

Moduli Problems Attached to Isomorphisms of Elliptic Galois Representations

Ernst Kani

Queen's University at Kingston, Ontario, Canada

Alpbach Workshop

1 July 2018

Outline

1. Introduction
2. Some Conjectures
3. Mazur's Question
4. The Modular Curves $X_{E/K,N}$ and $X_{E/K,N,\varepsilon}$
5. The Modular Surfaces Z_N and $Z_{N,\varepsilon}$
6. Modular Correspondences
7. Further Conjectures
8. The Basic Construction
9. Hurwitz Spaces
10. The Discriminant
11. The Discriminant Stratification of H_N

1. Introduction

► **Let:**

E/K be an elliptic curve over a number field K ,
 $N \geq 3$ a prime number,

$E[N]$ the group of N -torsion points of E

$\bar{\rho}_{E/K,N} : G_K = \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(E[N]) \simeq \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$
the associated **Galois representation**.

- It turns out that the study of **isomorphisms** of such Galois representations is **closely related** to many important problems and conjectures in **Diophantine Geometry**.
- **Aim:** To use various **moduli spaces** attached to such isomorphisms in order to illuminate these conjectures.

2. Some Conjectures

- ▶ The basic conjecture concerning isomorphisms of Galois representations is the following.
- ▶ **Conjecture 1 (Frey, 1984)**. If E/K is an elliptic curve, then there is a constant $c_{E/K}$ such that \forall prime numbers $N > c_{E/K}$ and all elliptic curves E'/K

$$(1) \quad \bar{\rho}_{E/K,N} \simeq \bar{\rho}_{E'/K,N} \Rightarrow E \sim_K E'.$$

- ▶ **Remark: Frey (1995)** proved that Conjecture 1 for $K = \mathbb{Q}$ is equivalent to the **asymptotic Fermat Conjecture**.

2. Some Conjectures – 2

- ▶ **Asymptotic Fermat Conjecture:** For integers a, b, c with $abc \neq 0$ and a prime N let $C_{a,b,c;N}$ denote the **twisted Fermat curve** defined by

$$C_{a,b,c;N} : aX^N - bY^N = cZ^N.$$

Then for every finite set S of primes we have that

$$\left| \bigcup_{N \geq 5} \bigcup_{a,b,c: \text{sup}(abc) \subset S} C_{a,b,c;N}(\mathbb{Q}) \right| < \infty.$$

Here $\text{sup}(n) = \{p | n : p \text{ is prime}\}$.

- ▶ **Remark:** It is easy to see that the **ABC-Conjecture** implies the Asymptotic Fermat Conjecture.

2. Some Conjectures – 3

- ▶ Frey's Conjecture can be generalized as follows.
- ▶ **Conjecture 2 (Darmon, 1995)**. There is a constant c_K such that for all elliptic curves E/K and E'/K and all prime numbers $N > c_K$

$$(2) \quad \bar{\rho}_{E/K,N} \simeq \bar{\rho}_{E'/K,N} \Rightarrow E \sim_K E'.$$

- ▶ **Remarks:** 1) Conjecture 2 is often called the **Frey-Mazur Conjecture**. Better: **Darmon-Frey-Mazur Conjecture**.
2) There is some numerical evidence for the validity of this conjecture for $K = \mathbb{Q}$ (see below). Another is the following.
- ▶ **Theorem 1:** Conjecture 2 is true when restricted to pairs of elliptic curves with complex multiplication.

2. Some Conjectures – 4

- ▶ The following conjecture is also due to Darmon.
- ▶ **Conjecture 3 (Darmon, 1995).** There is an absolute constant $N_0 > 0$ such that for every $N > N_0$ and for every number field K the implication

$$\bar{\rho}_{E/K,N} \simeq \bar{\rho}_{E'/K,N} \Rightarrow E \sim_K E'$$

holds for all except a finite number of pairs $(E/K, E'/K)$ of elliptic curves over K (up to simultaneous twists).

- ▶ **Remark 1.** The condition about simultaneous twists (which was missing in Darmon's formulation) is necessary because

$$\bar{\rho}_{E/K,N} \simeq \bar{\rho}_{E'/K,N} \Rightarrow \bar{\rho}_{E_\chi/K,N} \simeq \bar{\rho}_{(E')_\chi/K,N}$$

for any (quadratic) twist $\chi : G_K \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$.

2. Some Conjectures – 5

- ▶ Remark 2. Darmon's Conjecture 3 does not directly imply Frey's Conjecture, and hence it also does not imply Conjecture 2. Similarly, Conjecture 2 does not imply Conjecture 3.
- ▶ Remark 3. In 1995 I refined Darmon's Conjecture 3 as follows.
- ▶ Conjecture 3*. Conjecture 3 holds with $N_0 = 23$.

3. Mazur's Question

- ▶ The above conjectures were perhaps motivated in part by the following question posed by Mazur in 1978.
- ▶ **Question (Mazur, 1978).** Are there two non-isogenous elliptic curves E/\mathbb{Q} and E'/\mathbb{Q} and a prime $N \geq 7$ such that $\bar{\rho}_{E/\mathbb{Q},N}$ and $\bar{\rho}_{E'/\mathbb{Q},N}$ are symplectically isomorphic?
- ▶ **Answer:** Yes! (Kraus/Oesterlé, 1992). In fact, \exists infinitely many such pairs for $N = 7$ (Halberstadt/Kraus, 1997). Moreover: the same is true for $N = 11$ (K./Rizzo, 1999).
- ▶ **But:** For $N \geq 13$, only finitely many such pairs are known via computer calculations. Largest for $N = 17$ (Billerey, 2016).
- ▶ **Note:** This gives some computational evidence for the validity of **Conjecture 2**.

3. Mazur's Question – 2

- ▶ The above results naturally lead to the following questions:
 - Why did Mazur impose the bound $N \geq 7$ in his question?
 - Why are there infinitely many pairs $(E/\mathbb{Q}, E'/\mathbb{Q})$ which solve Mazur's Question for $N = 7, 11$, but only finitely many (are known to) exist for $N > 11$?
- ▶ The answer to both questions: **Diophantine properties** of certain **Moduli spaces!**

4. The Modular Curves $X_{E,N}$ and $X_{E,N,\varepsilon}$

- ▶ In connection with **Frey's Conjecture** and **Mazur's Question**, it is useful to fix the elliptic curve E/K and the integer N and to consider for any extension field L/K the sets

$$\mathcal{X}_{E/K,N}(L) := \{(E'/L, \psi) \mid \psi : \bar{\rho}_{E/L,N} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{\rho}_{E'/L,N}\} / \simeq .$$

- ▶ By viewing G_L -isomorphisms of these Galois representations as isomorphisms of the associated L -group schemes $E[N]$ and $E'[N]$, it is easy to see that this extends to a **functor**

$$\mathcal{X}_{E/K,N} : (\text{Sch}/K) \rightarrow (\text{Sets})$$

from the category (Sch/K) of K -schemes to the category (Sets) of sets.

4. The Modular Curves $X_{E,N}$ and $X_{E,N,\varepsilon} - 2$

- **Proposition 1.** If $N \geq 3$, then $\mathcal{X}_{E/K,N}$ is represented by a smooth affine curve $X_{E/K,N}/K$. Moreover,

$$X_{E/K,N} = \coprod_{\varepsilon \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times} X_{E/K,N,\varepsilon}$$

- **Remark.** Each component $X_{E/K,N,\varepsilon}/K$ represents the **subfunctor** $\mathcal{X}_{E/K,N,\varepsilon}$ of $\mathcal{X}_{E/K,N}$ which is defined by

$$\mathcal{X}_{E/K,N,\varepsilon}(L) = \{(E', \psi) \in \mathcal{X}_{E/K,N}(L) : \det(\psi) = \varepsilon\}.$$

Here $\varepsilon = \det(\psi)$ is the unique $\varepsilon \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$ such that

$$e_N^{E'}(\psi(x), \psi(y)) = e_N^E(x, y)^{\det(\psi)}, \quad \forall x, y \in E[N](\bar{K}),$$

where $e_N^E(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the (Weil) e_N -**pairing** on $E[N]$.

4. The Modular Curves $X_{E,N}$ and $X_{E,N,\varepsilon} - 3$

- ▶ **Addendum.** Each component $X_{E/K,N,\varepsilon}$ is a twist of the (affine) modular curve $X(N) = \Gamma(N)\backslash\mathfrak{H}$ and hence is geometrically irreducible. Thus, the genus of its compactification $\bar{X}_{E/K,N}$ is ≥ 3 when $N \geq 7$ and is ≤ 1 when $N < 7$.
- ▶ **Consequence:** The fact that $X_{E/K,N}$ represents the functor $X_{E/K,N}$ implies that we have for each extension field L/K a bijection

$$\mathcal{X}_{E/K,N}(L) \xrightarrow{\sim} X_{E/K,N}(L)$$

when $N \geq 3$. Thus, by the **Theorem of Faltings** we know that for each $N \geq 7$ the set $\mathcal{X}_{E/K,N}(K)$ is **finite!** On the other hand, if $N < 7$, then we expect $\mathcal{X}_{E/K,N}(K)$ to be **infinite**.

- ▶ Similar assertions hold for $\mathcal{X}_{E/K,N,\varepsilon}$ and $X_{E/K,N,\varepsilon}$.

4. The Modular Curves $X_{E,N}$ and $X_{E,N,\varepsilon} - 4$

- ▶ Note that **Mazur's Question** concerns the sets

$$\mathcal{X}_{E/\mathbb{Q},N,1}(\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{X}_{E/\mathbb{Q},N,1}(\mathbb{Q}),$$

for all elliptic curves E/\mathbb{Q} and all $N \geq 7$. Thus, the above **Consequence** explains in part why Mazur focused on the case $N > 7$.

- ▶ **Remark.** **Proposition 1** follows easily from the general results presented in the book *Arithmetic Moduli of Elliptic Curves* by **Katz** and **Mazur** (1985).

5. The Modular Surfaces Z_N and $Z_{N,\varepsilon}$

- ▶ In view of **Darmon's Conjectures** and **Mazur's Question**, it is natural to consider for a fixed N and field K the set

$$\mathcal{Z}_N(K) := \{(E, E', \psi)\} / \simeq$$

of K -isomorphism classes of triples (E, E', ψ) consisting of two elliptic curves E/K and E'/K and an isomorphism $\psi : E[N] \xrightarrow{\sim} E'[N]$ of K -group schemes.

Again, this extends to a functor $\mathcal{Z}_N : (\text{Sch}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow (\text{Sets})$.

- ▶ Moreover, for each $\varepsilon \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$ put

$$\mathcal{Z}_{N,\varepsilon}(K) := \{(E, E', \psi) : \det(\psi) = \varepsilon\} / \simeq,$$

and extend this to a functor $\mathcal{Z}_{N,\varepsilon} : (\text{Sch}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow (\text{Sets})$.

5. The Modular Surfaces Z_N and $Z_{N,\varepsilon}$ - 2

- ▶ **Proposition 2.** The functors \mathcal{Z}_N and $\mathcal{Z}_{N,\varepsilon}$ are **coarsely represented** by affine normal surfaces Z_N/\mathbb{Q} and $Z_{N,\varepsilon}/\mathbb{Q}$, respectively, and we have

$$Z_N = \coprod_{\varepsilon \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times} Z_{N,\varepsilon}.$$

Each $Z_{N,\varepsilon} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is a finite quotient of the product surface $X(N) \times X(N)$ and hence $Z_{N,\varepsilon}$ is geometrically irreducible.

- ▶ **Remark.** The fact that Z_N coarsely represents \mathcal{Z}_N implies that we have maps

$$\mu_{N,K} : \mathcal{Z}_N(K) \rightarrow Z_N(K)$$

which are compatible with field extensions and which are bijections when K is algebraically closed.

5. The Modular Surfaces Z_N and $Z_{N,\varepsilon}$ - 3

- ▶ **Proposition 3** (K./Rizzo, 1999). If K is a number field, then $\mu_{N,K} : \mathcal{Z}_N(K) \rightarrow Z_N(K)$ is surjective. On the other hand, $\mu_{N,K}$ is never injective but it is injective modulo simultaneous twists.
- ▶ The geometric nature of the surfaces $Z_{N,\varepsilon}$ is fairly well understood:
- ▶ **Theorem 2** (Hermann, 1991; K./Schanz, 1997). Let $\bar{Z}_{N,\varepsilon}$ be the compactification of the affine surface $\tilde{Z}_{N,\varepsilon}$ and let $\tilde{\tilde{Z}}_{N,\varepsilon}$ denote its desingularization. Then $\tilde{\tilde{Z}}_{N,\varepsilon}$ is of **general type** if and only if $N \geq 13$. Furthermore, $\tilde{\tilde{Z}}_{7,1}$ is a **rational surface** and $\tilde{\tilde{Z}}_{11,1}$ is an **elliptic surface**.
- ▶ **Remark.** Since surfaces of general type are expected to have fewer K -rational points than other surfaces, this gives a partial answer to the question of why there were many isomorphisms of Galois representations for $N = 7, 11$ and few for $N \geq 13$.

6. Modular Correspondences

- ▶ The surfaces Z_N and $Z_{N,\epsilon}$ give us a **geometric framework** for studying isomorphisms of elliptic Galois representations. However, in order to understand **Darmon's Conjectures 2 and 3** in this context, we also need to have a **geometric description** of when two elliptic curves are isogenous. For this, recall:
- ▶ **Fact:** Let $\mathcal{X}_0(m) : (\text{Sch}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow (\text{Sets})$ denote the functor of cyclic m -isogenies, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{X}_0(m)(K) = \{(E, E', f)\} / \simeq$$

where $f : E \rightarrow E'$ is a cyclic K -isogeny of degree m . Then the modular curve $X_0(m)/\mathbb{Q}$ is a coarse moduli space for the functor $\mathcal{X}_0(m)$.

6. Modular Correspondences - 2

- ▶ **Observation.** If $\gcd(km, N) = 1$, then the rule $(E, E', f) \mapsto (E, E', kf|_{E[N]})$ defines a morphism of functors

$$\tau_{N,m,k} : \mathcal{X}_0(m) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_N$$

and hence a morphism of \mathbb{Q} -schemes $\tau_{N,m,k} : X_0(m) \rightarrow Z_N$ which is birational onto its image $T_{N,m,k} := \tau_{N,m,k}(X_0(m))$.

- ▶ **Remarks.** 1) $T_{N,m,k} \subset Z_{N,mk^2} \subset Z_N$.
2) Recall that the product surface $X(N) \times X(N)$ comes equipped with a distinguished set of curves called **modular correspondences**. Via the quotient map

$$\Phi_{N,\varepsilon} : X(N) \times X(N) \rightarrow Z_{N,\varepsilon} \otimes \mathbb{C},$$

these give curves on $Z_{N,\varepsilon} \otimes \mathbb{C} \subset Z_N \otimes \mathbb{C}$ which we'll call **modular correspondences on Z_N** . It turns out that the curves $T_{N,m,k}$ are such modular correspondences on Z_N .

6. Modular Correspondences - 3

- ▶ **Observation.** The genus of the curve $X_0(m)$ has the following property:

$$g(X_0(m)) \leq 1 \Leftrightarrow m \leq 27 \text{ and } m \neq 22, 23, 26.$$

Thus, if K is sufficiently large, then each of these curves has infinitely K -rational points and so the same is true for the $T_{N,m,k}$'s.

- ▶ **Thus:** by Proposition 3 these lead to **infinitely many** pairs of isomorphic Galois representations over K . However, these all belong to pairs of isogenous elliptic curves.
- ▶ Can we expect many other pairs?

7. Further Conjectures

- ▶ **Recall:** The key ingredient for understanding the arithmetic of the 1-dimensional moduli problem $X_{E/K,N}$ was **Mordell's Conjecture** (= **Theorem of Faltings**). The analogue of this conjecture/theorem for higher dimensions is **Lang's Conjecture**. For surfaces, this can be stated as follows.
- ▶ **Conjecture 4 (Lang).** If Z/K is a **surface of general type**, then:
 - (a) The surface $Z \otimes \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ contains only finitely many curves C of genus $g(C) \leq 1$, so their union Z_{exc} is a closed subset of Z .
 - (b) For every number field $L \supset K$, the set $Z(L) \setminus Z_{exc}(L)$ is finite.
- ▶ **Question.** What is the exceptional set $(Z_N)_{exc}$ of Z_N ?

7. Further Conjectures - 2

- ▶ **Proposition 4.** If T is any modular correspondence on Z_N , then $g(T) \leq 1 \Leftrightarrow T = T_{N,m,k}$, for some $m \leq 27$ with $m \neq 22, 23, 26$.
- ▶ This and other considerations led me in 1995 to make the following conjecture.
- ▶ **Conjecture 5 (K., 1995).** If $N \geq 23$ is prime, then every curve $C \subset Z_N$ of genus 0 or 1 is **modular**; i.e., $C = T_{N,m,k}$ with $m \leq 27$ and $m \neq 22, 23, 26$.
- ▶ **Proposition 5.** If **Lang's Conjecture** holds for the Z_N 's and if **Conjecture 5** holds, then the refined **Darmon's Conjecture 3*** is true.

7. Further Conjectures - 3

- ▶ Recently **Bakker and Tsimerman** proved the following amazing result.
- ▶ **Theorem (Bakker/Tsimerman, 2013)** There exists an N_0 such that if $N > N_0$ is prime, then $(Z_N)_{exc}$ consists only of modular correspondences.
- ▶ **Corollary. Lang's Conjecture** implies **Darmon's Conjecture 3**.
- ▶ **Unfortunately:** it is unknown how large the constant N_0 in the BT-Theorem is.

8. The Basic Construction

- ▶ By construction, the surface $Z_{N,\varepsilon}$ is the coarse moduli space of the functor $\mathcal{Z}_{N,\varepsilon}$ of isomorphisms of elliptic Galois representations of determinant $\varepsilon \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$.
But in the case that $\varepsilon \equiv -1 \pmod{N}$, the surface $Z_{N,-1}$ also has another interpretation in terms of **Hurwitz spaces**, as we shall see. The basis for this is:
- ▶ **The basic construction** (Frey/K., 1991). Let $(E, E', \psi) \in \mathcal{Z}_{N,-1}(K)$, so $\psi : E[N] \xrightarrow{\sim} E'[N]$ is an **anti-isometry**. Put

$$A_\psi = (E \times E')/\text{Graph}(\psi).$$

Then A_ψ carries a unique (K -rational) principal polarization

$$\lambda_\psi : A_\psi \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{A}_\psi$$

such that its pull-back to $E \times E'$ is a multiple of the product polarization on $E \times E'$.

8. The Basic Construction - 2

- ▶ **Notation.** Let $\mathcal{A}_2 : (\text{Sch}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow (\text{Sets})$ denote the moduli functor of principally polarized abelian surfaces, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{A}(K) = \{(A, \lambda)\} / \simeq,$$

where A/K is an abelian surface and $\lambda : A \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{A}$ is a K -rational principal polarization.

- ▶ **Proposition 5.** The basic construction defines a morphism of functors

$$\beta_N : \mathcal{Z}_{N,-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2,$$

and the induced morphism $\beta_N : \mathcal{Z}_{N,-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$ on the coarse moduli spaces is a **finite** morphism.

- ▶ **Remark.** The image of β_N is the **Humbert surface** Hum_{N^2} with Humbert invariant N^2 .

8. The Basic Construction - 3

- ▶ In the case of Jacobians, the basic construction also yields curve covers.
- ▶ **Proposition 6.** Let $(E, E', \psi) \in \mathcal{Z}_{N,-1}(K)$. If $(A_\psi, \lambda_\psi) \simeq (J_C, \lambda_C)$ is the Jacobian of a curve C/K , then there exists a K -cover $f : C \rightarrow E$ of degree N .
Moreover, f is *minimal* (i.e., f does not factor over an isogeny of E of degree > 1), and the equivalence class of f is uniquely determined by the condition that $f^* : E \simeq J_E \rightarrow J_C$ equals $\pi \circ i_E$, where $\pi : E \times E' \rightarrow A_\psi \simeq J_C$ is the quotient map and $i_E : E \hookrightarrow E \times E'$ the canonical inclusion.
- ▶ **Definition.** Two curve covers $f_i : X_i \rightarrow Y$ are *equivalent* if there exists an isomorphism $\varphi : X_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} X_2$ and an automorphism $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(Y)$ such that $\alpha \circ f_1 = f_2 \circ \varphi$.
If this holds with $\alpha = 1_Y$, then the covers are *isomorphic*.

9. Hurwitz Spaces

- ▶ **Recall:** Let Y/\mathbb{C} be a curve. **Hurwitz** showed in 1898 that the set of isomorphism classes of curve covers $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of bounded degree and genus can be identified with the points of an analytic space (now called a **Hurwitz space**.) Here we construct a (restricted) Hurwitz space of genus 2 covers of an elliptic curve.
- ▶ **Definition.** Let E/K be an elliptic curve and C/K a curve of genus 2. A cover $f : C \rightarrow E$ of degree N is said to be *normalized* if
 - f is minimal;
 - $[-1]_E \circ f = f \circ \omega_C$, where ω_C is the hyperelliptic involution on C ;
 - $\deg(f^*(0_E) \cap W_C) = 3\text{rem}(N, 2)$, where $W_C = \text{Fix}(\omega_C)$ is the divisor of Weierstraß points.
- ▶ **Lemma.** If $f : C \rightarrow E$ is a minimal K -cover, then there exists a unique $x \in E(K)$ such that $T_x \circ f$ is normalized.

9. Hurwitz Spaces - 2

- ▶ Fix an elliptic curve E/K and an integer N . If L/K is an extension field, put

$$\mathcal{H}_{E/K,N}(L) := \{C \xrightarrow{f} E \text{ is a normalized } L\text{-cover of degree } N\} / \simeq$$

By using the **basic construction** one obtains:

- ▶ **Theorem 2** (K., 2003). The assignment $L \mapsto \mathcal{H}_{E/K,N}(L)$ extends to a functor $\mathcal{H}_{E/K,N} : (\text{Sch}/K) \rightarrow (\text{Sets})$.

If $N \geq 3$, then this functor is represented by an open subset $H_{E/K,N}$ of the curve $X_{E/K,N,-1}$.

In particular, $H_{E/K,N} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is an open subset of $X(N)$, and $H_{E/K,N}$ is a smooth, affine curve which is geometrically irreducible.

- ▶ **Corollary.** If E/K is an elliptic curve a number field K , then there are only finitely many normalized K -covers $f : C \rightarrow E$ of fixed degree $N \geq 7$.

9. Hurwitz Spaces - 3

- ▶ In the above Hurwitz space we had fixed the base elliptic curve E/K . We now consider the case that we allow E/K to vary. In this case we have to consider equivalence classes of covers: $(f_1 : C_1 \rightarrow E_1) \sim (f_2 : C_2 \rightarrow E_2) \Leftrightarrow \exists \varphi : C_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} C_2, \alpha : E_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} E_2 : \alpha \circ f_1 = f_2 \circ \varphi$.

If L is any extension field of \mathbb{Q} , put

$$\mathcal{H}_N(L) := \{f : C \rightarrow E \text{ is a normalized } L\text{-cover of degree } N\} / \sim$$

Similar to before, the assignment $L \mapsto \mathcal{H}_N(L)$ extends to a functor $\mathcal{H}_N : (\text{Sch}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow (\text{Sets})$.

- ▶ **Theorem 3** (Frey/K., 2009). If $N \geq 3$, then \mathcal{H}_N is coarsely represented by an open subset H_N of $Z_{N,-1}$.

9. Hurwitz Spaces - 4

- ▶ **Remark.** The “boundary” $\partial H_N := Z_{N,-1} \setminus H_N$ can be described explicitly since it is always a union of modular correspondences on $Z_{N,-1}$.

In the case that N is prime, the components of ∂H_N are the curves $T_{N,m,k}$ with $m = \frac{s(N-s)}{t^2}$, where $1 \leq s \leq \frac{N-1}{2}$ and $t^2 | s(N-s)$, and $ks \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{N}$.

10. The Discriminant

- ▶ In the classical theory of **Hurwitz spaces**, which classifies covers up to isomorphism, the **discriminant divisor** $\text{disc}(f)$ of the cover f plays an important role. In our situation we have:
- ▶ **Proposition 7.** Let E/K be an elliptic curve and let $\pi_E : E \rightarrow E/\langle [-1]_E \rangle \simeq \mathbb{P}_K^1$ be the (Weierstraß) quotient map. If $N \geq 3$ is an integer, then there exists a morphism

$$\delta_{E/K,N} : H_{E/K,N} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_K^1$$

such that $\text{disc}(f_x) = \pi_E^*(\delta_{E/K,N}(x))$, for all $x \in H_{E/K,N}(K)$, where $f_x : C_x \rightarrow E$ is the cover corresponding to x . In particular, if $\bar{P} \in \mathbb{P}_K^1(K)$, then

$$\delta_{E/K,N}^{-1}(\bar{P})(K) = \{x \in H_{E/K,N}(K) : \text{disc}(f_x) = \pi_E^*(P)\}.$$

- ▶ It is much more difficult to determine the degree of $\delta_{E/K,N}$.

10. The Discriminant - 2

- ▶ **Theorem 3** (K., 2006). If $N \geq 3$, then $\delta_{E/K,N}$ is unramified outside of $\pi_E(E[2])$ and its degree is

$$\deg(\delta_{E/K,N}) = \frac{1}{12}(N-1)sl(N),$$

where

$$sl(N) = |\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})| = N\phi(N)\psi(N) = N^3 \prod_{P|N} \left(1 - \frac{1}{P^2}\right).$$

- ▶ **Remark.** This is proved (in K. 2006) by **compactifying** the universal cover

$$f_u : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow E \times H_{E,K}$$

and interpreting $\deg(\delta_{E/K})$ as an intersection number on the compactified surface $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$. The key ingredients for computing this intersection number are (i) a detailed study of the degenerate fibres of the (semi-stable) fibration $\bar{p} : \bar{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \bar{X}(N)$ and (ii) certain identities due to **Noether** and **Mumford** between the **Faltings height** $h_{\bar{\mathcal{C}}/\bar{X}(N)}$ and other invariants of the fibration (called δ_0 and δ_1).

10. The Discriminant - 3

- ▶ **Corollary.** If $D \in \text{Div}(E)$ is a effective divisor of degree 2, and if $N \geq 3$ is an integer, then the number of minimal genus 2 covers of degree N of E/\bar{K} with discriminant D is

$$|\text{Cov}_{E/\bar{K}, N, D}^{(\min)}| = \frac{1}{3\mu_D}(N-1) - \frac{\mu_D-1}{6N}sl(N),$$

where $\mu_D = 1$ if D is reduced and $\mu_D = 2$ otherwise.

- ▶ **Remark.** It is also possible to deduce from this the weighted number $\bar{c}_{E,D} = \sum_{f \in \text{Cov}_{E,N,D}} 1/|\text{Aut}(f)|$ of genus 2 covers of E/\bar{K} of degree N with discriminant D :

$$\bar{c}_{E,D} = \frac{N}{3\mu_D}(\sigma_3(N) - N\sigma_1(N)) - \frac{\mu_D-1}{24}(7\sigma_3(N) - (6N+1)\sigma_1(N)),$$

where $\sigma_k(n) = \sum_{d|n} d^k$. This formula (for D reduced) was derived by by **Dijkgraaf (1995)** by using **mirror symmetry** (and group theory).

11. The Discriminant Stratification of H_N

- ▶ While the discriminant $\text{disc}(f) \in \text{Div}(E)$ is clearly an invariant of the **isomorphism class** of the cover f , this is no longer the case when we pass to the **equivalence class** of f . Thus, we cannot naturally “extend” the discriminant morphism $\delta_{E/K,N}$ on $H_{E/K,N}$ to a morphism on H_N .
- ▶ **However:** certain **properties** of $\text{disc}(f)$ (for normalized covers) are preserved under equivalence:
 - $\text{disc}(f)$ is reduced;
 - $\text{disc}(f) = 2O_E$;
 - $\text{disc}(f) = 2P$, where $P \in E[2] \setminus \{0_E\}$.

These, therefore, give rise to subsets $H_N^{(\text{red})}$, $H_N^{(0)}$ and $H_N^{(2)}$, respectively, and H_N is the disjoint union of these. Thus we have the **stratification**

$$H_N = H_N^{(\text{red})} \amalg H_N^{(0)} \amalg H_N^{(2)}.$$

- ▶ **Proposition 8.** H_N^{red} is an open affine subset of H_N , and $H_N^{(0)}$ and $H_N^{(2)}$ are (reducible) curves.

The Discriminant Stratification of $H_N - 2$

- ▶ **Remark.** Certain irreducible components of $H_N^{(0)}$ and of $H_N^{(2)}$ have been studied extensively from the point of view of **Teichmüller curves** which occur in the dynamics of **billiards** (in polygons) and are described by **square-tiled surfaces** (and their deformations).
- ▶ **For example:** in the notation of **McMullen (2005)**, we have the following (irreducible) Teichmüller curves $W_{N^2}^*$:
 - If $N \geq 4$ is even: $W_{N^2} \subset H_N^{(2)}$;
 - If $N \geq 5$ is odd: $W_{N^2}^0 \subset H_N^{(2)}$ and $W_{N^2}^1 \subset H_N^{(0)}$.

The Discriminant Stratification of H_N - 3

- ▶ **Remark.** It follows from the work of **Hubert/Lelièvre (2006)** that (for $N \geq 5$ prime) none of these Teichmüller curves can be modular correspondences because they are quotients of \mathfrak{H} by **non-congruence subgroups** of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.
Moreover, it follows from **Lelièvre/Royer (2006)** and the above **Corollary** of Theorem 3 that the curves $H^{(0)}$ and $H^{(2)}$ cannot be Teichmüller curves; i.e., there is at least one non-Teichmüller component.
- ▶ **Proposition 9***. If $N \geq 11$, then every Teichmüller curve on $Z_{N,-1}$ has genus ≥ 3 .
- ▶ **Remark.** This can be seen as further evidence for my **Conjecture 5**.