1. Let  $X = \mathbb{A}^n$  and for  $2 \leq s \leq n$  let  $V_s$  be the open subset of X which is the complement of the linear space  $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = \cdots = x_s = 0$ . Compute (analogously to the computation for  $\mathbb{A}^2$  and s = 2) the ring of functions  $\mathcal{O}_X(V_s)$ . (You can make your life easier in the case s > 2 by appealing to your answer for s = 2.)

2. Let X be the affine variety described by the equation  $xy - z^2 = 0$  in  $\mathbb{A}^3$ , and let  $U \subset X$  be the complement of  $(0,0,0) \in X$ . In this problem we will compute  $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$  and see that it is equal to R[X].

The variety X is covered by the principal open sets  $U_x$  and  $U_y$ , with coordinate rings  $k[x, y, z, 1/x]/\langle xy - z^2 \rangle \cong k[x, 1/x, z]$  and  $k[x, y, z, 1/y]/\langle xy - z^2 \rangle \cong k[y, 1/y, z]$  respectively. Any function  $g_1 \in R[U_x]$  can be written as a finite sum  $g_1 = \sum a_{ij}x^iz^j$  and any function  $g_2 \in R[U_y]$  can be written as a finite sum  $g_2 = \sum b_{k\ell}y^kz^\ell$ .

(a) What ranges of indices are valid in the expressions for  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  above?

We want to look at pairs  $(g_1, g_2)$  which agree on  $U_x \cap U_y$ . The expressions for  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  above are with respect to different variables. To compare them we need to write them in terms of the same variables.

- (b) Use the relation  $y = \frac{z^2}{x}$  (valid on  $U_x$ , and hence also on  $U_x \cap U_y$ ) to write  $g_2$  in terms of the variables x and z.
- (c) In order for  $g_1$  to be equal to  $g_2$ , what must be the relation between the  $a_{ij}$  and the  $b_{k\ell}$ ?
- (d) Considering the restrictions on the indices from part (a), your formula from (c) will imply additional restrictions on i and j. What are they?
- (e) For each *i* and *j* satisfying the conditions above, show that there is a monomial  $x^p y^q z^r$  which is equal to  $x^i z^j$  on  $U_x$ .
- (f) Explain why this means that the restriction homomorphism  $R[X] \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(U)$  is surjective.

3. Given a ring A and an element  $f \in A$  we have been looking at the ring A[1/f] obtained by adjoining the additional element 1/f to A (and of course using ring operations to get more elements). More precisely the ring A[1/f] is the ring  $A[y]/\langle yf-1 \rangle$ . There is

a natural ring homomorphism  $A \longrightarrow A[1/f]$ , which is the composite of the ring homomorphisms  $A \hookrightarrow A[y] \longrightarrow A[y]/\langle yf-1 \rangle$ . We have seen in class that this homomorphism is not always injective. For instance, if  $h \in A$  is an element so that  $h \cdot f^n = 0$  in A for some  $n \ge 1$ , then in A[1/f] we compute that  $h = (h \cdot f^n) \cdot \frac{1}{f^n} = 0 \cdot \frac{1}{f^n} = 0$ .

The purpose of this question is to prove the converse direction: An element  $h \in A$  is in the kernel of the map  $A \longrightarrow A[1/f]$  only if there is an  $n \ge 1$  such that  $h \cdot f^n = 0$  in A. Suppose that h is such an element. This means that the image of h under the inclusion  $A \hookrightarrow A[y]$  must be in the ideal  $\langle yf - 1 \rangle$  in A[y]. Therefore there is a polynomial  $g \in A[y]$ such that h = g(yf - 1). Since  $g \in A[y]$  we can write g as  $g = g_0 + g_1y + g_2y^2 + \cdots + g_ny^n$ with each  $g_j \in A$ .

- (a) Expand  $g \cdot (yf 1)$  as a polynomial in y.
- (b) As a polynomial in y, h has degree 0. Since we have  $h = g \cdot (yf 1)$ , the coefficients of powers of y on both sides of the equality must be the same. Comparing coefficients, write down all the relations you obtain.
- (c) Show that  $h \cdot f^{n+1} = 0$ .

4. A topological space X is called *quasi-compact* if whenever  $\{U_i\}_{i\in S}$  are a family of open subsets such that  $\bigcup_{i\in S} U_i = X$  then there are a finite number of  $U_i$ 's which actually cover X. (The term *compact* is reserved for Hausdorff topological spaces with this finite subcover property. A topological space with the finite subcover property alone is called quasi-compact.) In this question we will show that affine varieties are quasi-compact.

- (a) Show that if  $U_{f_i}$ ,  $i \in S$  is a family of principal open subsets which cover an affine variety X, then there is a finite number which cover X. (SUGGESTION: Think about what the condition "the  $U_{f_i}$  cover X" means about the complement.)
- (b) Given an arbitrary cover of X by open sets  $\{U_j\}, j \in S$ , use the fact that the principal open subsets are a basis for the Zariski topology and part (a) to show that a finite number of the  $U_j$  are sufficient to cover X.

5. In class we have seen that if X is an affine variety and  $U_f$  a principal open subset, then  $U_f$  is an affine variety. Perhaps every open subset is an affine variety? The purpose of this question is to show that the answer to this is no. Let  $U = \mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ . Recall that we have computed that  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^2}(U) = k[x, y] = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^2}(\mathbb{A}^2)$ .

- (a) Let  $\varphi \colon U \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$  be the inclusion map. Compute the ring homomorphism  $\varphi^*$ .
- (b) Maps between affine varieties are completely determined by the pullback maps. If U were an affine variety, explain why  $\varphi$  would have to be an isomorphism.
- (c) Show that U is not an affine variety.