

$$\xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \bigcirc$$

Hence $\mu_a(\mathbb{C}) = 1$.

Theorem:

(i) μ_a is the unique compactly supported measure on \mathbb{C} so that

$$L(a_\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \log|t - \lambda| d\mu_a(t)$$

for a.e. $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

(ii) Equality in the above statement holds everywhere in $\sigma(a)^c$.

(iii) $\tau(a^n) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} t^n d\mu_a(t)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

~~Pf:~~

(:) Set $f = \log|\cdot| * \mu_a$.

$$f(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \log|t - \lambda| d\mu_a(t)$$

Note $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{C})$.

$$\left(\iint_K \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\log|\lambda - z|| d\mu_a(z) \stackrel{(\text{Tonelli})}{=} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \int_K |\log|\lambda - z|| dz d\mu_a(\lambda) \leq C(K) \right)$$

~~Lemma:~~

Lemma:

Sps $g, h: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are locally integrable, $|g(z) - h(z)| \xrightarrow{|z| \rightarrow \infty} 0$, and

$$\nabla^2 g = \nabla^2 h \quad \text{as distributions.}$$

Then $g = h$ a.e.

Pf: $\nabla^2(g-h) = 0$ so $g-h$

is harmonic. But Weyl's Lemma implies $g-h$ is equal a.e. to a harmonic function, which tends to 0 at ∞ . Hence $g-h=0$ a.e.



Note $L(a_x)$ is subharmonic, hence locally integrable.

Suppose $|\lambda| > \|a\|$

$$L(a-\lambda) = \log|\lambda| - \operatorname{Re} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n\lambda^n} \tau(a^n)$$

$$f(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \log|z-\lambda| d\mu_a(z)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{C}} \log|\lambda| - \operatorname{Re} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n\lambda^n} z^n d\mu_a(z)$$

$$= \log|\lambda| - \operatorname{Re} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n\lambda^n} \int_{\mathbb{C}} z^n d\mu_a(z)$$

$$|L(a-\lambda) - f(\lambda)|$$

$$\leq \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n|\lambda|^n} \left| \tau(a^n) - \int_{\mathbb{C}} z^n d\mu_a(z) \right|$$

$$\leq 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\|a\|^n}{|\lambda|^n} = \frac{2\|a\|}{|\lambda| - \|a\|} \xrightarrow{|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

As distributions,

$$\nabla^2 \gamma = \nabla^2 (\log|\cdot| * \mu_a)$$

$$= \left(\nabla^2 \log|\cdot| \right) * \mu_a$$

$$= (2\pi \delta_0) * \mu_a$$

$$= 2\pi \mu_a$$

$2\pi \mu_0$, the
Brown measure
of 0.

$$\nabla^2 L(a_\lambda) = 2\pi \mu_a \quad \text{by definition}$$

Hence $L(a_\lambda) = f(\lambda)$ a.e.

Suppose now that μ is a measure on \mathbb{C} so that $L(a, \lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \log|z - \lambda| d\mu(z)$.

Then $\log|\cdot| * \mu = \log|\cdot| * \mu_a$ a.e. as functions on \mathbb{C} .

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi\mu &= 2\pi\delta_0 * \mu \\ &= (\nabla^2 \log|\cdot|) * \mu \\ &= (\nabla^2 \log|\cdot|) * \mu_a \\ &= 2\pi\mu_a. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mu = \mu_a$.

(iii) We saw

$L(a, \lambda)$ and $f(\lambda)$ have power series

$$\log|\lambda| - \operatorname{Re} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n\lambda^n} \int z^n d\mu_a(z) = \log|\lambda| - \operatorname{Re} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n\lambda^n} \tau(a^n)$$

So for all n ,

$$\frac{1}{n\lambda^n} \int z^n d\mu_a(z) = \frac{1}{n\lambda^n} \tau(a^n).$$

(ii) $\lambda \mapsto L(a_\lambda)$ is harmonic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(a)$,
in particular, continuous.

Meanwhile,

$$|f(s) - f(t)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\log|s-z| - \log|t-z|| d\mu_a(z)$$

$$\xrightarrow[\text{DCT}]{t \rightarrow s} 0.$$

Hence f is cts on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(a)$.

Since $\chi_{f(\lambda)} = L(a_\lambda)$ a.e. on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(a)$,

they must agree everywhere on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(a)$.

□

Theorem: Suppose $a \in M$. Then:

(i) For any polynomial P ,

$$\mu_{P(a)} = \mu_a \# P$$

$$\left(\mu_{P(a)}(A) = \mu_a(P^{-1}(A)) \right)$$

(ii) $\mu_{a^{-1}} = \mu_a \#^{-1}$

(iii) if a is invertible

$$\mu_{a^{-1}} = \mu_a \#^{-1}$$

Pf. Suppose P is a (non-constant) polynomial and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Take $\alpha, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$ so that

$$P(a) - \lambda = \alpha (a - \lambda_1)(a - \lambda_2) \dots (a - \lambda_n).$$

Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} \log |z - \lambda| d\mu_{\mathcal{P}(a)}(z) = L(p(a) - \lambda)$$

$$= L(\alpha (a - \lambda_1) \cdots (a - \lambda_n))$$

$$= L(\alpha) + L(a - \lambda_1) + \cdots + L(a - \lambda_n)$$

$$= \log |\alpha| + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{C}} \log |z - \lambda_j| d\mu_a(z)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{C}} \log |\alpha (z - \lambda_1) \cdots (z - \lambda_n)| d\mu_a(z)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{C}} \log |\mathcal{P}(z) - \lambda| d\mu_a(z)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{C}} \log |z - \lambda| d(\mu_a \# \mathcal{P})(z).$$

a.e.

Hence

$$\mu_{\mathcal{P}(a)} = \mu_a \# \mathcal{P}.$$

□