Unit #9 : Definite Integral Properties; Fundamental Theorem of **Calculus**

Goals:

- Identify properties of definite integrals
- Define odd and even functions, and relationship to integral values
- Introduce the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus
- Compute simple anti-derivatives and definite integrals

Reading: Textbook reading for Unit #9 : Study Sections 5.4*,* 5.3*,* 6.²

Properties of Definite Integrals

This example highlights an important and intuitive genera^l property of definite integrals.

^A more rarely helpful, but equally true, corollary of this property is ^a secondproperty:

Reversed Interval Property of Definite Integrals

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx = \frac{a}{\xi} \int_{b}^{a} f(x) dx
$$

Use the integral
$$
\int_0^{\pi/3} \cos(x) dx + \int_{\pi/3}^0 \cos(x) dx
$$
, and the earlier interval property,
erty, to illustrate the reversed interval property.
 $\int_{\cos(x)} \cos(x) dx + \int_{\cos(x)} \cos(x) dx = \int_{\cos(x)} \cos(x) dx = 0$
 $\int_{\cos(x)}^{\pi/3} \cos(x) dx = \int_{\pi/3}^{\pi/3} \cos(x) dx$
 $\int_{\cos(x)}^{\pi/3} \cos(x) dx = \int_{\pi/3}^{\pi/3} \cos(x) dx$
 $\int_{\cos(x)}^{\pi/3} \cos(x) dx = \int_{\cos(x)}^{\pi/3} \cos(x) dx$

Give a rationale related to Riemann sums for the Reversed Interval property.

$$
\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(x) dx = \lim_{h \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_{i}) \Delta x \quad \Delta x = \frac{1}{n}
$$
\n
$$
\int_{\alpha}^{\alpha} f(x) dx = \lim_{h \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_{i}) \Delta x \quad \Delta x_{2} = \frac{a-b}{n}
$$
\n
$$
= \lim_{h \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_{i}) \Delta x \quad \Delta x_{2} = \frac{a-b}{n}
$$
\n
$$
= -\lim_{h \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_{i}) \Delta x
$$
\n
$$
= -\lim_{h \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_{i}) \Delta x
$$

Even and Odd Functions

These properties can be helpful especially when dealing with *even* and *odd* functions.

Define an even *function. Give some examples and sketch them.*

Define an odd *function. Give some examples and sketch them.*

 $f(x) = -f(-x)$

symmetic through (0,0)

8

Example: If
$$
\int_a^b f(x) dx = 2
$$
, and $\int_a^b g(x) dx = 4$ then what is the value of $\int_a^b (f(x) + g(x)) dx$? Again, sketch an area rationale for this relation.

Linearity of Definite Integrals

$$
\int_a^b kf(x) dx = k \int_a^b f(x) dx
$$

$$
\int_a^b f(x) \pm g(x) dx = \int_a^b f(x) dx \pm \int_a^b g(x) dx
$$

Simple Bounds on Definite Integrals

Example: Sketch a graph of $f(x) = 5\sin(2\pi x)$, then use it to make an area *argumen^t proving the statement that*

Simple Maximum and Minimum Values for Definite Integrals If a function $f(x)$ is continuous and bounded between $y = m$ and $y = M$ on the interval $[a, b]$ i.e. $m \le f(x) \le M$ on the interval then interval [a, b], i.e. $m \le f(x) \le M$ on the interval, then

$$
m(b-a) \le \int_a^b f(x) \ dx \le M(b-a)
$$

Note that the maximum and minimum values we ge^t with the method above are quite crude. Sometimes you will be asked for much more precise values which canoften be just as easy to find.

Example:*: <i>Use the graph to find the exact <i>value of* $\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} 5 \sin(2\pi x) \, d\mathbf{x}$ *i.e. not just ^a range, but the single correct area value.*

Relative Sizes of Definite Integrals

Example: *Two cars start at the same time from the same starting point. For the first second,*

- *the first car moves at velocity* $v_1 = t$ *, and*
- *the second car moves at velocity* $v_2 = t^2$ *.*

Which car travels further in the first second? Relate this to a definite integral.

$$
M_{1} > M_{2} \text{ or } t \in [0,1] \Rightarrow \text{Can } \text{is moving } \text{for } t \in [0,1]
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \text{ can is moving } \text{for } \text{the } t \in [0,1]
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \text{ can be computed, further in } t = [0,1].
$$
\n
$$
M_{1} > M_{2} \Rightarrow \int_{0}^{1} M_{1}(t) dt \Rightarrow \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{t} L_{2}(t) dt
$$

Comparison of Definite Integrals If $f(x) \le g(x)$ on an interval $[a, b]$, then

$$
\int_a^b f(x) \ dx \le \int_a^b g(x) \ dx
$$

The Fundamental Theorem of Calculus

Reading: Section 5.3 and 6.2

We have now drawn ^a firm relationship between area calculations (and ^physical properties that can be tied to an area calculation on ^a graph). The time has nowcome to build ^a method to compute these areas in ^a systematic way.

The Fundamental Theorem of Calculus

If f is continuous on the interval $[a, b]$, and we define a related function
that $F'(x) = f(x)$, then If f is continuous on the interval $[a, b]$, and we define a related function $F(x)$ such ′ $f(x) = f(x)$, then $\,F$ \int_a^b $f(x) dx$ $F(b)\equiv$ $F(a)$ =acomplicated
are a-velotal

The fundamental theorem ties the *area* calculation of ^a definite integral back to our earlier *slope* calculations from derivatives. However, it changes the direction
in which we take the derivative:
 $\left\{\begin{matrix} \mathcal{L} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{L}' \\ \mathcal{L} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{L}' \end{matrix}\right\}$ in which we take the derivative:

• Given $f(x)$, we find the *slope* by finding the *derivative* of $f(x)$, or $f'(x)$.

 $F' \rightarrow F$

• Given if $f(x)$, we find the *area* $\int_a^b f(x) dx$ by finding $F(x)$ which is the *antiderivative* of $f(x)$; i.e. a function $F(x)$ for which $F'(x) = f(x)$.

In other words, if we can find an anti-derivative $F(x)$, then calculating the value of the definite integral requires a simple evaluation of $F(x)$ at two points $(F(b) -$ ^F(a)). This last step is *much* easier than computing an area using finite Riemannsums, and also provides an exact value of the integral instead of an estimate.

Sketch the area interpretation of this result.

. The contract of the contrac

 $-ti-du$ 22We used the fact that $F(x) = \frac{1}{3}x^3$ is an anti-derivative of x^2 , so we were able *use the Fundamental Theorem.* $Give$ *another* function $F(x)$ *which would* also satisfy $\frac{d}{dx}$ $\frac{d}{dx}F(x) = x^2.$ $F(x) = \frac{1}{3}x^{3} + 5$ any const $\frac{1}{3}$ $f(x) = x^{2} + 0$ d_{dx} Use the Fundamental Theorem again with this new function to find the area $\int_0^{\pi^2} dx$.
 $F(x) = \frac{1}{3}x^3 + (7 \implies F'(x) = \frac{1}{3}(3x^2) + 0 = x^2$ *implied* by $\int_{0}^{2} x^2 dx$. $F(z) - F(0)$
 $F(z) - F(0)$
 $F(z) - F(0)$
 $F(z) - F(0)$
 $F(2) - F(0)$
 $F(3) + F(1)$ $=\frac{8}{3}$ sq units, as before

Did the area/definite integral value change? Why or why not?

$$
N_{0}: \mathcal{H}_{0} F(\zeta) - F(\sigma)
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{c}\n\text{from } \tilde{\omega} \text{ and } \varphi \in T, C \\
\text{from } \tilde{\omega} \text{ and } \varphi \text{ and } \varphi \text{ (} + \text{ and } \varphi \text{) will} \\
\text{gscatile } \text{the } \tilde{\omega} \text{ and } \varphi \text{ (} + \text{ and } \varphi \text{) will} \\
\text{Based on that result, give the most general version of } F(x) \text{ you can think of.} \\
\text{Conform that } \frac{d}{dx} F(x) = x^{2}. \\
\qquad F(x) = \frac{1}{3}x^{3} + C \sqrt{3/3}x \\
\qquad \qquad \qquad \varphi \text{ or } \varphi \text{ will be } \varphi \text{ or } \varphi \text{ will be } \varphi \text{ or } \varphi \text{.} \\
\qquad \qquad \varphi \text{ or } \varphi \text{ is the } \varphi \text{ and } \varphi \text{ will be } \varphi \text{ or } \varphi \text{.}\n\end{array}
$$

With our extensive practice with derivatives earlier, we should find it straightforward to determine some simple *anti-*derivatives.

function
$$
f(x)
$$
 anti-derivative F(x)
\n e^x
\n 2^x
\n<

The chief importance of the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus (F.T.C.) is that it enables us (potentially at least) to find values of definite integrals more accurately and more simply than by the method of calculating Riemann sums. In principle, the F.T.C. gives a precise answer to the integral, while calculating a (finite) Riemann sum ^gives you no better than an approximation.

 $LEFT, MID$

Example: *Consider* the area of the triangle bounded by $y = 4x$, $x = 0$ and $x = 4$. Compute the area based on a sketch, and then by constructing an *integral and using anti-derivatives to compute its value.*

The last entry in our anti-derivative table was $f(x) =$ $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ \Rightarrow $F(x) = L(x) + C$ $\frac{1}{x}$. It is a bit of a special case, as we can see in the following example.

Example: Sketch the area implied by the integral \int_{-3}^{-3} 1 -3 1 $\mathcal{X}% =\mathbb{R}^{2}\times\mathbb{R}^{2}$ dx .

30

 Now use the anti-derivative and the Fundamental Theorem of Example:1 $Calculate \; to \; obtain \; the \; exact \; area \; under \;} f(x) =$ $between x=-3$ and $x=-1$. $\mathcal{X}% =\mathbb{R}^{2}\times\mathbb{R}^{2}$ *Make any necessary adaptations to our earlier anti-derivative table.*= $F(-1) - F(-3)$ $\ell_n(x)$ $C(x)$ $f(x) = ln(x) + C$ $(L(-3)+2)$? $= (ln (-1) + 1)$ not defined not defined need a better / more general $\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{z} \int \frac{1}{x} \int_0^{\infty} d\theta \, d\theta$ fu all $x \neq 0$ $\int \frac{1}{x} dx$ and the second for $x > 0$
and $ln(x)$ and sepimed for $x > 0$ ں
که شعفی م $P^{\circ}P^{\circ}$
= $(\mu_{1} | -1 | + \mu) - (\mu_{1} (1-3) + \mu) = \mu_{1}(1) - \mu_{1}(3)$
= $(\mu_{2} | -1 | + \mu) - (\mu_{1} (1-3) + \mu) = \mu_{1,0} - \mu_{2}(3)$

Anti-derivatives and the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus

The F.T.C. tells us that if we want to evaluate

$$
\int_a^b f(x) \, dx
$$

all we need to do is find an anti-derivative $F(x)$ of $f(x)$ and then evaluate $F(b) - F(a)$. $F(a)$.

THERE IS A CATCH. While in some cases this really is very clever and straightforward, in other cases finding the anti-derivative can be surprisingly difficult. This week, we will stick with simple anti-derivatives; in later weeks we will developtechniques to find more complicated anti-derivatives.

Some genera^l remarks at this point will be helpful.

Remark ¹

Unit 9 – Definite Integral Properties; Fundamental Theorem of Calculus 33 Because of the *importance* of finding an anti-derivative of $f(x)$ when you want to calculate \int_a $\it a$ by the symbol $f(x) dx$, it has become customary to denote the anti-derivative itself

$$
\int f(x) dx = c_x \hat{f}(x) \sinh \hat{g}(x)
$$

the $\hat{g}(x) = \hat{g}(x) \hat{f}(x)$

The symbol \int $f(x) dx$ (with no limits on the integral) refers to the anti-derivative(s) of $f(x)$, and is called the $\underline{\textbf{indefinite}}$ integral of $f(x)$

Note that the definite integral is ^a number, but the indefinite integral is ^a function(really ^a family of functions).

 2^{ω}

Remark ²

Since there are always infinitely many anti-derivatives, all differing from each other by ^a constant, we customarily write the anti-derivative as ^a family of functions, inthe form $F(x) + C$. For example,

$$
\int x^2 \, dx = \frac{x^3}{3} + \underline{C}
$$

Note that *an anti-derivative* is ^a single function, while the *indefinite integral* is ^a family of functions.

Remark ³

Since the last step in the evaluation of the integral $\int_a^b f(x) dx$, once the anti- $\it a$ derivative $F(x)$ is found, is the evaluation $F(b) - F(a)$ e $F(x)$ is found, is the evaluation $F(b)$ – $-F(a)$, it is customary to write $F(x)$ $\overline{}$ $\overline{}$ $\overline{}$ b $\it a$ in place of $F(b)$ – $-F(a)$, as in \int_0^4 $\overline{0}$ $\mathcal{X}% =\mathbb{R}^{2}\times\mathbb{R}^{2}$ $^{2}\,dx$ = $\mathcal{X}% =\mathbb{R}^{2}\times\mathbb{R}^{2}$ 3 3 $\overline{}$ $\overline{}$ $\overline{}$ $\overline{}$ 4 $\frac{0}{5}$ =43 3 Ω 3 3Remark ⁴ The variable <u>x</u> in the definite integral $\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx$ is called the *variable of inte*- $\it a$ *gration*. It can be replaced by another variable name without altering the value of the integral. \int_a^b a $\int f(x) dx$ = $=\int_a^b$ a $\int(u)\,du$ = $=\int_a^b$ a $f(\underline{\theta})\,d\theta$