OSCILLATIONS OF COEFFICIENTS OF DIRICHLET SERIES ATTACHED TO AUTOMORPHIC FORMS

JABAN MEHER AND M. RAM MURTY

(Communicated by Matthew A. Papanikolas)

ABSTRACT. For $m \geq 2$, let π be an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $GL_m(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ with unitary central character. Let $a_{\pi}(n)$ be the n^{th} coefficient of the *L*-function attached to π . Goldfeld and Sengupta have recently obtained a bound for $\sum_{n \leq x} a_{\pi}(n)$ as $x \to \infty$. For $m \geq 3$ and π not a symmetric power of a $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ -cuspidal automorphic representation with not all finite primes unramified for π , their bound is better than all previous bounds. In this paper, we further improve the bound of Goldfeld and Sengupta. We also prove a quantitative result for the number of sign changes of the coefficients of certain automorphic *L*-functions, provided the coefficients are real numbers.

1. INTRODUCTION

In an earlier paper [11], we described a general theorem to study the sign changes of any sequence of real numbers $\{a(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. More precisely, we proved the following.

Theorem 1.1. Let $\{a(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of real numbers such that

(i) $a(n) = O(n^{\alpha}),$

(ii)
$$\sum_{n < x} a(n) = O(n^{\beta}),$$

(iii)
$$\sum_{n \le x} a(n)^2 = cx + O(x^{\gamma}),$$

with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, c \geq 0$. If $\alpha + \beta < 1$, then for any r satisfying

$$\max\{\alpha + \beta, \gamma\} < r < 1,$$

the sequence $\{a(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has at least one sign change for $n \in [x, x + x^r]$. Consequently, the number of sign changes of a(n) for $n \leq x$ is $\gg x^{1-r}$ for sufficiently large x.

We applied this theorem to study oscillations of Fourier coefficients of modular forms of half-integral weight. In this paper, we focus our attention on coefficients of Dirichlet series attached to automorphic forms.

Let F be an algebraic number field with $[F : \mathbb{Q}] = d$, and ring of integers \mathcal{O}_F and discriminant D_F . For $n \geq 2$, let π be a cuspidal automorphic form on $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ with unitary central character and where \mathbb{A}_F denotes the adele ring of F. We denote by $\tilde{\pi}$ the contragradient representation of π . The standard *L*-function attached to

Received by the editors October 5, 2015 and, in revised form, April 27, 2016.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11M41, 11M45, 11F46, 11F66.

Key words and phrases. Automorphic L-functions, Siegel modular forms.

 π for $\Re(s) > 1$ is given by

$$L(s,\pi) := \prod_{v} L(s,\pi_{v}),$$

where the product is over all the places of F (finite and infinite) and $L(s, \pi_v)$ is the local Euler factor described as follows. At an unramified finite place v of π ,

$$L(s, \pi_v) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \alpha_{i,\pi}(v)(Nv)^{-s})^{-1},$$

for suitable complex numbers $\alpha_{i,\pi}(v)$ (called the Satake parameters) and Nv is the absolute norm of v. At an unramified infinite place v,

$$L(s,\pi_v) = \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma_v(s-\mu_{i,\pi}(v)),$$

where the $\mu_{i,\pi}(v)$'s are complex numbers and

$$\Gamma_v(s) = \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2)$$
 if v is real

and

$$\Gamma_v(s) = (2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s)$$
 if v is complex.

With this notation, the generalized Ramanujan conjecture is the assertion that

$$|\alpha_{i,\pi}(v)| = 1$$
 if v is finite

and

$$\Re(\mu_{i,\pi}(v)) = 0$$
 if v is infinite.

In this context, Luo, Rudnick and Sarnak [10] have proved that

$$|\alpha_{i,\pi}(v)| \leq (Nv)^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n^2 + 1}}$$
 if v is finite

and

$$\Re(\mu_{i,\pi}(v))| \le \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n^2 + 1}$$
 if v is infinite.

This (at present) is the most general result. Better results are there for n = 2.

Godement and Jacquet [3] indicated how to define $L(s, \pi_v)$ for the finitely many v at which π_v is ramified and the completed *L*-function admits an analytic continuation to the entire complex plane and satisfies a functional equation relating $L(s,\pi)$ to $L(1-s,\tilde{\pi})$. We refer the reader to [1] (Chapter 9 in particular) for the details regarding the analytic properties of these *L*-series.

Jacquet, Piatetskii-Shapiro and Shalika [6] developed the theory of Rankin-Selberg for general L-series. More precisely, if π_1 is a cuspidal automorphic representation on $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ and π_2 a cuspidal automorphic representation of $GL_m(\mathbb{A}_F)$, then one can construct $L(s, \pi_1 \times \pi_2)$ using the local Satake parameters of π_1 and π_2 . It turns out that $L(s, \pi_1 \times \pi_2)$ extends to an entire function if $m \neq n$. Otherwise, $L(s, \pi_1 \times \pi_2)$ extends to an analytic function for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ except for $s = i\sigma$ and $s = 1 + i\sigma$ with $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\tilde{\pi}_1 = \pi_2 \times |\det|^{i\sigma}$. In this article we will have $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}$. For $m \geq 2$, let π be an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $GL_m(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ with unitary central character. For $\Re(s) > 1$, the L-function attached to π is given by

$$L(s,\pi) = \prod_p L(s,\pi_p),$$

where p runs over all finite rational primes and $L(s, \pi_p)$ is the local Euler factor. For $\Re(s) > 1$, let the Dirichlet series representation of $L(s, \pi)$ be

$$L(s,\pi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{\pi}(n)}{n^s}$$

Then the completed L-function

$$\Lambda(s,\pi) := \prod_{i=1}^{m} \pi^{-\frac{s+\lambda_i}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+\lambda_i}{2}\right) L(s,\pi),$$

where $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$ (for i = 1, 2, ..., m), admits an analytic continuation to the entire complex plane and satisfies the functional equation

$$\Lambda(s,\pi) = \epsilon_{\pi} N_{\pi}^{\frac{1}{2}-s} \Lambda(1-s,\tilde{\pi}),$$

where $\epsilon_{\pi} \in \mathbb{C}$ has absolute value 1 and is called the root number, and N_{π} is a positive integer called the conductor of π . A prime p is called unramified for π if $(p, N_{\pi}) = 1$.

Theorem 1.2. For $m \ge 2$, let π denote an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $GL_m(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ with unitary central character. Let $L(s,\pi)$ be its associated *L*-function with the n^{th} coefficient $a_{\pi}(n)$. Then we have

$$\sum_{n \le x} a_{\pi}(n) \ll \begin{cases} x^{\frac{71}{192} + \epsilon} & \text{if } m = 2, \\ x^{\frac{m^2 - m}{m^2 + 1} + \epsilon} & \text{if } m \ge 3, \end{cases}$$

for any fixed $\epsilon > 0$.

The method of proof of the above theorem is the same as in [4], but we use a result of Lü [9] which ultimately follows from the result of Chandrasekharan and Narasimhan [2]. The difference in our proof is that we estimate differently, which is similar to Lü [9], and get a better bound in the general case too. We apply the above theorem to deduce the following result on sign changes of coefficients of L-series attached to certain automorphic forms. However, the bounds of Goldfeld and Sengupta [4] for the first moment of the coefficients of the automorphic L-functions are enough to prove the following result.

Theorem 1.3. Let $L(s,\pi)$ be the automorphic L-function associated to an automorphic irreducible self-dual cuspidal representation π of $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ and let $a_{\pi}(n)$ be its n^{th} coefficient. If the sequence $\{a_{\pi}(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of real numbers, then for any real number r satisfying $\frac{3}{5} < r < 1$, the sequence $\{a_{\pi}(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has at least one sign change for $n \in [x, x + x^r]$. Consequently, the number of sign changes of $a_{\pi}(n)$ for $n \leq x$ is $\gg x^{1-r}$ for sufficiently large x.

Remark 1.4. Although the above theorem is stated for automorphic forms for GL_2 , we will see that we get a similar sign change result for certain automorphic forms for GL_m , where m > 2. For example, we will see that a similar result is valid for symmetric square *L*-functions attached to Hecke Maass cusp forms. Also we get a similar result for certain symmetric power *L*-functions attached to any holomorphic cusp form.

Next, we prove a sign change result for the eigenvalues of Siegel Hecke cusp form of genus 2. To state the result we review some basics of spinor zeta function attached to Siegel modular forms of genus 2. Let F be a non-zero Siegel cusp form of weight k for the group $Sp_4(\mathbb{Z})$ which is an eigenfunction for all the Hecke operators T(n) with eigenvalues $\lambda_F(n)$. It is well known that $\lambda_F(n)$ are real and multiplicative. Assume also that F is not a Saito-Kurokawa lift. We define the normalized eigenvalue of F by

$$\lambda(n) := \frac{\lambda_F(n)}{n^{k-3/2}}.$$

The spinor zeta function attached to F is defined by

$$Z(s,F) := \prod_{p} Z_p(s,F),$$

where

$$Z_p(s,F) = \prod_{1 \le i \le 4} (1 - \beta_{i,p} p^{-s})^{-1}.$$

Here $\beta_{1,p} := \alpha_{0,p}, \ \beta_{2,p} := \alpha_{0,p}\alpha_{1,p}, \ \beta_{3,p} := \alpha_{0,p}\alpha_{2,p}, \ \beta_{4,p} := \alpha_{0,p}\alpha_{1,p}\alpha_{2,p}$, and $\alpha_{0,p}, \alpha_{1,p}, \alpha_{2,p}$ are the Satake parameters of F. The Ramanujan conjecture which has been proved by Weissauer [14], is the assertion that for all primes p one has

(1)
$$|\alpha_{0,p}| = |\alpha_{1,p}| = |\alpha_{2,p}| = 1.$$

For $\Re(s) > 1$, let the Dirichlet series representation of Z(s, F) be

$$Z(s,F) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_F(n)}{n^s}.$$

The completed L function

$$\Lambda(s,F) := (2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma\left(s+k-\frac{3}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(s+\frac{1}{2}\right) Z(s,F)$$

can be analytically continued to the whole complex plane and it satisfies the functional equation

$$\Lambda(s,F) = (-1)^k \Lambda(1-s,F).$$

It is well known that

(2)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(n)}{n^s} = Z(s, F)\zeta(2s+1)^{-1}.$$

From (2), we easily see that

(3)
$$\lambda(n) = \sum_{d^2m=n} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} a_F(m),$$

where μ is the Möbius function. Using (1), we see that for every integer $n \ge 1$, we have

$$|a_F(n)| \le d_4(n),$$

where $d_l(n)$ is the number of ways of writing n as a product of l positive integers. Now by using (3) it can be easily deduced that for every $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$|\lambda(n)| \le d_5(n) \ll n^{\epsilon}.$$

Kohnen [8] has proved that the sequence $\{\lambda_F(n)\}_{n\geq 1}$ changes signs infinitely often. We prove the following quantitative result on sign changes of the sequence $\{\lambda_F(n)\}_{n\geq 1}$.

567

Theorem 1.5. If F is a non-zero Siegel cusp form of weight k for the group $Sp_4(\mathbb{Z})$ which is an eigenfunction for all the Hecke operators T(n) with eigenvalues $\lambda_F(n)$ and which is not a Saito-Kurokawa lift, then for any real number r satisfying $\frac{41}{47} < r < 1$, the sequence $\{\lambda_F(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has at least one sign change for $n \in [x, x+x^r]$. Consequently, the number of sign changes of $\{\lambda_F(n)\}$ for $n \leq x$ is $\gg x^{1-r}$ for sufficiently large x.

2. Preparatory results

We recall a well-known result of Chandrasekharan and Narasimhan [2]. We need to define a few things before proceeding to the theorem.

Let

$$\phi(s) = \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{a(n)}{n^s},$$

and

$$\psi(s) = \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{b(n)}{n^s}$$

be two Dirichlet series. Let $\Delta(s) = \prod_{i=1}^{l} \Gamma(\alpha_i s + \beta_i)$ and $A = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \alpha_i$. Assume that

$$Q(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{\phi(s)}{s} x^s ds,$$

where C encloses all the singularities of the integrand. With these definitions, we now state the result [2, Theorem 4.1].

Theorem 2.1. Suppose that the functional equation

$$\Delta(s)\phi(s) = \Delta(\delta - s)\psi(\delta - s)$$

is satisfied with $\delta > 0$, and that the only singularities of the function ϕ are poles. Then, we have

$$B(x) - Q(x) = O(x^{\frac{\delta}{2} - \frac{1}{4A} + 2A\eta u}) + O(x^{q - \frac{1}{2A} - \eta} (\log x)^{r-1}) + O(\sum_{x < n \le x'} |a(n)|),$$

for every $\eta \geq 0$, where $B(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} a(n)$, $x' = x + O(x^{1 - \frac{1}{2A} - \eta})$, q is the maximum of the real parts of the singularities of ϕ , r the maximum order of a pole with real part q, and $u = \beta - \frac{\delta}{2} - \frac{1}{4A}$, where β is such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |b(n)| n^{-\beta}$ is finite. If, in addition, $a_n \geq 0$, then we have

$$B(x) - Q(x) = O(x^{\frac{\delta}{2} - \frac{1}{4A} + 2A\eta u}) + O(x^{q - \frac{1}{2A} - \eta} (\log x)^{r-1}).$$

We recall some basic results about the Rankin-Selberg *L*-function $L(s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi})$ associated to π and its contragredient $\tilde{\pi}$. We write $L(s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi})$ as a Dirichlet series

(5)
$$L(s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}}(n)}{n^s}.$$

Then the completed L-function

(6)
$$\Lambda(s,\pi\times\tilde{\pi}) := \prod_{i=1}^{r} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \pi^{-s - \frac{-\lambda_i - \lambda_j}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+\lambda_i}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s-\lambda_j}{2}\right) L(s,\pi\times\tilde{\pi})$$

has a meromorphic continuation to the entire complex plane and satisfies the functional equation

(7)
$$\Lambda(s,\pi\times\tilde{\pi}) = \epsilon_{\pi\times\tilde{\pi}} N_{\pi\times\tilde{\pi}}^{\frac{1}{2}-s} \Lambda(1-s,\pi\times\tilde{\pi}).$$

It is also known that $a_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}} \ge 0$ and the *L*-function $L(s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi})$ has a simple pole at s = 1. For $(n, N_{\pi}) = 1$, it has been shown in [4] that

(8)
$$|a_{\pi}(n)|^2 \le a_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}}(n).$$

Then by equation (3.10) of [9], we have the following result.

Proposition 2.2. We have

$$\sum_{n \le x} a_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}}(n) = c_{\pi} x + O_{\epsilon,\pi}(x^{\frac{m^2-1}{m^2+1}+\epsilon}),$$

where c_{π} is a positive constant.

We also state the following result.

Theorem 2.3. Let $L(s, \pi_1)$ and $L(s, \pi_2)$ be two automorphic L-functions whose associated Dirichlet series can be written as

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a(n)}{n^s} \quad and \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b(n)}{n^s}$$

respectively. Suppose that the exponents towards the Ramanujan's conjecture for π_1 and π_2 are strictly less than 1/4. Then the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a(n)b(n)}{n^s} = L(s, \pi_1 \times \pi_2)g(s),$$

where g(s) is a Dirichlet series absolutely convergent for $\Re(s) > 1/2$ and $L(s, \pi_1 \times \pi_2)$ is the Rankin-Selberg L-series attached to π_1 and π_2 .

The above result is proved in [5, Theorem 6] where it is assumed that π_1 and π_2 satisfy Ramanujan's conjecture. But the proof goes through with a weaker hypothesis that the exponents towards the Ramanujan's conjecture for π_1 and π_2 are strictly less than 1/4. Next we prove the non-vanishing of the Dirichlet series g(s) appearing in the above theorem at the point 1 in our next proposition.

Proposition 2.4. Let $\pi_1 = \tilde{\pi}_2 = \pi$ be self-dual in Theorem 2.3. Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_{\pi}(n)|^2}{n^s} = L(s, \pi \times \pi)g(s).$$

If
$$g(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c(n)}{n^s}$$
, then the series $g(1) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c(n)}{n}$ is non-zero

To prove the above proposition, we first prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.5. The series

$$\sum_{p \text{ prime}} \frac{a_{\pi \times \pi}(p)}{p}$$

is divergent.

Proof. To prove the lemma, we prove that

(9)
$$\sum_{p \le x} a_{\pi \times \pi}(p) \sim \frac{x}{\log x}$$

where p varies over all primes less than or equal to x. To prove (9), we first show that

(10)
$$\sum_{n \le x} a_{\pi \times \pi}(n) \Lambda(n) \sim x,$$

where $\Lambda(n)$ is the von Mangoldt function. Then by partial summation, we get (9). We apply the Tauberian theorem to the Dirichlet series

$$-\frac{L'(s,\pi\times\pi)}{L(s,\pi\times\pi)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{\pi\times\pi}(n)\Lambda(n)}{n^s}.$$

By a result of Shahidi [13, Theorem 5.2], $L(s, \pi \times \pi)$ does not vanish on $\Re(s) = 1$. Also the series

$$-\frac{L'(s,\pi\times\pi)}{L(s,\pi\times\pi)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{\pi\times\pi}(n)\Lambda(n)}{n^s}$$

converges absolutely for $\Re(s) > 1$ and it is analytically continued for $\Re(s) \ge 1$ except for a simple pole at s = 1 since $L(s, \pi \times \pi)$ is analytically continued to the whole complex plane except for a simple pole at s = 1. Thus applying the Tauberian theorem, we get (10) and hence (9).

Now, by partial summation, we have

$$\sum_{p \le x} \frac{a_{\pi \times \pi}(p)}{p} = \frac{A(x)}{x} + \int_2^x \frac{A(t)}{t^2} dt,$$

where $A(x) = \sum_{p \le x} a_{\pi \times \pi}(p)$. Now using (9), we get

$$\sum_{p \le x} \frac{a_{\pi \times \pi}(p)}{p} = \frac{1}{\log x} + o\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right) + \int_2^x \left(\frac{1}{t\log t} + o\left(\frac{1}{t\log t}\right)\right) dt.$$

By simplifying, we deduce that

$$\sum_{p \le x} \frac{a_{\pi \times \pi}(p)}{p} = \log \log x + o(\log \log x).$$

This proves the result.

Proof of Proposition 2.4. Suppose that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c(n)}{n}$ is zero. Since the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{\pi \times \pi}(n)}{n^s}$$

has a simple pole at s = 1, the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|a_{\pi}(n)\right|^2}{n^s}$$

Licensed to Queen's Univ. Prepared on Fri Jan 27 14:33:06 EST 2017 for download from IP 130.15.100.65. License or copyright restrictions may apply to redistribution; see http://www.ams.org/journal-terms-of-use 569

does not have any singularity at s = 1. Then by Landau's theorem on the Dirichlet series with non-negative coefficients, there exists a real number σ such that the Dirichlet series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|a_{\pi}(n)\right|^2}{n^s}$$

is convergent for $\Re(s) > \sigma$ and it has a singularity at $s = \sigma$. Since g(s) is absolutely convergent for $\Re(s) > 1/2$, we deduce that $\sigma \le 1/2$. But we will prove that the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_{\pi}(n)|^2}{n}$$

is divergent. This will lead to a contradiction. We know that for any prime p, we have

$$\left|a_{\pi}(p)\right|^{2} = a_{\pi \times \pi}(p).$$

Thus

$$\sum_{p \text{ prime}} \frac{a_{\pi \times \pi}(p)}{p} \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_{\pi}(n)|^2}{n},$$

and the proposition follows from Lemma 2.5.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

We will apply Theorem 2.1 to prove Theorem 1.2. From (6) and (7), we have

$$\delta = 1, \ q = 0, \ r = 0, \ \beta = 1 + \epsilon, \ A = \frac{m}{2}, \ u = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2m} + \epsilon, \ x' = x + O(x^{1 - \eta - \frac{1}{m}}),$$

for any $\eta > 0$. Applying Theorem 2.1, we obtain

(11)
$$\sum_{n \le x} a_{\pi}(n) \ll x^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2m} + m\eta(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2m} + \epsilon)} + \sum_{x \le n \le x'} |a_{\pi}(n)|.$$

Now we estimate the sum $\sum_{x \le n \le x'} |a_{\pi}(n)|$. Since the coefficients $a_{\pi}(n)$ are multiplicative, it is easy to see that

(12)
$$\sum_{\substack{x \le n \le x' \\ (n,N_{\pi})=1}} |a_{\pi}(n)| \ll \sum_{\substack{x \le n \le x' \\ (n,N_{\pi})=1}} |a_{\pi}(n)|.$$

If m = 2, we have

$$a_{\pi}(n) \ll n^{\frac{7}{64} + \epsilon}.$$

Then for m = 2, (11) becomes

$$\sum_{n \le x} a_{\pi}(n) \ll x^{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\eta + 2\epsilon} + \sum_{\substack{x \le n \le x' \\ (n, N_{\pi}) = 1}} |a_{\pi}(n)| \ll x^{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\eta + 2\epsilon} + x^{\frac{39}{64} - \eta + \epsilon}.$$

Choosing $\eta = \frac{23}{96}$, we get

$$\sum_{n \le x} a_{\pi}(n) \ll x^{\frac{71}{192} + \epsilon}.$$

Licensed to Queen's Univ. Prepared on Fri Jan 27 14:33:06 EST 2017 for download from IP 130.15.100.65. License or copyright restrictions may apply to redistribution; see http://www.ams.org/journal-terms-of-use

This proves the result for m = 2. For $m \ge 3$, using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (8), we have

(13)
$$\sum_{\substack{x \le n \le x' \\ (n,N_{\pi})=1}} |a_{\pi}(n)| \ll x^{(1-\eta-\frac{1}{m})\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{x \le n \le x' \\ (n,N_{\pi})=1}} |a_{\pi}(n)|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\eta}{2}-\frac{1}{2m}} \left(\sum_{\substack{x \le n \le x' \\ (n,N_{\pi})=1}} a_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}}(n)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By Proposition 2.2, we have

(14)
$$\sum_{\substack{x \le n \le x' \\ (n, N_{\pi}) = 1}} a_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}}(n) \ll x^{1 - \eta - \frac{1}{m}} + x^{\frac{m^2 - 1}{m^2 + 1} + \epsilon},$$

for every $\eta \ge 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$. We will choose η in such a way that $1 - \eta - \frac{1}{m} \le \frac{m^2 - 1}{m^2 + 1}$. This will imply that the second term in the right hand side of the above estimate will be the dominant term. Now from (11), (13) and (14), we have

$$\sum_{n \le x} a_{\pi}(n) \ll x^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2m} + m\eta(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2m} + \epsilon)} + x^{1 - \eta - \frac{1}{m}} + x^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\eta}{2} - \frac{1}{2m} + \frac{m^2 - 1}{2(m^2 + 1)} + \epsilon}.$$

Choosing $\eta = \frac{m^2 - 1}{m(m^2 + 1)}$ in the above estimate, we see that the exponent of the first term of the right hand side equals the exponent of the third term and it is larger than the exponent of the second term. Thus we obtain

$$\sum_{n \le x} a_{\pi}(n) \ll x^{\frac{m^2 - m}{m^2 + 1} + \epsilon},$$

for any fixed $\epsilon > 0$. This completes the proof.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

We first do the analysis for general $m \geq 2$ and then we will specialize to the case when m = 2. The bounds for the coefficients $a_{\pi}(n)$ of the automorphic *L*-function associated to an automorphic irreducible cuspidal representation π of GL_m over \mathbb{Q} are given by

(15)
$$a_{\pi}(n) \ll \begin{cases} n^{\frac{7}{64}+\epsilon} & \text{if} \quad m=2, \\ n^{\frac{5}{14}+\epsilon} & \text{if} \quad m=3, \\ n^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{m^{2}+1}+\epsilon} & \text{if} \quad m\geq 4, \end{cases}$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$.

Thus in the notation of Theorem 1.1, we have

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} \frac{7}{64} + \epsilon & \text{if} \quad m = 2, \\ \frac{5}{14} + \epsilon & \text{if} \quad m = 3, \\ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{m^2 + 1} + \epsilon & \text{if} \quad m \ge 4. \end{cases}$$

From Theorem 1.2, we have

$$\beta = \begin{cases} \frac{71}{192} + \epsilon & \text{if} \quad m = 2, \\ \frac{m^2 - m}{m^2 + 1} + \epsilon & \text{if} \quad m \ge 3. \end{cases}$$

Licensed to Queen's Univ. Prepared on Fri Jan 27 14:33:06 EST 2017 for download from IP 130.15.100.65. License or copyright restrictions may apply to redistribution; see http://www.ams.org/journal-terms-of-use

Now we need to find out γ . Since π is a self-dual representation, if $\alpha < 1/4$, then by Theorem 2.3, we have

(16)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{\pi}(n)^2}{n^s} = g(s) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{\pi \times \pi}(n)}{n^s},$$

where g(s) is absolutely convergent for $\Re(s) > 1/2$. Let g(s) be given by the Dirichlet series

$$g(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c(n)}{n^s}.$$

Then from (16), for each $n \ge 1$, we have

(17)
$$a_{\pi}(n)^{2} = \sum_{d|n} c(d) a_{\pi \times \pi}(n/d).$$

Using Proposition 2.2 and the above equation, we get

$$\sum_{n \le x} a_{\pi}(n)^2 = \sum_{d \le x} c(d) \left\{ c_{\pi} \frac{x}{d} + O\left(\left(\frac{x}{d}\right)^{\frac{m^2 - 1}{m^2 + 1} + \epsilon} \right) \right\}.$$

Thus

(18)
$$\sum_{n \le x} a_{\pi}(n)^2 = c_{\pi} x \sum_{d \le x} \frac{c(d)}{d} + O(x^{\frac{m^2 - 1}{m^2 + 1} + \epsilon}).$$

The series

$$g(s) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{c(n)}{n^s}$$

is absolutely convergent for $\Re(s) > 1/2$, and by partial summation, for any $\theta > 1/2$, we have

$$\sum_{d>x} \frac{c(d)}{d^{\theta}} \ll \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{B(t)}{t^{1+\theta}} dt$$

where

$$B(t) = \sum_{n \leq t} c(n) \ll t^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon}$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$. By simplifying, we get

(19)
$$\sum_{d>x} \frac{c(d)}{d^{\theta}} \ll x^{-\theta + \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon}.$$

Inserting this estimate in (18), we obtain

$$\sum_{n \le x} a_{\pi}(n)^2 = c_{\pi}g(1)x + O(x^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}) + O(x^{\frac{m^2-1}{m^2+1}+\epsilon}).$$

Since $g(1) \neq 0$ by Proposition 2.4, we deduce that

$$\sum_{n \le x} a_{\pi}(n)^2 = c_1 x + O(x^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon}) + O(x^{\frac{m^2 - 1}{m^2 + 1} + \epsilon}),$$

Licensed to Queen's Univ. Prepared on Fri Jan 27 14:33:06 EST 2017 for download from IP 130.15.100.65. License or copyright restrictions may apply to redistribution; see http://www.ams.org/journal-terms-of-use where c_1 is a positive constant. Since $m \ge 2$, it again simplifies to

(20)
$$\sum_{n \le x} a_{\pi}(n)^2 = c_1 x + O(x^{\frac{m^2 - 1}{m^2 + 1} + \epsilon})$$

for some positive constant c_1 .

Thus we have found that if $\alpha < 1/4$, then $\gamma = \frac{m^2-1}{m^2+1} + \epsilon$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. For m = 2, $\alpha = 7/64 + \epsilon < 1/4$, $\beta = \frac{71}{192} + \epsilon$ and $\gamma = \frac{3}{5} + \epsilon$. Therefore for m = 2, we have

$$\max\{\alpha + \beta, \gamma\} = \frac{3}{5} + \epsilon < 1.$$

Thus by Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.3 follows.

5. Proof of Theorem 1.5

The idea of this proof is to apply Theorem 1.1 to the sequence $\{\lambda(n)\}_{n\geq 1}$. By (4) we have $\alpha = \epsilon$ for any $\epsilon > 0$ in this case. It has been proved in [12, Lemma 1] that

$$\sum_{n \le x} a_F(n) \ll x^{\frac{3}{5} + \epsilon}.$$

Using the above estimate and (3) we deduce that

$$\sum_{n \le x} \lambda(n) \ll x^{\frac{3}{5} + \epsilon}.$$

Thus $\beta = \frac{3}{5} + \epsilon$ in this case. Also from [7, Theorem 1.1], we have the following estimate for the second moment of $\lambda(n)$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$\sum_{n \le x} \lambda(n)^2 = c_F x + O_\epsilon \left(x^{\frac{41}{47} + \epsilon} + k^{\frac{30}{17}} x^{\frac{11}{17} + \epsilon} \right),$$

where c_F is a positive constant depending on F. Thus $\gamma = \frac{41}{47} + \epsilon$ in this case. Therefore

$$\max\{\alpha + \beta, \gamma\} = \frac{41}{47} + \epsilon < 1.$$

Hence by Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.5 follows.

6. Further remarks

The Ramanujan conjecture is known for certain automorphic forms for $GL_m(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$. If we assume the Ramanujan conjecture on the coefficients $a_{\pi}(n)$ attached to an automorphic form, then we also get a result for the number of sign changes in the interval [x, 2x]. Here also we note that the bounds obtained by Goldfeld and Sengupta [4] are sufficient to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 6.1. Let $L(s,\pi)$ be the automorphic L-function associated to an automorphic irreducible self-dual cuspidal representation π of $GL_m(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ with unitary central character satisfying the Ramanujan conjecture, and let $a_{\pi}(n)$ be its n^{th} coefficient. If the sequence $\{a_{\pi}(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of real numbers, then for any real number r satisfying $\frac{m^2-1}{m^2+1} < r < 1$, the sequence $\{a_{\pi}(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has at least one sign change for $n \in [x, x + x^r]$. Consequently, the number of sign changes of $a_{\pi}(n)$ for $n \leq x$ is $\gg x^{1-r}$ for sufficiently large x.

The Ramanujan conjecture is known to be true for the coefficients of symmetric power L-functions attached to holomorphic Hecke cusp forms. Also the automorphicity of symmetric power L-functions $Sym^{j}(s, f)$ attached to a holomorphic Hecke cusp form f is known for some values of j. Therefore in these cases we can apply Theorem 6.1. Also the exponent towards the Ramanujan conjecture attached to the symmetric square L-function attached to any Hecke Maass cusp form is $\frac{14}{64} < \frac{1}{4}$. Therefore in this case also we get a result similar to Theorem 1.3 on sign changes for the coefficients.

Acknowledgements

We thank the anonymous referee for giving valuable suggestions. The research of the second author was supported by an NSERC Discovery grant.

References

- D. Bump, J. W. Cogdell, E. de Shalit, D. Gaitsgory, E. Kowalski, and S. S. Kudla, An introduction to the Langlands program, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2003. Lectures presented at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, March 12–16, 2001; Edited by Joseph Bernstein and Stephen Gelbart. MR1990371
- [2] K. Chandrasekharan and Raghavan Narasimhan, Functional equations with multiple gamma factors and the average order of arithmetical functions, Ann. of Math. (2) 76 (1962), 93–136. MR0140491
- [3] Roger Godement and Hervé Jacquet, Zeta functions of simple algebras, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 260, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972. MR0342495
- [4] Dorian Goldfeld and Jyoti Sengupta, First moments of Fourier coefficients of GL(r) cusp forms, J. Number Theory 161 (2016), 435–443. MR3435735
- [5] S. Gun and M. R. Murty, Generalization of an identity of Ramanujan, to appear in J. Ramanujan Math. Soc..
- H. Jacquet, I. I. Piatetskii-Shapiro, and J. A. Shalika, Rankin-Selberg convolutions, Amer. J. Math. 105 (1983), no. 2, 367–464, DOI 10.2307/2374264. MR701565
- [7] Yujiao Jiang and Guangshi Lü, The average order of Hecke eigenvalues of Siegel cusp forms of genus 2, Ramanujan J. 38 (2015), no. 3, 465–480, DOI 10.1007/s11139-014-9611-6. MR3423008
- [8] Winfried Kohnen, Sign changes of Hecke eigenvalues of Siegel cusp forms of genus two, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 135 (2007), no. 4, 997–999 (electronic), DOI 10.1090/S0002-9939-06-08570-4. MR2262899
- [9] Guangshi Lü, On sums involving coefficients of automorphic L-functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (2009), no. 9, 2879–2887, DOI 10.1090/S0002-9939-09-09845-1. MR2506445
- [10] W. Luo, Z. Rudnick, and P. Sarnak, On Selberg's eigenvalue conjecture, Geom. Funct. Anal. 5 (1995), no. 2, 387–401, DOI 10.1007/BF01895672. MR1334872
- [11] Jaban Meher and M. Ram Murty, Sign changes of Fourier coefficients of halfintegral weight cusp forms, Int. J. Number Theory 10 (2014), no. 4, 905–914, DOI 10.1142/S1793042114500067. MR3208866
- [12] Emmanuel Royer, Jyoti Sengupta, and Jie Wu, Sign changes in short intervals of coefficients of spinor zeta function of a Siegel cusp form of genus 2, Int. J. Number Theory 10 (2014), no. 2, 327–339, DOI 10.1142/S1793042113500966. MR3189982
- [13] Freydoon Shahidi, On certain L-functions, Amer. J. Math. 103 (1981), no. 2, 297–355, DOI 10.2307/2374219. MR610479

[14] Rainer Weissauer, Endoscopy for GSp(4) and the cohomology of Siegel modular threefolds, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1968, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009. MR2498783

School of Mathematical Sciences, National Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhubaneswar, Via-Jatni, Khurda 752050, Odisha, India *E-mail address*: jaban@niser.ac.in

Department of Mathematics, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada K7L $3\mathrm{N6}$

E-mail address: murty@mast.queensu.ca