

Properties of Constituent Matrices

Notation: If A is an $m \times m$ matrix, let

$$\text{ch}_A(t) = (t - \lambda_1)^{m_1}(t - \lambda_2)^{m_2} \cdots (t - \lambda_s)^{m_s}$$

be the factorization of $\text{ch}_A(t)$ (λ_i 's distinct), and let $\{E_{ik}\}$ be the set of constituent matrices of A .

For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $k \geq 0$ put

$$E_{\lambda,k}^A = \begin{cases} E_{ik} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_i \text{ and } k \leq m_i - 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem: Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $k \geq 0$. Then:

(a) If $A = \text{diag}(A_1, \dots, A_r)$, then

$$(1) \quad E_{\lambda,k}^A = \text{diag}(E_{\lambda,k}^{A_1}, \dots, E_{\lambda,k}^{A_r}).$$

(b) If $B = P^{-1}AP$, then

$$(2) \quad E_{\lambda,k}^B = P^{-1}E_{\lambda,k}^AP.$$

Corollary 1: In the situation of part (a) we have

$$(3) \quad E_{\lambda,k}^A = 0 \text{ for } k \geq \max(m_{A_1}(\lambda), \dots, m_{A_r}(\lambda)).$$

Application: The **maximum size** of a Jordan block.

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, and put

$t_A(\lambda) := \max\{k : J(\lambda, k) \text{ appears as a block in } J_A\}$,
where J_A is the Jordan canonical form of A .

Corollary 2: We have that

$$(4) \quad E_{\lambda, k}^A = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad k \geq t_A(\lambda).$$

Remarks: 1) Recall that λ is a **regular** eigenvalue of $A \Leftrightarrow t_A(\lambda) = 1$. Thus by Corollary 2 we have

λ is regular eigenvalue $\Rightarrow E_{\lambda, k}^A = 0$ for $k \geq 1$.

2) The number $t_A(\lambda)$ is connected with the **generalized geometric multiplicities** $\nu_A^p(\lambda)$ as follows:

$$(5) \quad \nu_A^k(\lambda) = \nu_A^{k+1}(\lambda) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad k \geq t_A(\lambda).$$

Thus, we have the following pattern (if $t = t_A(\lambda)$):

$$0 < \nu_A^1(\lambda) < \dots < \nu_A^t(\lambda) = \nu_A^{t+1}(\lambda) = \dots$$

3) If we put

$$\mu_A(t) = (t - \lambda_1)^{t_A(\lambda_1)} \dots (t - \lambda_s)^{t_A(\lambda_s)},$$

then $\mu_A(t) | \text{ch}_A(t)$ and we have $\mu_A(A) = 0$ by the Spectral Decomposition Formula and (4). This refines the **Cayley-Hamilton Theorem**.