

EQUIVARIANT TRIVIALITY THEOREMS FOR HILBERT C^* -MODULES

J. A. MINGO AND W. J. PHILLIPS

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this paper is to give an exposition of the various trivuality theorems, the equivariant version of a result due to L. Brown, and a simplification of the proof of Kasparov's trivuality theorems.

0. Introduction and notation. In [5] several trivuality theorems are given for continuous fields of Hilbert spaces $(\mathcal{H}(z), \Gamma)$ over a paracompact space B . When B is locally compact and \mathcal{E} is the subspace of Γ of functions vanishing at infinity, then \mathcal{E} is a Hilbert $C_0(B)$ -module.

Recently some of these trivuality theorems [5, Théorème 4 and Corollaire 3] have been generalized to the case of Hilbert C^* -modules for noncommutative algebras [2, 6, 7, 9]. Our purpose is to give an exposition of the various trivuality theorems, the equivariant version of the trivuality theorem of [2], and a simplification of the proof of Kasparov's trivuality theorems [7, 9].

Although Hilbert C^* -modules had been considered earlier than [7] (see e.g. [10]), we will adopt the notation of Kasparov [7, §2, Definitions 1–4]. If \mathcal{E} is a Hilbert A -module then \mathcal{E}^∞ denotes the direct sum of \mathcal{E} with itself countably many times; an isomorphism of Hilbert A -modules is denoted by \simeq . \mathcal{K}_A denotes A^∞ where A is considered a module over itself [7, §2, Example 1].

The two trivuality theorems then are

THEOREM 1.4 [5, 6, 7, 9]. *Let \mathcal{E} be a countably generated Hilbert A -module; then $\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{K}_A \simeq \mathcal{K}_A$.*

THEOREM 1.9 [2, 5, 6]. *Let \mathcal{E} be a full countably generated Hilbert A -module. If A has a strictly positive element, then $\mathcal{E}^\infty \simeq \mathcal{K}_A$.*

1. Trivuality theorems without group actions. In this section we consider the trivuality theorems mentioned in §0 but without any group actions. A crucial notion in this section is that of a strictly positive element.

DEFINITION 1.1 [1]. If e is a positive element of a C^* -algebra A and $\phi(e) \neq 0$ for all states ϕ on A , then e is strictly positive.

The following lemma, observed in [2], can be deduced from [1], but since it has a straightforward proof, we give it here.

LEMMA 1.2. *If e is a positive element of A then e is strictly positive if and only if eA is dense in A .*

Received by the editors May 13, 1983.
1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L05, 46M20.

©1984 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/84 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

PROOF. Suppose eA is not dense in A . Then by [4, 2.9.4] there is a state of A vanishing on eA . Such a state must vanish on e , so e is not strictly positive.

Suppose ϕ is a state of A for which $\phi(e) = 0$. Then, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, ϕ vanishes on eA . Thus eA is not dense. Q.E.D.

Now we will apply Lemma 1.2 to the algebra $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{E})$ (see [7, §2, Definition 4]).

LEMMA 1.3. *If \mathfrak{E} is a Hilbert A -module and T is a positive element of $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{E})$, then T is strictly positive if and only if T has dense range.*

PROOF. If T is strictly positive then $T\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{E})$ is dense in $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{E})$. As $\overline{\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{E})}\mathfrak{E} = \mathfrak{E}$, we have $\overline{T\mathfrak{E}} = \overline{T\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{E})}\mathfrak{E} = \overline{\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{E})}\mathfrak{E} = \mathfrak{E}$.

If T has dense range, then given $\xi \in \mathfrak{E}$ there exists a sequence $\xi_n \in \mathfrak{E}$ such that $T\xi_n \rightarrow \xi$. So $\theta_{\xi,\eta} = \lim_n T\theta_{\xi_n,\eta} \in \overline{T\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{E})}$. So $T\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{E})$ is dense and T is strictly positive. Q.E.D.

Next we give a proof of the stabilization theorem. The original version of this theorem, for continuous fields of Hilbert spaces, is Théorème 4 (p. 259) of [5]. A C^* -algebra version is given in Theorem 3.1 of [2]. In this version B is a hereditary C^* -subalgebra of A with strictly positive element, $\mathfrak{E} = \overline{BA}$, $D = \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{E} \oplus A^\infty)$, and $p \in M(D)$ is the projection onto \mathfrak{E} . Then $1 - p \sim 1$ means $A^\infty \simeq \mathfrak{E} \oplus A^\infty$.

The proofs of [6 and 7] follow a Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization procedure. The proof below, using polar decomposition, is perhaps simpler.

THEOREM 1.4 (STABILIZATION). *If \mathfrak{E} is a countably generated Hilbert A -module, then $\mathfrak{E} \oplus \mathcal{K}_A \simeq \mathcal{K}_A$.*

PROOF. We may assume A is unital; in fact, \mathfrak{E} may be considered an \tilde{A} -module; then $\mathfrak{E} \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{A}} \simeq \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{A}}$ implies $\mathfrak{E} \oplus \mathcal{K}_A \simeq \mathcal{K}_A$, as $\overline{\mathfrak{E}A} = \mathfrak{E}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{A}}A} = \mathcal{K}_A$.

Let $\{\eta_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subseteq E$ be a bounded countable set of generators with each generator repeated infinitely often. Let $\{\xi_i\} \subseteq \mathcal{K}_A$ be the standard orthonormal basis; that is, ξ_i is the sequence with zeros everywhere but the i th place, where there is a 1. Define $T: \mathcal{K}_A \rightarrow \mathfrak{E} \oplus \mathcal{K}_A$ by

$$T(\xi_i) = 2^{-i}\eta_i \oplus 4^{-i}\xi_i.$$

It is clear that $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}_A, \mathfrak{E} \oplus \mathcal{K}_A)$; in fact,

$$T = \sum 2^{-i}\theta_{\eta_i \oplus 2^{-i}\xi_i, \xi_i} \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}_A, \mathfrak{E} \oplus \mathcal{K}_A).$$

As each η_i is repeated infinitely often, $\eta_i \oplus 2^{-k}\xi_k \in \text{ran}(T)$ for infinitely many k 's. So $\eta_i \oplus 0 \in \text{ran}(T)^-$ and thus $0 \oplus \xi_i \in \text{ran}(T)^-$; thus $\text{ran}(T)$ is dense in $\mathfrak{E} \oplus \mathcal{K}_A$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} T^*T &= \begin{pmatrix} 4^{-4} & & & & & & & & & 0 \\ & 4^{-8} & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & 4^{-12} & & & & & & \\ 0 & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & & \vdots \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 4^{-2}\langle \eta_1, \eta_1 \rangle & 4^{-3}\langle \eta_1, \eta_2 \rangle & \cdots \\ 4^{-3}\langle \eta_2, \eta_1 \rangle & 4^{-4}\langle \eta_2, \eta_2 \rangle & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \\ &= K + K^1 \quad \text{with } K, K^1 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $\text{ran}(K)$ is dense so K is strictly positive. Thus T^*T is strictly positive. So $\text{ran}(T^*T)$ is dense and thus $\text{ran}(|T|)$ is also dense. Finally, define $V: \mathcal{K}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{K}_A$ by $V(|T|\xi) = T\xi$. As $\|V(|T|\xi)\| = \||T|\xi\|$, V has a continuous extension to \mathcal{K}_A , where it becomes a unitary from \mathcal{K}_A to $\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{K}_A$. Q.E.D.

COROLLARY 1.5. *If \mathcal{E} is a Hilbert A -module then \mathcal{E} is countably generated if and only if $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})$ has a strictly positive element.*

PROOF. As in the proof of Theorem 1.4 we may suppose A is unital. By Theorem 1.4 there is a projection P in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}_A)$ with $\mathcal{E} \cong P(\mathcal{K}_A)$. Let $\{\xi_n\}$ be the standard orthonormal basis for \mathcal{K}_A . Then $K = \sum 1/n\theta_{\xi_n, \xi_n}$ is a strictly positive element of $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}_A)$ by Lemma 1.3. Now $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E}) \cong P\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}_A)P$, so $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})$ has a strictly positive element [3, Proposition 2.3], PKP.

Conversely, if $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})$ has strictly positive element $K = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \theta_{\xi_i, \eta_i}$ with $\xi_i, \eta_i \in \mathcal{E}$, then as $K\mathcal{E}$ is dense, $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a set of generators.

DEFINITION 1.6. *If \mathcal{E} is a Hilbert A -module then $\langle \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E} \rangle = \{\sum \langle \xi_i, \eta_i \rangle : \xi_i, \eta_i \in \mathcal{E}\}^-$ is called the support of \mathcal{E} . \mathcal{E} is full if $\langle \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E} \rangle = A$.*

LEMMA 1.7. *If \mathcal{E} is a full Hilbert A -module and A has a strictly positive element then there is a sequence $\{\xi_i\}$ in \mathcal{E} such that $\sum \langle \xi_i, \xi_i \rangle = 1$ strictly in $M(A)$.*

PROOF. This is precisely the statement of Lemma 2.3 of [2] when $\mathcal{E} = pA$ and $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle = \xi^*\eta$ for p a projection in $M(A)$ and $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{E}$. The proof goes over to the more general case with obvious modifications. Q.E.D.

COROLLARY 1.8. *If \mathcal{E} is a full Hilbert A -module and A has strictly positive element then $\mathcal{E}^\infty \simeq A \oplus \mathcal{F}$ for some Hilbert A -module \mathcal{F} .*

PROOF. Let $\{\xi_i\}$ be as in Lemma 1.7. Define $T: A \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^\infty$ by $T(a) = (\xi_i a)$. As $\langle (\xi_i a), (\xi_i a) \rangle = a^*a$, we see that $(\xi_i a) \in \mathcal{E}^\infty$. Define $T^*: \mathcal{E}^\infty \rightarrow A$ by $T^*(\eta_i) = \sum \langle \xi_i, \eta_i \rangle$. By applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we see that $\sum \langle \xi_i, \eta_i \rangle$ converges in norm to an element of A . As $T^*T = \text{id}_A$ we have that $T \oplus \text{id}: A \oplus (1 - TT^*)\mathcal{E}^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^\infty$ is an isomorphism. Q.E.D.

THEOREM 1.9. *If \mathcal{E} is a countably generated full Hilbert A -module and A has a strictly positive element, then $\mathcal{E}^\infty \simeq \mathcal{K}_A$.*

PROOF. $\mathcal{E}^\infty \simeq (A \oplus \mathcal{F})^\infty = \mathcal{K}_A \oplus \mathcal{F}^\infty \simeq \mathcal{K}_A$, where the last isomorphism follows from the stabilization theorem because \mathcal{F} , being a complemented submodule of \mathcal{E}^∞ , is countably generated. Q.E.D.

REMARK 1.10. With Theorem 1.9 we may quickly obtain a proof of [3, Theorem 1.2]. Suppose A and B are strongly Morita equivalent; in our notation this means that there is a full Hilbert B -module \mathcal{E} with $A \cong \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})$. If A and B have strictly positive elements then \mathcal{E} is countably generated by Corollary 1.5 and we may apply Theorem 1.9 to conclude that $\mathcal{E}^\infty \cong \mathcal{B}^\infty$. Now, as in [8, §2.9],

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E}^\infty) = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{K}) \cong \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K})$$

and, similarly, $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{B}^\infty) \cong \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{B}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K})$. Thus

$$A \otimes \mathcal{K} \cong \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{E}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}) \cong \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{E}^\infty) \cong \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{B}^\infty) \cong \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{B}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}) \cong B \otimes \mathcal{K}.$$

So A and B are stably isomorphic.

2. Triviality theorems with group actions. Let (A, α, G) be a C^* -dynamical system.

DEFINITION 2.1 (SEE [7, DEFINITION 1]). A Hilbert $(G - A)$ -module \mathfrak{E} is a Hilbert A -module which is also a left G -module satisfying:

- (i) $t \cdot (\xi a) = (t \cdot \xi)\alpha_t(a)$,
- (ii) $t \rightarrow t \cdot \xi$ is continuous,
- (iii) $\langle t \cdot \xi, t \cdot \eta \rangle = \alpha_t(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle)$

for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{E}, t \in G$ and $a \in A$.

Let \mathfrak{E}_1 and \mathfrak{E}_2 be Hilbert $(G - A)$ -modules. There is an action of G induced on $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E}_1, \mathfrak{E}_2)$, namely $(t \cdot T)(\xi) = t \cdot T(t^{-1} \cdot \xi)$ for $\xi \in \mathfrak{E}_1, T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E}_1, \mathfrak{E}_2)$ and $t \in G$. Note that T is G -equivariant iff $t \cdot T = T$ for all $t \in G$. In general, the map $t \rightarrow t \cdot T$ is strongly continuous. T is called G -continuous in case this map is continuous in norm (see [9, 1.3]).

If \mathfrak{E}_1 and \mathfrak{E}_2 are Hilbert $(G - A)$ -modules then we can make $\mathfrak{E}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{E}_2$ into a Hilbert $(G - A)$ -module by defining the G action as follows: $t \cdot (\xi_1, \xi_2) = (t \cdot \xi_1, t \cdot \xi_2)$ for $t \in G, \xi_1 \in \mathfrak{E}_1$, and $\xi_2 \in \mathfrak{E}_2$. Similarly, if \mathfrak{E} is a Hilbert $(G - A)$ -module then so is \mathfrak{E}^∞ . A itself is a Hilbert $(G - A)$ -module where $t \cdot \xi = \alpha_t(\xi)$ for $t \in G$ and $\xi \in A$.

If \mathfrak{E} is a Hilbert $(G - A)$ -module we can make $C_{00}(G, \mathfrak{E})$ (the continuous compactly supported functions from G to \mathfrak{E}) into a pre-Hilbert $(G - A)$ -module as follows:

$$(\xi a)(t) = \xi(t)a, \quad (s \cdot \xi)(t) = s \cdot \xi(s^{-1}t), \quad \langle \xi, \eta \rangle = \int_G \langle \xi(t), \eta(t) \rangle dt$$

for $\xi, \eta \in C_{00}(G, \mathfrak{E}), s \in G$ and $a \in A$.

DEFINITION 2.2 (SEE [9, 1.4]). $L^2(G, \mathfrak{E})$ is the completion of $C_{00}(G, \mathfrak{E})$ as a Hilbert $(G - A)$ -module.

Note that $L^2(G, \mathfrak{E})$ is a completion of the algebraic tensor product $L^2(G) \otimes \mathfrak{E}$ and the G action is the tensor product of the left regular representation with the G action on \mathfrak{E} . In view of [4, 13.11.3], the following result should not be surprising.

LEMMA 2.3. *If \mathfrak{E}_1 and \mathfrak{E}_2 are isomorphic as Hilbert A -modules then $L^2(G, \mathfrak{E}_1)$ and $L^2(G, \mathfrak{E}_2)$ are isomorphic as Hilbert $(G - A)$ -modules (i.e. by a G -equivariant isomorphism of A -modules).*

PROOF. Let U be a unitary operator in $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E}_1, \mathfrak{E}_2)$. Define $V \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(G, \mathfrak{E}_1), L^2(G, \mathfrak{E}_2))$ by $(V\xi)(t) = t \cdot U(t^{-1} \cdot \xi(t))$ for $\xi \in C_{00}(G, \mathfrak{E}_1)$. It is not difficult to check that V is an A -module map, G -equivariant and unitary. Q.E.D.

The Hilbert $(G - A)$ -module version of Theorem 1.9 now follows.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let \mathfrak{E} be a Hilbert $(G - A)$ -module which is countably generated and full as a Hilbert A -module. Then $L^2(G, \mathfrak{E})^\infty$ is isomorphic to $L^2(G, A)^\infty$ by a G -equivariant isomorphism of Hilbert A -modules.*

PROOF. There are obvious G -equivariant isomorphisms $L^2(G, \mathfrak{E})^\infty \simeq L^2(G, \mathfrak{E}^\infty)$ and $L^2(G, A)^\infty \simeq L^2(G, A^\infty)$. By Theorem 1.9 \mathfrak{E}^∞ and A^∞ are isomorphic as Hilbert A -modules and so by Lemma 2.3 there is a G -equivariant isomorphism $L^2(G, \mathfrak{E}^\infty) \simeq L^2(G, A^\infty)$. Q.E.D.

The Hilbert $(G - A)$ -module version of Theorem 1.4 is the following:

THEOREM 2.5 (KASPAROV [9, THEOREM 2.1]). *Let \mathfrak{E} be a Hilbert $(G - A)$ -module which is countably generated as a Hilbert A -module. There is a G -continuous isomorphism from $\mathfrak{E} \oplus L^2(G, A)^\infty$ to $L^2(G, A)^\infty$. If G is compact this isomorphism can be chosen to be G -equivariant.*

PROOF. By Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 1.4 we have equivariant isomorphisms

$$L^2(G, A)^\infty \simeq L^2(G, A^\infty)^\infty \simeq L^2(G, \mathfrak{E} \oplus A^\infty)^\infty \simeq L^2(G, \mathfrak{E})^\infty \oplus L^2(G, A^\infty)^\infty.$$

Let $\phi \in C_{00}(G)$ with $\|\phi\|_2 = 1$. Let $V: \mathfrak{E} \rightarrow L^2(G, \mathfrak{E})$ be given by $(V\xi)(t) = \xi\phi(t)$. It is easy to check that V is a G -continuous isometry. Now define $U: \mathfrak{E} \oplus L^2(G, \mathfrak{E})^\infty \rightarrow L^2(G, \mathfrak{E})^\infty$ by

$$U(\xi_0, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) = (V\xi_0 + (1 - VV^*)\xi_1, VV^*\xi_1 + (1 - VV^*)\xi_2, \dots).$$

U defines a G -continuous unitary with

$$U^*(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \dots) = (V^*\eta_1, VV^*\eta_2 + (1 - VV^*)\eta_1, VV^*\eta_3 + (1 - VV^*)\eta_2, \dots).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{E} \oplus L^2(G, A)^\infty &\simeq \mathfrak{E} \oplus L^2(G, \mathfrak{E})^\infty \oplus L^2(G, A^\infty)^\infty \\ &\simeq L^2(G, \mathfrak{E})^\infty \oplus L^2(G, A^\infty)^\infty \simeq L^2(G, A)^\infty. \end{aligned}$$

The resulting isomorphism is G -continuous.

If G is compact we may take $\phi = 1$. Then V and U are equivariant and thus $\mathfrak{E} \oplus L^2(G, A)^\infty \simeq L^2(G, A)^\infty$ by a G -equivariant unitary. Q.E.D.

To conclude we shall explain why Theorem 2.4 is the equivariant version of the triviviality theorem of [2]. The equivariant version of [2, Lemma 2.5] is

COROLLARY 2.6. *Let (A, α, G) be a C^* -dynamical system and suppose A has a strictly positive element. If p in $M(A)$ is a full invariant projection then $p \otimes 1 \sim 1 \otimes 1$ in $M(A \otimes \mathfrak{K}(L^2(G)^\infty))$ by an invariant partial isometry.*

Theorem 1.9 and Corollary 2.6 (in the case of a trivial action) are, in fact, proving the same thing. Indeed, suppose B has a strictly positive element and \mathfrak{E} is a countably generated full Hilbert B -module. Let $A = \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{E} \oplus B)$; A is the linking algebra for the strongly Morita equivalent C^* -algebras $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{E})$ and B as in [2, Theorem 2.8 and 3, Theorem 1.1]. By Lemma 1.2, B , when considered as a Hilbert B -module, is countably generated (by a single element in fact). Thus $\mathfrak{E} \oplus B$ is countably generated as a Hilbert B -module; so by Corollary 1.5, $A = \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{E} \oplus B)$ has a strictly positive element. Let p and q be the projections in $M(A)$ with ranges \mathfrak{E} and B , respectively. It is easy to check that AqA is dense in A and similarly ApA is dense in A because \mathfrak{E} is full. Thus p and q are full projections [2, Lemma 1.1].

Now as in [8, §2.9] $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E} \oplus B) \otimes \mathcal{K} \cong \mathcal{K}((\mathcal{E} \oplus B) \otimes \mathcal{K})$, so
 $A \otimes \mathcal{K} \cong \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{K} \oplus B \otimes \mathcal{K})$.

Under this isomorphism $p \otimes 1$ and $q \otimes 1$ become the projections onto $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{K} \cong \mathcal{E}^\infty$ and $B \otimes \mathcal{K} \cong B^\infty$, respectively. Thus $p \otimes 1 \sim 1 \otimes 1 \sim q \otimes 1$ gives $\mathcal{E}^\infty \cong B^\infty$.

PROOF OF COROLLARY 2.6. Let $\mathcal{E} = pA$; then \mathcal{E} is a Hilbert $(G - A)$ -module. As $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E}) = pAp$, which being a corner of A has a strictly positive element [3, Proposition 2.3], we have that \mathcal{E} is countably generated by Corollary 1.5. Also, as $\langle \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E} \rangle = \overline{pAp}$ we see that \mathcal{E} is full. So $\mathcal{E} \otimes L^2(G)^\infty \cong A \otimes L^2(G)^\infty$ by an equivariant isomorphism, that is, $p \otimes 1 \sim 1 \otimes 1$ in

$$\mathcal{L}(A \otimes L^2(G)^\infty) \cong M(A \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G)^\infty))$$

by an invariant partial isometry. Q.E.D.

REFERENCES

1. J. F. Aarnes and R. V. Kadison, *Pure states and approximate identities*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (1969), 749–752.
2. L. G. Brown, *Stable isomorphism of hereditary subalgebras of C^* -algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **71** (1977), 335–348.
3. L. G. Brown, P. Green and M. A. Rieffel, *Stable isomorphism and strong Morita equivalence of C^* -algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **71** (1977), 349–363.
4. J. Dixmier, *C^* -algebras*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977.
5. J. Dixmier and A. Douady, *Champs continus d'espaces Hilbertiens et de C^* -algebras*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **91** (1963), 227–284.
6. M. Dupr e and P. A. Fillmore, *Triviality theorems for Hilbert modules*, Topics in Modern Operator Theory (C. Apostol et al., eds.), Birkh user, Basel, 1981.
7. G. G. Kasparov, *Hilbert C^* -modules: Theorems of Stinespring and Voiculescu*, J. Operator Theory **4** (1980), 133–150.
8. _____, *The operator K -functor and extensions of C^* -algebras*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **44** (1980), 571–636; English transl., Math. USSR-Izv. **16** (1981), 513–572.
9. _____, *K -theory, group C^* -algebras, and higher signatures*. Part I, Conspectus. Chernogolovka, 1981 (preprint).
10. M. A. Rieffel, *Induced representations of C^* -algebras*, Adv. in Math. **13** (1974), 176–257.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DALHOUSIE UNIVERSITY, HALIFAX, NOVA SCOTIA, CANADA B3H 4H8

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE, INDIANA 47906

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SAINT MARY'S UNIVERSITY, HALIFAX, NOVA SCOTIA, CANADA B3H 3C3