-1} \in \text{Pic}^0(X),$$

so that ϕ_L defines a map

$$\phi_L: X \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(X) = \hat{X}.$$

The Theorem of the Square asserts that this is a homomorphism, i.e. that

$$(12) \quad T_{x+y}^*(L) \otimes L \cong T_x^*(L) \otimes T_y^*(L).$$

Again, this follows readily from (9) (and $-H$):

Write $L = L(H, X)$; then for $\sigma \in \pi^{-1}(x)$, $\omega \in \pi^{-1}(y)$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{x+y}^*(L) \otimes L &\cong L(H, \mathcal{O}(E(\sigma+\omega, \cdot)) \otimes X) \otimes L(H, X) \\ &\cong L(2H, \mathcal{O}(E(\sigma, \cdot)) \otimes X \otimes \mathcal{O}(E(\omega, \cdot)) \otimes X) \end{aligned}$$

$$= L(H, \mathcal{O}(-E(\cdot, v)) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-E(\cdot, w))) \otimes L(H, \mathcal{O}(-E(\cdot, w)))$$

$$\cong T_x^*(L) \otimes T_y^*(L), \quad \text{which proves (1).}$$

We can also easily determine the kernel of ϕ_L :

$$K(L) := \text{Ker}(\phi_L) = \{x \in X : T_x^* L \cong L\}.$$

Indeed, since $\mathcal{O}(E(v, \cdot)) \cong \mathcal{O}(E(\cdot, \lambda)) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\lambda)$, $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda$, it follows that

$$(13) \quad K(L) = V(H)/\Lambda,$$

where $V(H) = \{v \in V : E(v, \lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall \lambda \in \Lambda\}$.

In particular we see

$$(14) \quad K(L) \text{ is finite} \iff V(H) \text{ is a lattice}$$

$$\iff H \text{ (or, equivalently, } E) \text{ is non-degenerate.}$$

3. The theorem of the cube

The line bundles $L(H, \chi)$ satisfy the following functoriality property: If $L = L(H, \chi)$ is a line bundle on $X' = V'/\Lambda'$ and $\bar{\lambda} : X \rightarrow X'$ is induced by $\lambda \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V, V')$, then

$$(15) \quad \bar{\lambda}^* L(H, \chi) = L(\lambda^* H, \lambda^* \chi)$$

We can use this to prove the theorem of the cube:

Th. 2.3. Given a complex space Y and holomorphic maps $f, g, h : Y \rightarrow X$, where X is a complex torus. Then, for any $L \in \text{Pic}(X)$ we have

$$(16) \quad (f+g+h)^*(L) \otimes (f^*(L) \otimes g^*(L) \otimes h^*(L))$$

$$\cong (f+g)^*(L) \otimes (f+h)^*(L) \otimes (g+h)^*(L).$$

To prove this, consider the line bundle

$$(17) \quad \mathcal{O}_Y(L) = \bigotimes_{\substack{I \subset \{1, 2, 3\} \\ \#I \geq 1}} (m_I^* L)^{\otimes (-1)^{\#I}}$$

on X^n , where $m_I : X^n \rightarrow X$ is the

map $m_I(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i \in I} x_i$. Then (16) is clearly equivalent to the assertion

$$(18) \quad (f, g, h)^* \mathcal{D}_3(L) \simeq \mathcal{O},$$

(where $(f, g, h): Y \rightarrow X \times X \times X$). Now in fact we have

$$(15) \quad \mathcal{D}_n(L) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X^n}, \quad \forall n \geq 3,$$

because for $L = L(H, X)$ we have $\mathcal{D}_n(L) \simeq L(\mathcal{D}_n(H), \mathcal{D}_n(K))$, and $\mathcal{D}_n(H)$ and $\mathcal{D}_n(X)$ are equally expected to be trivial.

(Here, for any map $h: X^+ \rightarrow X$

$$\mathcal{D}_n(h) = \sum (m_I^* h),$$

and this is easily seen to be trivial.)

Remark 2.4. For the line bundle $\mathcal{D}_n(L)$ etc, cf. [M-B2], p. 12 ff.

§3. Theta functions

We now turn to examining the holomorphic sections of the line bundles $L = L(H, X)$. By general principles of quotient spaces and sections, we have a natural correspondence

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H^0(X, L(H, X)) & = & H^0(V, V \times \mathbb{C})^\wedge \\ f & \mapsto & \pi^* f \end{array}$$

of the space of holomorphic sections of $L(H, X)$ with the space of Λ -invariant sections of $V \times \mathbb{C}$ (via the $\{e_\lambda^{(H, X)}\}$ -action).

Now we can ^{also} identify

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(V, V \times \mathbb{C}) &\cong H^0(V, \mathcal{O}) = \{\text{hol. maps } f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\}, \\ (s: V \rightarrow V \times \mathbb{C}) &\mapsto f_s, \quad f_s(v) = \text{pr}_2(s(v)), \end{aligned}$$

but this identification is incompatible with the group action. However, it is immediate that

$$s \in H^0(V, V \times \mathbb{C})^\wedge \iff f = f_s \text{ satisfies:}$$

$$(2) \quad f(v + \lambda) = e_\lambda^{(H, X)}(v) f(v), \quad \forall v \in V, \lambda \in \Lambda.$$

Thus we have a natural identification:

$$(3) \quad H^0(X, L(H, \chi)) = Th(H, \chi),$$

where

$$Th(H, \chi) = \{ \text{holo. } f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ satisfying (2)} \}.$$

Definition. The functions $f \in Th(H, \chi)$ are called (normalized) theta functions (with respect to (H, χ)).

Remark 3.0 If we consider more general cocycles $\{e_\lambda\} \in Z^1(\Lambda, H^0(V, \mathcal{O}^*))$ then an analogous assertion holds, i.e.

$$H^0(X, L(\{e_\lambda\})) = Th(\{e_\lambda\}),$$

where the space on the right denotes the space of holo functions $f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

$$(2') \quad f(v+\lambda) = e_\lambda(v) f(v), \quad \forall v \in V, \lambda \in \Lambda.$$

Such functions f are called unnormalized theta functions.

We first make some preliminary observations about $Th(H, \chi)$ (cf. [M], pp. 256):

$$1) \quad \text{If } R = \text{Rad}(H) = \{ v \in V : H(v, w) = 0, \forall w \in V \} \\ = \{ v \in V : E(v, w) = 0, \forall w \in V \}$$

denotes the radical of H (or E) and

$\bar{H}: \bar{V} \times \bar{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ the induced (non-degenerate!) hermitian form on $\bar{V} = V/R$, then $\bar{\Lambda} = V+R/R$

is a lattice in \bar{V} and $\chi = \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ induces

a map $\bar{\chi}: \bar{\Lambda} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $(\bar{H}, \bar{\chi}) \in \mathcal{P}(\bar{V}, \bar{\Lambda})$. Then, if $p: V \rightarrow \bar{V}$ denotes

the projection map, one checks that

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Th(\bar{H}, \bar{\chi}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & Th(H, \chi) \\ f & \mapsto & p^* f \end{array}$$

is a bijection.

2) If H is not positive, then

$$(5) \quad Th(H, \chi) = \{0\}.$$

3) By 1) and 2) we see:

If $L \cong L(H, X)$ is ample, then

H is positive-definite (\Rightarrow non-degenerate).

Theorem 3.1. A line bundle $L(H, X)$ is ample if and only if $H \in \text{Herm}(V) = H^1(X)$ is positive-definite.

In particular, $X = \mathbb{P}^n$ is projective $\Leftrightarrow \exists$ a pos. def. hermitian form H on V with $V(\Lambda^n V) \subset \mathbb{Z}$.

Pr. sketch (via Kodaira embedding theorem):

We had already seen that $L(H, X)$ ample $\rightarrow H$ positive.

Conversely, suppose H is ample. Via our identifications (facts 4, 5) it follows that $H = c_1(L) \in H_{DR}^2(X) = H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ defines a positive $(1, 1)$ -form. Thus $L(H, X)$ is a positive line bundle in the sense of Kodaira (cf. [G-H], p. 148) and hence, by the Kodaira embedding theorem ([G-H], p. 181), $L(H, X)$ is ample.

Remark 3.2. In place of using Kodaira's embedding theorem, one can also deduce Th. 3.1 from the following much more precise statement:

Theorem 3.3 (Lefschetz). Let $L \cong L(H, X)$ be a line bundle such that H is positive-definite. Then $H^0(X, L^{\otimes k})$ has no base points for $k \geq 2$ and yields a projective embedding for $k \geq 3$.

This proof ^(will not be given) depends in part on having a suitable basis at one's disposal. Here the first step is given by

Theorem 3.4 (Riemann-Roch): If $L = L(H, X)$ is positive (i.e. H is positive-definite), then

$$(6) \dim H^0(X, L) = \sqrt{\det(E|_{\Lambda^n V})} = \sqrt{\#K(L)},$$

where $E = \text{Im}(\#)$. Thus, for any $n \geq 1$ we have:

$$(7) \quad \dim H^0(X, L^{\otimes n}) = n^g \dim H^0(X, L).$$

Remark 3.5 It is possible to deduce this theorem from the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem,

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}(L)) = \deg(\text{ch}(L) \cdot \text{td}(X)),$$

once one knows in addition:

$$1) \quad \omega_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X \quad (\Rightarrow \text{td}(X) = 1)$$

$$2) \quad H^q(X, \mathcal{O}(L)) = 0, \quad \forall q > 0;$$

this follows from Kodaira's vanishing theorem since $\omega_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ and L is positive

$$3) \quad c_1(L)^g = g! \sqrt{\det(E_{1 \times n})}$$

Thus, Th 3.3 is truly a "Riemann-Roch theorem". However, the proof sketched below is much more (elementary and) explicit in that a canonical basis of $H^0(X, L)$ will be constructed. Since this basis lies at the heart of the theory of theta-

functions, we sketch the construction. First:

Rough outline of proof of R-R:

1) For a suitable $\chi_0 \in \text{Ch}^2(H)$, construct a "basic" theta-function $\mathcal{D}_0 \in \text{Th}(H, \chi_0)$.

2) There exists $v \in V$ such that $\chi = \chi_0 \circ (\pi(v, 1))$. Then the (modified) translate $\mathcal{D} = \tau_v^* \mathcal{D}_0$ lies in $\text{Th}(H, \chi)$.

3) There is a finite subgroup $K_2 \subset K(L)$ such that $\{\tau_v^* \mathcal{D}\}_{v \in K_2}$ is a basis of $\text{Th}(H, \chi)$.

Remark 3.6 As we shall see, the "basic \mathcal{D} function" \mathcal{D}_0 above is a suitable modification of the classical Riemann's \mathcal{D} -function which is defined as follows.

Let $T \in \mathfrak{H}_g := \{T \in M_g(\mathbb{C}) : T^t = T \text{ (i.e. symmetric), and } \text{Im } T > 0 \text{ (i.e. positive definite)}\}$

\swarrow $g \times g$ matrices
 \nwarrow Hermitian

be an element of the Siegel upper $\frac{1}{2}$ -space \mathfrak{H}_g .

Then the Riemann \mathcal{D} -function is defined by

$$(8) \quad \mathcal{D}(\bar{z}, T) = \sum_{\vec{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^g} e^{\left(\frac{1}{2}(\vec{n}^t T \vec{n}) + \vec{n}^t \bar{z}\right)}, \quad \bar{z} \in \mathbb{C}^g.$$

(Note that since $\text{Im } T > 0$, the terms of the sum are bounded by $e^{-c \vec{n}^t \vec{n}}$ with $c > 0$, so this series converges absolutely.)

Thus, in case $g=1$, we have $\mathfrak{H}_g = \mathfrak{H} =$ usual upper $\frac{1}{2}$ -plane, and

$$\mathcal{D}(z, \tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\pi i (n^2 \tau + 2nz)}$$

is precisely Jacobi's \mathcal{D} -function. It is this latter function, which Jacobi denoted by \mathcal{D} "by accident", that gives the theory its name: "theta".

As a function of $\bar{z} \in \mathbb{C}^g$, the transformation laws of $\mathcal{D}(\bar{z}, T)$ are as follows:

$$(9a) \quad \mathcal{D}(\bar{z} + \vec{n}, T) = \mathcal{D}(\bar{z}, T)$$

$$(9b) \quad \mathcal{D}(\bar{z} + T\vec{m}, T) = e^{\left(-\frac{1}{2} \vec{m}^t T \vec{m} + \vec{m}^t \bar{z}\right)} \mathcal{D}(\bar{z}, T),$$

for $\vec{n}, \vec{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^g$, i.e.

$$(9c) \quad \mathcal{D}(\bar{z} + \vec{n} + T\vec{m}, T) = e^{\left(-\frac{1}{2} \vec{m}^t T \vec{m} + \vec{m}^t \bar{z}\right)} \mathcal{D}(\bar{z}, T).$$

To see that this is a theta function, let:

$$\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^g + T\mathbb{Z}^g \subset \mathbb{C}^g \quad (\text{lattice})$$

$$T = X + iY, \quad X, Y \in M_g(\mathbb{R})$$

$$H(\bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2) = \bar{z}_1^t Y^{-1} \overline{(\bar{z}_2)} \quad \leftarrow \text{complex conjugate}$$

(actually, $H \in \text{Herm}(\mathbb{C}^g, \Lambda)$)

$$\chi_0(\vec{n} + T\vec{m}) = e^{\left(\frac{1}{2} \vec{n}^t \vec{m}\right)}; \quad \chi_0 \in \text{Ch}^{\frac{1}{2}}(H).$$

Then \mathcal{D} is "almost" in $\text{Th}(H, \chi_0)$: if we put

$$(10) \quad \mathcal{D}_0(\bar{z}) = e^{\left(-\frac{i}{4} \bar{z}^t Y^{-1} \bar{z}\right)} \mathcal{D}(\bar{z}, T),$$

then we have

$$(11) \quad \mathcal{D}_0 \in \text{Th}(H, \chi_0);$$

cf. [L], p. 140.

sketch of Th. 3.4 (Riemann-Roch)

Lemma 3.7 (Frobenius) Let $H \in \text{Herm}(V, \Lambda)$ be positive definite. Then there exists a basis $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{2g}$ of Λ such that the (gram) matrix of $E = \text{Im} H$ w.r.t. basis is

$$(12) \quad \mathbb{J}_{\underline{\delta}} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Delta_{\underline{\delta}} \\ -\Delta_{\underline{\delta}} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\Delta_{\underline{\delta}} = \text{diag}(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_g)$ with $\delta_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta_1 | \delta_2 | \dots | \delta_g$. Furthermore, the vector $\underline{\delta} = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_g)$ is uniquely determined by H .

R of e.g. [L], p. 92.

For a vector $\underline{\delta} = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_g)$ as in the lemma, put

$$K_i(\underline{\delta}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^g \mathbb{Z}/\delta_i \mathbb{Z},$$

$$(13) \quad K_i(\underline{\delta})^* = \text{Hom}(K_i(\underline{\delta}), \mathbb{C}^*),$$

$$K(\underline{\delta}) = K_i(\underline{\delta})^* \oplus K_i(\underline{\delta}).$$

Note that $K(\underline{\delta})$ carries a unique symplectic form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ defined by

$$\langle (h_1, x_1), (h_2, x_2) \rangle = h_1(x_2) - h_2(x_1).$$

Lemma 3.8. If $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{2g}\}$ is a basis of Λ as in Lemma 3.7, then

$$V(H) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^g \frac{1}{\delta_i} \lambda_i \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^g \frac{1}{\delta_i} \lambda_{g+i}$$

and hence there is a symplectic isomorphism^{*}

$$\lambda: K(\underline{\delta}) \rightarrow K(L) = V(H)/\Lambda.$$

Furthermore, if we put $e_i = \lambda(\delta_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq g$, then $\{e_1, \dots, e_g\}$ is a \mathbb{C} -basis of V and if we write

$$\lambda_i = \sum_{j=1}^g \omega_{ij} e_j$$

then the $2g \times g$ -matrix $\Omega = (\omega_{ij})$ has the form

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\underline{\delta}} \\ T \end{pmatrix},$$

where $T \in \mathbb{C}^{g \times g}$.

^{*} Here, $K(L)$ has a symplectic structure via $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \mathcal{Q}(E(\lambda, \eta))$

Remark 3.9 Conversely, if we fix a \mathbb{C} -basis e_1, \dots, e_g of V and let $\underline{\delta} = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_g)$ and T be given as in Lemma 3.8. Put

$$(14) \quad \Omega = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\underline{\delta}} \\ T \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^{2g} \Omega \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ e_g \end{pmatrix} \subset V$$

Then, if we put

$$(15) \quad H(\underline{z}, \bar{w}) = \underline{z}^t \Psi^{-1}(\bar{w}),$$

where $T = X + iY$, it follows that $H \in \text{Herm}(V, \Lambda)$ and the above processes yield $\underline{\delta}$ and T (for a suitable choice of basis $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{2g}$).

Pf sketch (cont'd).

step 1. Construction of \mathcal{D}_0 .

Choose a symplectic basis $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{2g}$ of Λ as in Lemma 3.7 and put

$$(16) \quad V_1 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^g \mathbb{R}\lambda_i, \quad V_2 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^g \mathbb{R}\lambda_{g+i} \quad (\mathbb{R}\text{-v.sp.})$$

Thus $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$. With respect to this decomposition

define $\chi_0: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_1^*$ by

$$(17) \quad \chi_0(\lambda) = e\left(\frac{1}{2} E(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)\right),$$

where $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \tau\lambda_2$ is the aforementioned decomposition.

Furthermore, let

$$(18) \quad \mathcal{B} = (H|_{V_2 \times V_2}) \otimes \mathbb{C},$$

and put

$$(19) \quad \mathcal{D}_0(v, \tau) = e\left(-\frac{i}{4} \mathcal{B}(v, v)\right) \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} e\left(\frac{1}{2} (H - \mathcal{B})(v - \frac{1}{2}\lambda, \lambda)\right)$$

Then $\mathcal{D}_0 \in \text{Th}(H, \chi_0)$; cf. [B-L] and [M1], p. 27.

step 2. $t_v^* \mathcal{D}_0 \in L(H, \chi)$ for suitable $v \in V$.

Lemma 3.10. If $f \in \text{Th}(H, \chi)$ then $w \in V$ then $t_w^* f \in \text{Th}(H, \chi \cdot e(Ew, \cdot))$, where

$$(20) \quad (t_w^* f)(v) := e\left(\frac{1}{2} H(v, w)\right) f(v+w).$$

Thus, since $\chi \chi_0^{-1} : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_1^*$ is a character and $E_{|\Lambda \times \Lambda}$ is non-degenerate, we can find $w = w_\chi$ s.t.

$$\chi = \chi_0 \circ (E(w, \cdot))$$

and so

$$(21) \quad \mathcal{D} = t_{w_\chi}^* \mathcal{D}_0 \in \text{Th}(H, \chi).$$

step 3.

The Riemann-Roch theorem now follows from the following more precise result.

Theorem 3.11. Let $\mathcal{D} \in \text{Th}(H, \chi)$ be as above, and let $\lambda : K(\mathfrak{d}) \rightarrow K(L(H, \chi))$ be an iso. as in Lemma 3.8. Then

$$\{ t_{\lambda(g)}^* \mathcal{D} \}_{g \in K_1(\mathfrak{d})}$$

is a basis of $\text{Th}(H, \chi)$. Note that $\#K_1(\mathfrak{d}) = \sqrt{\#K(\mathfrak{d})}$

Note. Once this basis has been set up properly, the proof is not difficult; cf. [M1], pp. 27-8, [GH], pp. 317-20.

Remark 3.12. By using the theory of theta groups, one can give a representation-theoretic interpretation of this basis and show that it is unique (once such an identification λ has been chosen). From this one can then, following Mumford, build up an algebraic theory of theta functions (cf. [M2] and [M3], III).

§4. Polarizations and moduli spaces

The proof of the R-R theorem of the previous section is closely related to the construction of moduli spaces: these are complex varieties which parametrize abelian varieties together with some additional structure such as a polarization, we will now define.

Definition. A polarization^{*} of an abelian variety X is a homomorphism

$$\phi: X \rightarrow \hat{X} = \text{Pic}^0(X)$$

which is of the form

$$\phi = \phi_L$$

for some ample line bundle $L \in \text{Pic}(X)$.

Remark 4.1. 1) Since $K(L) \stackrel{\text{rank}(\phi_L)}{=} \dim \hat{X}$ is finite and $\dim X = \dim \hat{X}$, it follows that ϕ_L is surjective.

2) If $L = L(H, X)$ and $L' = L(H', X')$.

^{*}This definition differs from that of [R], but is as in [M1] - [M2] etc.

are two line bundles on X , then

$$(1) \quad \phi_L = \phi_{L'} \Leftrightarrow L' \otimes L \in \text{Pic}^0(X) \Leftrightarrow H = H'$$

Thus, the set of polarizations $\text{Pol}(X) = \{\phi_L\}$ can be canonically identified with the set

$$\text{Herm}^+(V, \Lambda) = \{H \in \text{Herm}(V, \Lambda) \mid H \text{ is pos. def.}\}$$

via $H \mapsto \phi_{L(H, X)}$.

By Lemma 3.7, each H (or ϕ_L) has a canonical sequence $\underline{\delta} = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_g)$ with $\delta_1 \leq \dots \leq \delta_g$ attached to it; we have

$$(2) \quad \delta_1 \dots \delta_g = \sqrt{\det E|_{\Lambda \times \Lambda}} = \sqrt{K(L)}.$$

This sequence is called the type of the polarization. Note that Lemma 3.8 gives an intrinsic characterization of this seq. in terms of $K(L) = \text{Ker}(\phi_L)$.

Definition. A polarized abelian variety of type $\underline{\delta} = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_g)$ is a pair (X, ϕ) (or, equiv.,

a pair (X, H) where X is an abelian variety and $\phi \in \text{Pol}(X)$ (resp. $H \in \text{Hermit}(V, \Lambda)$).
 Two such pairs (X, ϕ) and (X', ϕ') are isomorphic if $\exists \text{Iso. } h: X \xrightarrow{\sim} X'$ s.t. $h^* \phi' = \phi$,
 where $h^* \phi' = \phi_{h^* L}$, if $\phi' = \phi_{L'}$, $L' \in \text{Pic}(X')$
 (or: $h^* H' = H$).

The moduli space of polarized abelian varieties of dimension g and type $\underline{\delta} = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_g)$

$\Omega:$
 $\mathcal{A}_g^{(\underline{\delta})}$ = set of isomorphism classes of polarized ab. var's (X, ϕ) of type $\underline{\delta}$ and $\dim X = g$.

By the lemmas of the previous section we see that we have a natural surjective map

$$\pi_{\underline{\delta}}: \mathcal{H}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g^{(\underline{\delta})}$$

via

$$\pi_{\underline{\delta}}(T) = (\mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda_{\underline{\delta}, T}, H_T)$$

where $\Lambda_{\underline{\delta}, T} = \mathbb{Z}^g \Delta_{\underline{\delta}} + \mathbb{Z}^g T \subset \mathbb{C}^g$ (lattice)
 $H_T \in \text{Hermit}(\mathbb{C}^g, \Lambda_{\underline{\delta}, T})$ is def'd by:
 $H_T(z, w) = z^t Y^{-1} \bar{w}$, where $T = X + iY$.

Note that $\pi_{\underline{\delta}}$ is not a bijection since in the above lemmas 3.7-3.9 etc the basis $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_g\}$ cannot be uniquely specified.

This indeterminacy may be removed by considering the action of (certain groups like) the symplectic group $\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$ acting on \mathcal{H}_g :

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}) / \pm I$$

acts on \mathcal{H}_g via

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}(T) = (AT+B)(CT+D)^{-1} \in \mathcal{H}_g$$

More generally, consider the group

$$\Gamma_{\underline{\delta}} = \{ A \in \text{GL}(2g, \mathbb{Z}) / \pm I : A^t \underline{J}_{\underline{\delta}} A = \underline{J}_{\underline{\delta}} \}$$

which also acts on \mathcal{H}_g (in the same way).

check!
 as in lemma 3.7

Prop. 4.1 The surjection $\pi_g: \mathbb{P}_g \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_g$ -equivariant and induces a bijection

$$\mathbb{P}_g \backslash \mathbb{H}_g \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{A}_g^{(g)};$$

thus, $\mathbb{A}_g^{(g)}$ may be endowed with the structure of a complex space. In particular, if $g = (1, \dots, 1)$ then $\mathbb{P}_g = \mathrm{Sp}_g(\mathbb{Z}) / \pm I$ and so we have

$$\mathbb{A}_g = \mathbb{A}_g^{(1, \dots, 1)} \leftarrow \mathrm{Sp}_g(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H}_g.$$

Remark. 1) \mathbb{A}_g is called the moduli space of principally polarized abelian varieties.

2) It is more difficult to show that \mathbb{A}_g and $\mathbb{A}_g^{(g)}$ are quasi-projective. This is done by "evaluating the can. basis" (found in the previous section) at 0; cf. [H2], [H3] and [H4] for details.

§5. Symmetric theta divisors

It seems natural to call an effective divisor $D \geq 0$ on X a theta divisor if the pullback

$$(1) \quad \pi^* D = (D)$$

is the divisor of zeros of some (normalized) theta function on V . However, such a definition is superfluous since we have, by our identification of theta-divisors as sections of line bundles that:

Prop. 5.1 Every effective divisor $D \geq 0$ on X is of the form (1) for a suitable D .

For the purposes of these notes, let us therefore make the following (not universally accepted) definition.

Definition. A theta divisor is an effective divisor $D \geq 0$ on X such that its associated

line bundle $L = L(D)$ induces a principal polarization, i.e. an isomorphism $\phi_L: X \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{X}$.
 For a principal polarization $\phi: X \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{X}$ (or H), let
 $\Theta_H = \Theta_\phi = \{D : D \text{ theta divisor?}\}$.

Remark 5.2 1) By Riemann-Roch, a divisor $D \geq 0$ is a theta-divisor $\Leftrightarrow h^0(X, L(D)) = 1$.

Thus:

2) $\Theta_H \neq \emptyset$, \forall polarization $\phi: X \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{X}$.

3) If H, H' are two princ. pol., and $\Theta_1 \subset \Theta_{H'}$, then:

(2) $\Theta_1 = T_x^* \Theta_2$, for some $x \in X \Leftrightarrow L(\Theta_1) \cong L(T_x^* L(\Theta_2))$,
 for some $x \in X$
 $\Leftrightarrow \phi_{\Theta_1} = \phi_{\Theta_2}$.
 equality as divisors

4) If $\Theta_0 \in \Theta_H$, then $\Theta_H = \{T_x^* \Theta_0 : x \in X\}$.

Of particular interest are symmetric theta divisors: these are the divisors Θ satisfying

(3) $\Theta^- := i^* \Theta = \Theta$ (equality as divisors!)

where $i = i_X: X \rightarrow X$ denotes the minus map: $i(x) = -x$. Notation: $\Theta_H^{\text{sym}} = \{\Theta \in \Theta_H : \Theta^- = \Theta\}$.

Example 5.3. Riemann's \mathcal{D} -function (cf. equation (3.8) = eq^o (8) of §3). Since $\mathcal{D}(z, T)$ a principal polarization (on $X = \mathbb{C}^g / (\mathbb{Z}^g + \tau \mathbb{Z}^g)$), the divisor Θ_T defined by

$$(4) \quad \pi^* \Theta_T = (\mathcal{D}(\cdot, T))$$

is a theta divisor. Furthermore, since $\mathcal{D}(z, T)$ is visible an even function, i.e.

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{D}(-z, T) = \mathcal{D}(z, T)$$

it follows that $(\mathcal{D}(\cdot, T))$ and hence Θ_T is symmetric.

Prop. 5.4. For each principal polarization H on X we have:

$$(6) \quad \# \Theta_H^{\text{sym}} = 2^{2g} = \#X[2]$$

Moreover, if $\Theta_0 \in \Theta_H^{\text{sym}}$, then

$$(7) \quad \Theta_H^{\text{sym}} = \{T_x^* \Theta_0 : x \in X[2]\},$$

where, as usual, $X[2] = \{x \in X : 2x = 0\}$.

Pf. Suppose first that $\theta_0 \in \Theta_H^{\text{sym}}$. Then

$$i^* T_x^* \theta_0 = T_{-x}^* i^* \theta_0 = T_{-x}^* \theta_0,$$

$$\text{so } \theta = T_x^* \theta_0 \in \Theta_H \text{ is symmetric } \Leftrightarrow T_x^* \theta_0 = T_{-x}^* \theta_0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow T_{2x}^* \theta_0 = \theta_0 \Leftrightarrow 2x = 0 \Leftrightarrow x \in X[2].$$
 This

proves (7). Thus, to prove (6), it is enough to

show that $\Theta_H^{\text{sym}} \neq \emptyset$.

First proof: Given H (and X), we can a suitable $T \in \text{Hom}$
 the period matrix $\Omega = (\mathbb{Z}^g + T\mathbb{Z}^g)$. By example 5.3,

$\theta_T \in \Theta_H^{\text{sym}}$ then a symmetric θ -divisor.

Second proof: Let $L = L(H, \chi_0)$ be a line bundle (for
 some χ_0). Then $i^* L \cong L(i^* H, i^* \chi_0) = L(H, i^* \chi_0)$.

Since $(i^* \chi_0) \chi_0^{-1}$ is a character and E is non-deg.,
 we can find $w \in V$ s.t.

$$(i^* \chi_0) \chi_0^{-1} = \mathcal{O}(E(w, \cdot)).$$

Put $\chi = \chi_0 \mathcal{O}(E(\frac{1}{2}w, \cdot))$; then $i^* \chi = \chi$

and so $i^* L(H, \chi) \cong L(H, \chi)$. Then, if $\theta \in$

the divisor of a section of $L(H, \chi)$ we have $i^* L(\theta) \cong L(\theta)$, so
 $i^* \theta = \theta$.

Remark 5.5. 1) The divisors in Θ_H^{sym} are often
 called theta characteristics (of the polarization H).

Note if let $\mathcal{H}_g^{\text{sym}}$ denote the moduli space which
 classifies iso. classes (X, θ) , where θ is a
 symmetric θ -divisor (theta char.), then Prop. 5.4
 states that the map

$$\pi: \mathcal{H}_g^{\text{sym}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_g \\ (X, \theta) \mapsto (X, \phi_L(\theta))$$

is a surjective cover of degree 2^g .

2) Note that θ -characteristics are not totally
 homogeneous, for one can distinguish between
 odd and even characteristics (cf. [M2]).

Example 5.6. Recall that the Jacobian $X = J_C$
 of a curve C comes equipped with a theta divisor

θ_T defined by the theta function $\theta_T(z)$ attached to
 the period lattice $\Omega = \mathbb{Z}^g + T\mathbb{Z}^g$ of C . (Note
 that θ_T is symmetric!)

On the other hand, by fixing a base point $P_0 \in C$, the Abel-Jacobi map

$$A_{P_0}: C^{(g-1)} \rightarrow J_C$$

$D \mapsto d(D - (g-1)P_0)$

defines a divisor $W^{g-1} = W_{P_0}^{g-1}$ (which depends on the choice of P_0). Since both have the same Chern class, we see that

$$T_x^*(W_{P_0}^{g-1}) = \mathcal{O}_T$$

for some $x \in J_C$. While x will depend on the choice of T (i.e. the theta characteristic), we do have:

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} 2x &= 2l((2g-2)P_0 - \omega_C) \\ &= -A_{P_0}(\omega_C) \end{aligned}$$

\swarrow can. class

(cf. [GH], p 340).

§6. Hermitian structures on line bundles and Arakelov theory

In [F], Faltings defined a canonical, pos. def. hermitian metric on $L = L(\Theta)$, where Θ is a symmetric Θ -divisor (attached to a principal polarization) of an ab var. X , as follows:

1) If $\Theta_0 = (\mathcal{D})$ is the divisor of a theta function $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(z, T)$, then put

$$(1) \quad \|1\|_{L(\Theta_0)}(z) = \sqrt[4]{\det(\gamma)} \left| \mathcal{D}\left(\frac{z}{T}, z\right) \mathcal{D}(z, T) \right|$$

2) More generally, if $\Theta = T_x^* \Theta_0$, $x \in X(\mathbb{C})$ is another symm. Θ -divisor, then define by translation:

$$(2) \quad \|1\|_{L(\Theta)}(z) = \|1\|_{L(\Theta_0)}(z-x).$$

As Faltings remarks, these metrics can be characterized by the property that its curvature is translation-invariant. In fact, these metrics

are a special case of a theorem of Mordell-Baily⁴⁵
([MB1], cf. also [MB2])

Theorem 6.1. (Mordell-Baily): There is a unique way of attaching to each pair (X, L) , where X is an abelian variety and L a line bundle on X , a set $\pi(X, L)$ of pos. def. hermitian C^∞ -metrics on L such that:

- (1) If $u: L_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} L_2$ is an isomorphism, then $u(\pi(X, L_1)) = \pi(X, L_2)$.
- (2) $\pi(X, X \times \mathbb{C}) =$ set of constant metrics
- (3) $\pi(X, L_1) \otimes \pi(X, L_2) \subset \pi(X, L_1 \otimes L_2)$.
- (4) If $f: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is a morphism, $L_2 \in \text{Pic}(X_2)$ then $f^* \pi(X_2, L_2) \subset \pi(X_1, f^* L_2)$.

Moreover, each $\pi(X, L) \neq \emptyset$, and if $\rho \in \pi(X, L)$ then $\pi(X, L) = \{\lambda \rho: \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$. Furthermore, $\pi(X, L) = \{\rho: \text{its curvature } K_\rho \text{ is translation invariant}\}$.

Pf. [MB1], pp 50-52, cf. also [MB2], p. 48ff.
Remark. This characterization is analogous to Neron's char. of ht functions.

References

- 46
- [BL] C. Birkenhaken, H. Lange. Symmetric theta-structures. Manus. math. 70 (1990), 67-81
- [F] G. Faltings: Calculus on arithmetic surfaces. Ann. Math. 119 (1984), 387-424
- [GH] R. Griffiths, J. Harris: Principles of Algebraic Geometry. J. Wiley, New York, 1978.
- [L] S. Lang; Introduction to Algebraic and Abelian Functions. (2nd ed.) Springer-Verlag, 1982.
- [MB1] L. Mordell-Baily: Métriques p-adiques. In: Sem. p-adiques arith. (Caj. Mordell) (L. Szpiro, ed.) Asterisque 127 (1985), pp 29-82.
- [MB2] L. Mordell-Baily: Pinceaux de variétés abéliennes Asterisque 125 (1985).
- [M1] D. Mumford: Abelian varieties. Tata / Oxford U Press, 1970 (2nd ed.: 1974)

- [M2] D. Mumford: On the equations defining abelian varieties I, II, III. Invent. math. 1 (1966), and 3 (1967),
- [M3] D. Mumford: The structure of the moduli spaces of curves and abelian varieties. Intern. Congress Math. Nice 1970, pp.457-465
- [M4] D. Mumford, Tata Lectures on Theta I, II, III. Progress in math. 28, 43, 57. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1983, 1984, 1991.
- [R] M. Rosen, Abelian Varieties over \mathbb{C} . In: Arithmetic Geometry (Cornell, Silverman) Springer-Verlag, 1986; pp. 73-101.