

An Ω -Theorem for Ramanujan’s τ -Function

R. Balasubramanian* and M. Ram Murty*

School of Mathematics Institute for Advanced Study Princeton, NJ 08540, USA

§1. Introduction

Let $\tau(n)$ be defined by

$$\Delta(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(n) q^n = q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{24}, \quad q = e^{2\pi iz}.$$

This function was first studied by Ramanujan [6]. He wrote, for every prime p ,

$$\tau(p) = 2p^{11/2} \cos \theta_p$$

and conjectured that θ_p is real. This was proved by Deligne [2]. It is known that

$$\tau(p^\alpha) = p^{11\alpha/2} \frac{\sin(\alpha + 1)\theta_p}{\sin \theta_p}.$$

If $d(n)$ denotes the number of divisors of n , then it follows that

$$|\tau(n)| \leq n^{11/2} d(n),$$

as τ is a multiplicative function. Therefore, for some constant $c_1 > 0$,

$$\tau(n) = O\left(n^{11/2} \exp\left(\frac{c_1 \log n}{\log \log n}\right)\right).$$

It is conjectured that

$$\tau(n) = \Omega\left(n^{11/2} \exp\left(\frac{c_2 \log n}{\log \log n}\right)\right) \tag{1}$$

for some constant $c_2 > 0$.

A conjecture of Sato and Tate states that the angles θ_p are equidistributed in $[0, 2\pi]$ with respect to the measure

$$\frac{2}{\pi} \sin^2 \theta \, d\theta.$$

* Supported in part by NSF grant MCS 77-18723 A04

It is easy to see that the conjecture of Sato-Tate implies (1). In fact, if

$$\text{card}(p \leq x: 0 \leq \theta_p \leq \varphi) \gg x^\delta$$

for some $\varphi < \frac{\pi}{3}$ and some $\delta > 0$, then (1) follows easily. Both assertions about the distribution of the angles θ_p remain unproved.

With respect to unconditional results, Rankin [5] showed

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\tau(n)|}{n^{11/2}} = +\infty,$$

and Joris [3] proved

$$\tau(n) = \Omega(n^{11/2} \exp(c(\log n)^{(1/22)-\epsilon})).$$

We shall show below that

$$\tau(n) = \Omega(n^{11/2} \exp(c(\log n)^{(2/3)-\epsilon})).$$

For an arbitrary normalized Hecke eigenform

$$f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n z}$$

of weight k , a similar result is true if we assume that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|^2}{n^s}$$

has no real zeroes in the critical strip $k-1 \leq \sigma \leq k$.

Nevertheless, by an elementary method, one can show that

$$a_n = \Omega\left(n^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{1}{\log \log n}\right)\right).$$

This result remains true if f is a normalized eigenform of *even weight* for an arbitrary congruence subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Notation. For the sake of brevity, we write

$$\tau_n = \tau(n)/n^{11/2},$$

and

$$f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_n^2}{n^s}.$$

§2. Real Zeroes of $f(s)$

We show that $f(s)$ has no real zeroes in the critical strip $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$.

Let $z = x + iy$ and set

$$\phi(z, s) = \frac{s(s-1)}{2} \left(\frac{y}{\pi}\right)^s \Gamma(s) \sum' |mz + n|^{-2s},$$

where the dash on the summation indicates we sum over all pairs of integers $(m, n) \neq (0, 0)$. If we let

$$K(z, w) = \sum' \exp\left(-\frac{\pi w}{y} |mz + n|^2\right),$$

then it is easily seen that

$$\phi(z, s) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{s(s-1)}{2} \int_1^\infty (w^{s-1} + w^{-s}) K(z, w) dw \tag{2}$$

as

$$1 + K(z, w) = \frac{1}{w} \left\{ 1 + K\left(z, \frac{1}{w}\right) \right\}.$$

Letting

$$\psi(s) = (2\pi)^{-2(s+1)} \Gamma(s+1) \Gamma(s) \zeta(2s) f(s) s(s-1)$$

we see that

$$\psi(s) = \iint_{\mathcal{D}} y^{1/2} \cdot |A(z)|^2 \cdot \phi(z, s) \frac{dx dy}{y^2}, \tag{3}$$

where \mathcal{D} denotes the standard fundamental domain for the full modular group acting on the upper half-plane. Also, ψ satisfies the functional equation.

$$\psi(s) = \psi(1-s).$$

In view of this functional equation and the fact that $f(s)$ has a simple pole at $s = 1$, it suffices to consider $\frac{1}{2} \leq s < 1$ in our search for real zeroes.

Lemma 1.

$$\int_1^\infty K(z, w) dw \leq \log\left(\frac{e^{\gamma+1}}{4\pi}\right) - 2 \log(y^{1/2} |\eta(z)|^2),$$

where γ is Euler’s constant and

$$\eta(z) = e^{\pi iz/12} \prod_{n=1}^\infty (1 - e^{2\pi inz}).$$

Proof. From Kronecker’s limit formula (see e.g. Ramachandra [7]), it follows that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \left[\left(\frac{y}{\pi}\right)^s \Gamma(s) \sum' |mz + n|^{-2s} - \frac{1}{s-1} \right] = \log\left(\frac{e^\gamma}{4\pi}\right) - 2 \log(y^{1/2} |\eta(z)|^2).$$

But

$$\frac{2\phi(z, s)}{s(s-1)} = \frac{1}{(s-1)} - 1 + \int_1^\infty \left(1 + \frac{1}{w}\right) K(z, w) dw + \text{higher powers of } (s-1)$$

so that

$$-1 + \int_1^\infty \left(1 + \frac{1}{w}\right) K(z, w) dw = \log\left(\frac{e^\gamma}{4\pi}\right) - 2 \log(y^{1/2} |\eta(z)|^2)$$

from which the result follows.

Corollary.

(i) for $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \leq y \leq 2$, $\int_1^\infty K(z, w) dw \leq \frac{1}{2}$,

(ii) for $y \geq 1$, $\int_1^\infty K(z, w) dw \leq \frac{\pi}{3} y$.

Proof. We have

$$\log |\eta(z)| = -\frac{\pi y}{12} + \sum_{n=1}^\infty \log |1 - e^{2\pi i n z}|.$$

So that

$$-\log |\eta(z)| \leq \frac{\pi y}{12} + \frac{e^{-2\pi y}}{(1 - e^{-2\pi y})^2}. \tag{4}$$

It follows that

$$\int_1^\infty K(z, w) dw \leq \frac{\pi}{3} y - \log y - 0.92$$

as

$$\log \left(\frac{e^{y+1}}{4\pi} \right) = -0.95 \dots$$

and

$$\frac{e^{-2\pi y}}{(1 - e^{-2\pi y})^2} \leq 5.0 \times 10^{-3}$$

for $y \geq \sqrt{3}/2$. Both (i) and (ii) are now easily deduced.

Theorem 1. $\psi(s) \neq 0$ for $\frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq 1$.

Proof. From (2) and (3), we observe that

$$\psi(s) = \frac{1}{2} (\Delta, \Delta) + \frac{s(s-1)}{2} \iint_{\mathcal{D}} y^{12} |\Delta(z)|^2 \left\{ \int_1^\infty (w^{s-1} + w^{-s}) K(z, w) dw \right\} \frac{dx dy}{y^2}$$

where (\cdot, \cdot) denotes the Petersson inner product. It is apparent that for $\frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq 1$,

$$|\psi(s) - \frac{1}{2} (\Delta, \Delta)| \leq \frac{1}{4} \iint_{\mathcal{D}} y^{12} |\Delta(z)|^2 \left(\int_1^\infty K(z, w) dw \right) \frac{dx dy}{y^2}.$$

By the corollary to Lemma 1, this is

$$\leq \frac{1}{8} (\Delta, \Delta) + \frac{\pi}{12} \iint_{y \geq 2} y^{11} |\Delta(z)|^2 dx dy.$$

Noting that

$$\log |\Delta(z)| = 24 \log |\eta(z)| \leq -2\pi y + 24 \cdot \frac{e^{-2\pi y}}{(1 - e^{-2\pi y})^2},$$

we deduce

$$|\Delta(z)| \leq (1 \cdot 1) e^{-2\pi y}$$

for $y \geq \sqrt{3}/2$.

This estimate implies

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\substack{\infty \\ y \geq 2}} y^{11} |\Delta(z)|^2 dx dy &\leq (1 \cdot 21) \int_2^\infty y^{11} e^{-4\pi y} dy \leq (1 \cdot 21) \left(\frac{2}{e}\right)^{4\pi} \frac{e^{-4\pi}}{4\pi} \\ &\leq (0 \cdot 04) \frac{e^{-4\pi}}{4\pi}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\psi(s) \geq \frac{3}{8} (\Delta, \Delta) - (0.01) \frac{e^{-4\pi}}{4\pi}.$$

We note that, if $F(x) = \sum c_n^2 \pi i n z$, then for $k \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\substack{|x| < \frac{1}{2} \\ y > \frac{1}{2}}} y^k |F(z)|^2 \frac{dx dy}{y^2} &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty |c_n|^2 \int_1^\infty y^{k-2} e^{-4\pi n y} dy \\ &\geq |c_1|^2 \int_1^\infty e^{-4\pi y} dy \\ &= |c_1|^2 \frac{e^{-4\pi}}{4\pi}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking in particular, $k = 12$, $c_n = \tau(n)$, we have

$$(\Delta, \Delta) \geq \frac{e^{-4\pi}}{4\pi}.$$

We finally obtain

$$\psi(s) \geq \frac{e^{-4\pi}}{16\pi} > 0$$

for $\frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq 1$.

Remarks. 1. Lehmer [4] has computed $(\Delta, \Delta) = 1.036 \times 10^{-6}$.

2. It is possible to estimate

$$\int_1^\infty K(z, w) dw$$

without appealing to Kronecker's limit formula. We split the sum

$$\sum'_1 \int_1^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{\pi w}{y} |mz + n|^2\right) dw$$

into four parts corresponding to $n=0$, $m=0$, $|n| \leq |m|y$ and $|n| > |m|y$, where in the latter two cases, we utilise the inequalities $|mz + n|^2 \geq m^2 y^2$ and $|mz + n|^2 \geq \frac{3}{4} |n|^2$ in the respective cases. The resulting four sums are easily estimated and the main contribution arises from the term corresponding to $n=0$.

We indicate another proof of Theorem 1 which can be based on the following idea. From Chowla-Selberg [1, p. 106] we know

$$\frac{2\phi(z, s)}{s(s-1)} = \frac{\zeta(2s)y^s}{s(2s-1)} + \frac{\zeta(2s-1)y^{1-s}}{(s-1)(2s-1)} + R(y, s)$$

where

$$\xi(s) = \frac{s(s-1)}{2} \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \zeta(s)$$

and

$$|R(y, s)| \leq \frac{8}{\pi \sqrt{y}} \cdot \frac{1}{e^{\pi y} - 1}$$

for $\frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq 1$.

A simple calculation reveals $|R(y, s)| \leq 0.01$. Utilizing the fact that

$$\xi(s) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{s(s-1)}{2} \int_1^\infty \psi(x) (x^{s-1} + x^{-s}) dx,$$

where

$$\psi(x) = \sum_1^\infty e^{-n^2 \pi x},$$

it is straightforward to show that for $y \leq 2$.

$$\frac{2\phi(z, s)}{s(s-1)} \leq \frac{1}{2s-1} \left(\frac{y^s}{s} - \frac{y^{1-s}}{1-s} \right) + 0.15.$$

A simple application of Rolle's theorem reveals that

$$\frac{2\phi(z, s)}{s(s-1)} \leq -0.1$$

for $y \leq 2$.

A similar argument shows that for $y \geq 2$, and $\frac{1}{2} < s \leq 1$,

$$\frac{2\phi(z, s)}{s(s-1)} \leq y^2.$$

These two inequalities are enough for Theorem 2 to be deduced.

§ 3. Zeroes of $\psi(s)$ in the Critical Strip

Let $N(T, \psi)$ be the number of zeroes of $\psi(s)$ satisfying $0 < \sigma < 1$ and $0 < t < T$.

Lemma 2.

$$N(T, \psi) = \frac{2}{\pi} T \log T + O(T).$$

Proof. Let R be the rectangle with vertices $\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2} + iT, -\frac{1}{2} + iT, -\frac{1}{2}$. In view of the functional equation and the fact that $\psi(s)$ has no real zeroes in $-\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{3}{2}$, we see that

$$\pi N(T, \psi) = \Delta_L \arg \psi(s),$$

where Δ_L denotes the variation in the argument as s traverses from $\frac{3}{2}$ to $\frac{3}{2} + iT$ and then to $-\frac{1}{2} + iT$. Stirling's formula easily gives

$$\Delta_L \arg((2\pi)^{-2s-22} s(s-1) \Gamma(s) \Gamma(s+11)) = 2T \log T + O(T).$$

Moreover, as $\psi(s)$ is of order 1, it is deduced, in a standard way, that

$$\sum_{\rho} \frac{1}{1+(T-\gamma)^2} = O(\log T),$$

as ρ runs through the zeroes of $\psi(s)$.

It follows that the number of zeroes $\sigma+i\gamma$, with $|T-\gamma|<1$ is $O(\log T)$ and

$$\frac{2\zeta'}{\zeta}(2s) + \frac{f'}{f}(s) = \sum'_{\rho} \frac{1}{(s-\rho)} + O(\log t),$$

where the dash on the summation indicates the sum is over zeroes of $\psi(s)$ for which $|t-\gamma|<1$, $\rho=\sigma+i\gamma$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_L \arg(\zeta(2s) f(s)) &= \int_L \operatorname{Im} \left(2 \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(2s) + \frac{f'}{f}(s) \right) ds \\ &= O(1) - \int_{\frac{1}{2}+iT}^{\frac{3}{2}+iT} \operatorname{Im} \left(2 \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(2s) + \frac{f'}{f}(s) \right) ds, \end{aligned}$$

the $O(1)$ term coming from the variation along $\sigma=\frac{3}{2}$. As

$$\int_{\frac{1}{2}+iT}^{\frac{3}{2}+iT} \operatorname{Im}(s-\rho)^{-1} ds = \Delta \arg(s-\rho) = O(1)$$

for those zeroes ρ satisfying $|t-\gamma|<1$, we deduce

$$\Delta_L (\arg(\zeta(2s) f(s))) = O(\log T).$$

This completes the proof.

§4. Other Lemmas

Lemma 3. *Let $\tau_p^2 > 1$. For such a prime p , there is an $m=m(p)$ and an absolute constant c such that $\tau_{p^m} \geq c > 1$ and*

$$m(p) \ll \frac{1}{\tau_p^2 - 1}.$$

Proof. If $\tau_p^2 - 1 > 10^{-10}$, then take $m(p) = 1$. Now suppose

$$0 < \tau_p^2 - 1 < 10^{-10}.$$

Then θ_p is close to $\frac{\pi}{3}$ or $\frac{2\pi}{3}$, we consider the case θ_p close to $\frac{\pi}{3}$, the other case being similar. Also, $0 < \theta_p < \frac{\pi}{3}$. If $\theta_p < \frac{\pi}{6}$, we may take $m(p) = 1$. So we may assume $\frac{\pi}{6} < \theta_p < \frac{\pi}{3}$.

Choose $m \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ such that

$$\frac{\pi}{10} < m+1 < \frac{\pi}{\frac{\pi}{3} - \theta_p} + 20$$

so that $\sin(m+1)\theta_p = \sin\left((m+1)\frac{\pi}{3} + (m+1)\left(\theta_p - \frac{\pi}{3}\right)\right) \geq \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{3} + \frac{\pi}{10}\right)$, as

$$\left|\theta_p - \frac{\pi}{3}\right| < 2|\sin\theta_p| \left|\theta_p - \frac{\pi}{3}\right| \leq |2\cos\theta_p - 1| \leq \tau_p^2 - 1 \leq 10^{-10}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\sin(m+1)\theta_p}{\sin\theta_p} \geq \frac{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{3} + \frac{\pi}{10}\right)}{\sin\frac{\pi}{3}} > 1.$$

Moreover, m satisfies

$$m \leq \frac{1}{\frac{\pi}{3} - \theta_p} \leq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\tau_p - 1} \leq \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{\tau_p^2 - 1}.$$

This completes the proof.

Lemma 4.

$$\sum_{\tau_p^2 > 1} \frac{\tau_p^2 - 1}{p^\beta} = +\infty,$$

for $\beta < \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. Set $\theta(s) = \frac{\zeta(2s)}{\zeta(s)} f(s)$. We know

$$\log \theta(s) = \sum_{p,n} \frac{2 \cos n \theta_p + 1}{n p^{n s}} = \sum_p \frac{\tau_p^2 - 1}{p^s} \left(1 + \frac{\tau_p^2 - 3}{2 p^s} + \dots\right).$$

Now write

$$\sum_p \frac{\tau_p^2 - 1}{p^s} = f_+(s) - f_-(s)$$

where

$$f_+(s) = \sum_{\tau_p^2 > 1} \frac{\tau_p^2 - 1}{p^s}$$

and

$$f_-(s) = - \sum_{\tau_p^2 < 1} \frac{\tau_p^2 - 1}{p^s}.$$

Suppose that $f_+(\frac{1}{2}) < \infty$. Then, for $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$, $f_+(s)$ is analytic. By Lemma 2, $\log \theta(s)$ has singularities with $\text{Res} \geq \frac{1}{2}$ arising from the zeroes of $\psi(s)$. The set of

singularities of $\log \theta(s)$ coincides with the set of singularities of $f_-(s)$ for $\text{Re } s > \frac{1}{2}$. If this set is not empty, $f_-(s)$ has a real singularity by Landau's theorem. Therefore, $\psi(s)$ has a real zero which contradicts Theorem 1. Therefore, all the singularities of $\log \theta(s)$ lie on the line $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$. As $\log \theta(s)$ is analytic at $s = \frac{1}{2}$, both $f_+(s)$ and $f_-(s)$ have a singularity at $s = \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{\tau_p^2 > 1} \frac{\tau_p^2 - 1}{p^{\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon}} = +\infty$$

and

$$\sum_{\tau_p^2 < 1} \frac{\tau_p^2 - 1}{p^{\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon}} = -\infty.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.

§5. Main Theorem

Theorem 2. Suppose $\sum_{\tau_p^2 > 1} \frac{\tau_p^2 - 1}{p^\beta} = +\infty$. Then

$$\tau_n = \Omega(\exp(c(\log n)^{\frac{1}{2-\beta-\epsilon}})).$$

Proof. Clearly, the set

$$S = \left\{ m: \sum_{\substack{e^m < p < e^{m+1} \\ \tau_p^2 > 1}} \frac{\tau_p^2 - 1}{p^\beta} \geq \frac{2}{m^2} \right\}$$

is infinite. Since

$$\sum_{\substack{e^m < p < e^{m+1} \\ 0 < \tau_p^2 - 1 < \frac{1}{p^{1-\beta} \log p}}} \frac{\tau_p^2 - 1}{p^\beta} \leq \int_{e^m}^{e^{m+1}} \frac{dt}{t \log^2 t} \leq \frac{1}{m^2},$$

we see that

$$T = \left\{ m: \sum_{\substack{e^m < p < e^{m+1} \\ 3 > \tau_p^2 - 1 > \frac{1}{p^{1-\beta} \log p}}} \frac{\tau_p^2 - 1}{p^\beta} \geq \frac{1}{m^2} \right\}$$

is infinite.

For each $m \in T$, we know

$$\sum_{\frac{1}{100} < \tau_p^2 - 1 < 3} + \sum_{p^{-\epsilon} < \tau_p^2 - 1 < \frac{1}{100}} + \dots + \sum_{\frac{p^\beta}{\log p} < \tau_p^2 - 1 < p^{\beta-1+\epsilon}} \frac{\tau_p^2 - 1}{p^\beta} \geq \frac{1}{m^2}.$$

The number of sums is $O_\epsilon(1)$. Therefore, for some γ ,

$$\sum_{p^{-\gamma-\epsilon} < \tau_p^2 - 1 < p^{-\gamma}} \frac{\tau_p^2 - 1}{p^\beta} \geq \frac{c(\epsilon)}{m^2}.$$

Hence if

$$W = \{p: e^m < p < e^{m+1}, p^{-\gamma-\varepsilon} < \tau_p^2 - 1 < p^{-\gamma}\},$$

then

$$|W| e^{-m(\beta+\gamma)} \geq \frac{c(\varepsilon)}{m^2}.$$

Now, set $B \subseteq W$ such that $|B| = \frac{(e^m)^{\beta+\gamma}}{m^2}$, and define

$$n = \prod_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in B}} p^{m(p)}$$

where $m(p)$ is defined by Lemma 3. Then by Lemma 3,

$$\tau_n \geq \exp\left(\frac{cx^{\beta+\gamma}}{(\log x)^2}\right).$$

Since,

$$\log n \leq \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in B}} p^{\gamma+\varepsilon} \log p \leq \frac{x^{\beta+2\gamma+\varepsilon}}{(\log x)}$$

we have

$$x^{\beta+2\gamma+\varepsilon} \geq (\log n) (\log x).$$

As

$$\log n \geq \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in B}} \log p \geq \frac{x^{\beta+\gamma}}{(\log x)^2},$$

we have

$$\tau_n \geq \exp\left(\frac{c((\log n) (\log \log n))^{\frac{\beta+\gamma}{\beta+2\gamma+\varepsilon}}}{(\log \log n)^2}\right).$$

Noting that,

$$0 \leq \gamma + \varepsilon \leq 1 - \beta,$$

we finally deduce

$$\tau_n \geq \exp(c(\log n)^{\frac{1}{2-\beta-\varepsilon}}),$$

as desired.

Corollary 1. $\tau_n = \Omega(\exp(c(\log n)^{\frac{1}{2-\beta-\varepsilon}}))$.

Proof. By Lemma 4 any $\beta < \frac{1}{2}$ satisfies the condition of the theorem. This gives the result.

Remarks. 1. By utilizing the fact that

$$\sum_{\tau_p^2 < 1} \frac{\tau_p^2 - 1}{p^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}} = -\infty$$

and repeating the above argument, one can deduce that

$$|\tau_n| < \exp(-c(\log n)^{\frac{1}{2-\beta-\varepsilon}})$$

for an infinity of n .

2. The argument can be extended to any *real valued* multiplicative function c satisfying

(i) $c(p)^2 - 1 = c(p^2)$

(ii) $c(n) = O(n^\epsilon)$

(iii) the Dirichlet series $\frac{\zeta(2s)}{\zeta(s)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c(n)^2}{n^s} = L(s)$ (say) has an analytic continuation to $\text{Re } s = 0$.

Also, if $L(s)$ has only non-real zeroes in $\text{Re } s \geq \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$c(n) = \Omega(\exp(c(\log n)^{\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon})).$$

In fact, if $\text{Re } s \geq \sigma$ is the largest zero-free half-plane for $L(s)$, then

$$c(n) = \Omega(\exp(c(\log n)^{\sigma - \epsilon})).$$

§ 6. General Results

Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) e^{2\pi i n z}$ be a normalized Hecke eigenform of weight k for the full modular group. Then k is even and as the $a(n)$'s are integers, we have for primes p ,

$$|a(p)^2 - p^{k-1}| \geq 1.$$

If we let

$$a_p = a(p) / p^{\frac{k-1}{2}},$$

then

$$|a_p^2 - 1| \geq \frac{1}{p^{k-1}}.$$

Lemma 5. *There is an $m = m(p)$ such that $|a_{p^m}| \geq c > 1$, where c is a fixed constant and*

$$m(p) \ll \frac{1}{|a_p^2 - 1|}.$$

The proof of this lemma proceeds exactly as in Lemma 3 and therefore, we suppress it.

By the preceding remarks, it is evident that $m(p)$ in Lemma 5 satisfies

$$m(p) \ll p^{k-1}.$$

Therefore, if we let

$$n = \prod_{p \leq x} p^{m(p)},$$

then

$$a_n \geq c^{\pi(x)}.$$

But

$$\log n = \sum_{p \leq x} m(p) \log p \leq x^k.$$

This proves the following theorem.

Theorem 3. If $f(z) = \sum_1^{\infty} a(n) e^{2\pi i n z}$ is a normalized Hecke eigenform, weight k , then

$$a(n) = \Omega \left(n^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \exp \left(\frac{c(\log n)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\log \log n} \right) \right).$$

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Professor A. Selberg for many of the ideas contained in this paper and Professor S. Chowla for his kind encouragement.

References

1. Chowla, S., Selberg, A.: On Epstein's zeta function. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **227**, 86–110 (1967)
2. Deligne, P.: La conjecture de Weil, I. *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S.* **43**, 273–307 (1974)
(See also, *Formes modulaires et representations l -adiques*. Lecture notes Vol. **179**, pp. 139–172. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1971)
3. Joris, H.: An Ω -result for coefficients of cusp forms. *Mathematika* **22**, 12–19 (1975)
4. Lehmer, D.H.: Ramanujan's function $\tau(n)$. *Duke Math. J.* **10**, 483–492 (1943)
5. Rankin, R.A.: An Ω -result for the coefficients of cusp forms. *Math. Ann.* **203**, 239–250 (1973)
6. Ramanujan, S.: On certain arithmetical functions. *Trans. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **22**, 159–184 (1916)
7. Ramachandra, K.: Some applications of Kronecker's limit formulas. *Annals of Math.* **80**, 104–148 (1964)

Oblatum 3-VI-1981 & X-1981