

1. Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $R$  an equivalence relation on  $X$ .

- (a) What does it mean that a pair  $(W, \pi)$  (where  $W$  is a topological space, and  $\pi: X \rightarrow W$  a continuous map) is a quotient of  $X$  by  $R$ ? (I.e., what is the definition of quotient topological space?)

Suppose that  $(W, \pi)$  and  $(W', \pi')$  are both quotients of  $X$  by  $R$ . Show that  $(W, \pi)$  and  $(W', \pi')$  are isomorphic by unique isomorphisms compatible with their role as quotients. Specifically, show that

- (b) there is a unique morphism  $f: W \rightarrow W'$  so that  $\pi' = f \circ \pi$ , a unique morphism  $g: W' \rightarrow W$  so that  $\pi = g \circ \pi'$ , that  $g \circ f = \text{Id}_W$ , and that  $f \circ g = \text{Id}_{W'}$ .

2. Let  $X = [0, 1]$  and let  $R$  be the equivalence relation on  $X$  such that  $0 \sim 1$ , and such that a point  $x \in (0, 1)$  is only equivalent to itself. In this question we will confirm that  $X/R$  is the circle

$$S^1 = \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 = 1 \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$$

with its standard topology (i.e., with the subspace topology inherited from the standard topology on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ).

Let  $(X/R, \pi)$  be the quotient of  $X$  by  $R$ , and let  $f: X \rightarrow S^1$  be the map  $f(x) = (\cos(2\pi x), \sin(2\pi x))$ . (This  $\pi$  is the number  $\pi$ , not the quotient map.)

- (a) Is the map  $f$  continuous? What is the simplest argument showing this?

(You may assume that  $\cos$  and  $\sin$  are continuous functions from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Your answer should probably involve both the universal property of the product, and something about the subspace topology.)

- (b) Explain how to use  $f$  to get a continuous map  $g: X/R \rightarrow S^1$  and why this map is a bijection.

Thanks to the fact that  $g$  is a bijection, we may consider  $X/R$  (as a set) to be  $S^1$ , and what is left is to show that the topology on  $X/R$  — now called  $S^1$  — is the standard topology on  $S^1$ . Let  $\tau_Q$  be the topology on  $S^1$  coming from the construction as a quotient, and  $\tau_S$  the standard topology on  $S^1$ .

- (c) Explain why  $\tau_Q$  is a finer topology than  $\tau_S$ .

- (d) Show that  $\tau_Q = \tau_S$  (and thus that the quotient really is  $S^1$  with the standard topology).

SUGGESTION : By (c) we have  $\tau_S \subseteq \tau_Q$ , so one possible strategy for (d) is to show the opposite inclusion, and one way to do that is to pick a convenient base for  $\tau_Q$  and show that for every element  $U$  in that base,  $U \in \tau_S$ . Finally, to understand the open sets in  $\tau_Q$  (and so determine a convenient base for your argument), why not use the fact that open sets in  $\tau_Q$  correspond to  $R$ -saturated open sets in  $X$ ?

3. Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^2$ , and consider the following equivalence relation  $R$  on  $X$  :

$$(x_1, y_1) \sim (x_2, y_2) \text{ if and only if } \exists t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } (x_2, y_2) = (x_1 + ty_1, y_1).$$

We may also describe the equivalence relation using matrix notation. The equation  $(x_2, y_2) = (x_1 + ty_1, y_1)$  can be written as

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- (a) What (geometrically) are the equivalence classes under this equivalence relation?

You may want to consider the case that a point is off the  $x$ -axis, or on the  $x$ -axis, separately.

Let  $(X/R, \pi)$  be the quotient space. We will now try and determine  $X/R$  with its quotient topology.

Let  $Z$  be the union of the  $x$ - and  $y$ -axes in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and  $\tau_S$  the subspace topology on  $Z$ . Let  $h: Z \rightarrow X/R$  be the composition of the inclusion  $i_Z$  and  $\pi$ .

- (b) Explain why  $h$  is a (continuous) bijection.

By (b) we may identify  $Z$  and  $X/R$  as sets. Let  $\tau_Q$  be the quotient topology on  $Z$  (i.e., the one coming from our bijection of  $Z$  and  $X/R$ ). By (b),  $\tau_Q \subseteq \tau_S$ .

Let  $W \subseteq Z$  be the  $y$ -axis minus  $(0, 0)$ . The closure of  $W$  (in  $Z$ ) in the standard topology is just the  $y$ -axis, but this is not the closure of  $W$  in the quotient topology.

- (c) Find the closure of  $W$  in the quotient topology on  $Z$ .

(This might be a good time to use the bijection between closed sets in the quotient topology and  $R$ -saturated closed subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .)

The lesson we learn from (c) is that  $\tau_Q$  is a proper subset of  $\tau_S$  – not all sets which are open in  $\tau_S$  are open in  $\tau_Q$ . Let us now try and find a base for the topology  $\tau_Q$ . We can do this by taking elements of a base for the topology in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , considering the  $R$ -saturation of those sets, and then, if the saturations are open, restricting to  $Z$ .

Let  $(0, y) \in Z$  be a point on the  $y$ -axis,  $y \neq 0$ , and let  $B_\delta(0, y) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be an open ball around  $(0, y)$  of radius  $\delta$ , with  $\delta < |y|$  (so that  $B_\delta(0, y)$  does not contain  $(0, 0)$ ).

- (d) What is the  $R$ -saturation of  $B_\delta(0, y)$ ? Is it open? What is the restriction of this set to  $Z$ ?
- (e) Conclude from (d) that any open interval on the  $y$ -axis not containing  $(0, 0)$  is open in  $\tau_Q$ .

Similarly, let  $(x, 0) \in Z$  be a point on the  $x$ -axis (allowing  $x = 0$ ), and let  $B_\delta(x, 0) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be an open ball around  $(x, 0)$  of radius  $\delta$  (with no restriction on  $\delta$ , other than  $\delta > 0$ ).

- (f) What is the  $R$ -saturation of  $B_\delta(x, 0)$ ? Is it open? What is the restriction of this set to  $Z$ ?

For any  $\delta > 0$ , let

$$V_\delta = \left\{ (0, y) \mid |y| < \delta, y \neq 0 \right\} \subseteq Z.$$

(Note that by (e)  $V_\delta$  is an open subset in  $\tau_Q$ .)

- (g) Conclude from (f) that if a subset  $U \subseteq Z$  is open in  $\tau_Q$ , and if  $U$  contains a point on the  $x$ -axis, then  $U$  must contain a subset of the form  $V_\delta$  for some  $\delta > 0$ .
- (h) Given an open subset  $U \in \tau_S$ , when is  $U \in \tau_Q$ ?