Based on numerical data, Pila [P0] conjectured that:

\[ s = \sum_{\nu} \frac{1}{\nu} \]

then, by partial summation, we have:

\[ s = \sum_{\nu} \frac{1}{\nu} \]

for \( R(s) < 1 \), if we define:

\[ s = \sum_{\nu} \frac{1}{\nu} \]

It is easy to see that the Liouville function \( \mu(n) \) is defined as \(-1\nu(n)\) where \( \nu(n) \) is the total number of prime factors of \( n \) counted with multiplicity. It is a completely multiplicative function and it is easy to see that

The Liouville function \( \lambda(n) \) is defined as \(\lambda(n) = (-1)^{\nu(n)}\) where \( \nu(n) \) is the total number of prime factors of \( n \) counted with multiplicity. It is a completely multiplicative function and it is easy to see that
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2. Modular analogues of Pólya's conjecture

Some remarks on the Riemann Hypothesis.
In fact, one can prove the following.

\[ L \geq \frac{1}{L_{\lambda}(\gamma)} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |(\gamma f)|^2 \]

(8.2)

The multiplicity of the above is infinite, and by Proposition 7, \( L \) is the product of \( z \) and \( x \) and \( y \).

(8.3)

Theorem 2.3. Suppose that \( L \) is a finite-dimensional vector space. Then for \( L \) and \( T \) we find that the right hand side of (8.2) is an integral in the partial sum.

\[ \frac{(\gamma f)L(\gamma)}{(\gamma f_{\lambda}(\gamma))} = (\gamma f_{\lambda})L \]

(9.1)

Now suppose that \( a(\gamma) \neq 0 \).

(9.2)

Theorem 2.4. From the previous equation, we find that the right hand side of (8.2) is an integral in the partial sum.

\[ (\gamma f_{\lambda}(\gamma)) = (\gamma f_{\lambda})L \]

(9.3)

Theorem 3.1. From the previous equation, we find that the right hand side of (8.2) is an integral in the partial sum.
The conjecture for automorphic forms of higher dimension

\[ 1 - \left( \frac{q}{n} \right) \prod_{p} \left( 1 - \frac{q}{n} \right) \prod_{p} = (\chi \otimes \chi') \gamma (\chi \chi') \gamma \]

Since an easy calculation shows that

\[ (1, \chi \chi') O = (x)^{\Delta / \chi} \]

Clearly the Riemann hypothesis for \( L(\chi, \chi') \) follows from

\[ 0 < (\chi \chi')^{\Delta} \sqrt{\theta} = (x)^{\Delta} \gamma \]

It is reasonable to ask if there is some automorphic \( L \)-function of \( \chi(\chi') \). If it is so-defined, then

**Automorphic analogues**

**Modular analogues of the Turan conjecture**
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\[ \left( \frac{\zeta}{\zeta} \right) (x, \chi) = \frac{x}{x} + \frac{1}{x} + x + \frac{x}{x} = (x)^{\Delta / \chi} \gamma \]

Lyapunov
type of orbit with

\[ \left( \frac{\zeta}{\zeta} \right) (x, \chi) = \frac{x}{x} + \frac{1}{x} + x + \frac{x}{x} = (x)^{\Delta / \chi} \gamma \]

from the point of view of its own. The zeros into double integrals of

\[ \int \frac{dx}{x} = x \]

The zero is contained by the functional equation. Let \( \chi \) be an element of

\[ \int \frac{dx}{x} = x \]

which is a modular analogue of a conjecture of

\[ \int \frac{dx}{x} = x \]

from the point of view of its own. The zeros into double integrals of

\[ \int \frac{dx}{x} = x \]

The zero is contained by the functional equation. Let \( \chi \) be an element of

\[ \int \frac{dx}{x} = x \]
6 Proof of Theorem 5.2

Some further remarks about it in the final section. We make a few more remarks about the Hamburger Hypothesis (if $f(t)$ satisfies the hypothesis, then the Hamburger hypothesis which can be formulated in the following way:

$$
\sum_{t=-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) \left( \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n \right)
$$

where $a_n$ are the Fourier coefficients. The hypothesis will be satisfied for some small $0 < \alpha < 1$. The hypothesis holds for $f(t) = (\chi(t) + \alpha) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n$, where $\chi(t)$ is the characteristic function of a finite interval. The hypothesis holds for $f(t) = (\chi(t) + \alpha) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n$, where $\chi(t)$ is the characteristic function of a finite interval.

5 Certain sums of Fourier coefficients
a quarter of the final hypotheses, which would give:

\[
\sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(x/n) \ll \frac{x}{\log x}.
\]

By Theorem 3, 2. 5, we have:

\[
\sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(x/n) \ll \frac{x}{\log x}.
\]

This gives:

\[
\sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(x/n) \ll \frac{x}{\log x}.
\]

By Theorem 3, 2.5, we have:

\[
\sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(x/n) \ll \frac{x}{\log x}.
\]

Thus, the inner sum is \(O(\sqrt{x})\), so we get easily \(S^2 \gg x^{1/2+\epsilon}\), as we had expected.
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(8) (E3) (rank)(E3) 0

\[ z^6 + 4z^4 + 6z^2 + z^6 + 6z^2 + 2z = z^6 + 3z^2 + z^6 + 6z^2 + z^6 = z^6 = \alpha : 81.3 E3 \]
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8.1.3 E3 (E3) (rank)(E3) 0

\[ z^6 + 4z^4 + 6z^2 + z^6 + 6z^2 + 2z = z^6 + 3z^2 + z^6 + 6z^2 + z^6 = z^6 = \alpha : 81.3 E3 \]

8.1.1 E1 (rank)(E1) 96

\[ z^6 + 4z^4 + 6z^2 + z^6 + 6z^2 + 2z = z^6 + 3z^2 + z^6 + 6z^2 + z^6 = z^6 = \alpha : 81.1 E1 \]

8.1.2 E2 (rank)(E2) 50

\[ z^6 + 4z^4 + 6z^2 + z^6 + 6z^2 + 2z = z^6 + 3z^2 + z^6 + 6z^2 + z^6 = z^6 = \alpha : 81.2 E2 \]

8.1.3 E3 (rank)(E3) 0

\[ z^6 + 4z^4 + 6z^2 + z^6 + 6z^2 + 2z = z^6 + 3z^2 + z^6 + 6z^2 + z^6 = z^6 = \alpha : 81.3 E3 \]
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