

## Generalization of an identity of Ramanujan

Sanoli Gun<sup>1</sup> and M. Ram Murty<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Institute for Mathematical Sciences, C.I.T Campus, Taramani, Chennai 600 113, India*

*e-mail: sanoli@imsc.res.in*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Mathematics, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, K7L 3N6, Canada*

*e-mail: murty@mast.queensu.ca*

*Communicated by: R. Sujatha*

Received: July 22, 2015

**Abstract.** In this article, we extend two identities proved by Ramanujan involving the Riemann zeta function and the Dirichlet  $L$ -function associated to the non-trivial Dirichlet character modulo 4. More precisely, given two power series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n T^n \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n T^n$$

which are both rational functions with certain property, we then explicitly show that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n b_n T^n$$

is again a rational function with the same property. We use this to explain Ramanujan's identities and also analyse Rankin-Selberg convolutions of automorphic  $L$ -functions.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 11M06, 11F66.

### 1. Introduction

For a complex number  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\Re(s) > 1$ , define the Riemann zeta function by

$$\zeta(s) := \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^s}$$

---

Research of the first author was partially supported by SERB grant and IMSc number theory project. The second author was partially supported by an NSERC Discovery grant and a Simons Fellowship.

and the Dirichlet  $L$ -function associated to the Dirichlet character  $\chi$  modulo a natural number  $q > 1$  by

$$L(s, \chi) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s}.$$

In 1916, Ramanujan (see page 83 of [8]) proved the following identities

$$\frac{\zeta(s)\zeta(s-a)\zeta(s-b)\zeta(s-a-b)}{\zeta(2s-a-b)} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\sigma_a(n)\sigma_b(n)}{n^s} \tag{1}$$

and  $\frac{\eta(s)\eta(s-a)\eta(s-b)\eta(s-a-b)}{(1-2^{-2s+a+b})\zeta(2s-a-b)} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\chi_4(n)\sigma_a(n)\sigma_b(n)}{n^s}.$

Here

$$\sigma_k(n) := \sum_{\substack{d \geq 1, \\ d|n}} d^k$$

is the divisor function and

$$\eta(s) := L(s, \chi_4),$$

where  $\chi_4$  is the non-trivial Dirichlet character modulo 4. Since  $\sigma_k(n)$  and  $\chi_4(n)$  are multiplicative functions, the Dirichlet series, in each of the cases, can be expressed as an Euler product. For instance, in the first case, we have

$$\prod_p \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_a(p^m)\sigma_b(p^m)}{p^{ms}}$$

and in the second case,

$$\prod_p \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_a(p^m)\sigma_b(p^m)\chi_4(p^m)}{p^{ms}}.$$

Each of these cases suggests the following general question; if the two power series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n T^n \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n T^n \tag{2}$$

are both rational functions, then is the power series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n b_n T^n \tag{3}$$

also a rational function?

Indeed, in each of the two examples, the power series

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sigma_a(p^m) T^m \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \chi_4(p)^m \sigma_a(p^m) T^m$$

are both rational functions. The Euler factors in the above product are then seen to be simple rational functions of  $p^{-s}$ , which then allows us to deduce Ramanujan’s identities.

These questions have a colourful history. The expression (3) is often referred to as the Hadamard product of the two power series in (2).

The question of rationality of this function seems to have been answered in the positive by Emile Borel (see [3], Theorem 7).

The more general question of the algebraicity of (3) if the series in (2) are both algebraic also seems to have received considerable attention in automata theory (see [7]). Analogous questions can be asked for polynomials in several variables and we refer the reader to [9] for further details and history.

Here, our goal is more modest. If the two series in (2) are both rational with certain property, we show that (3) is also rational with the same property and can be given explicitly. Such an explicit description is often useful in analytic number theory especially in the study of the Rankin-Selberg convolution of two automorphic  $L$ -functions (see [1] for details) as well as section 4 below. In fact, it was the application to sign change questions about coefficients of Dirichlet series attached to automorphic representations that motivated this work (see [4]). To be precise, in [5], we investigate the sign changes of Dirichlet coefficients ( $a_n$ , say) of  $L$ -series attached to a self-dual automorphic representation  $\pi$ , in which case the  $a_n$  are real. In such a study, it is essential to know the precise properties of the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n^2}{n^s},$$

such as the location of the poles, their orders and the Laurent coefficients. In particular, we need to know its precise relationship to the Rankin-Selberg  $L$ -series  $L(s, \pi \times \pi)$  (see section 4 below for elaboration). Ramanujan’s identities (1) are then seen to be special cases of this more general result.

In this regard, what one finds in the literature are imprecise results. For instance, as the referee points out, Hadamard [2], using complex analysis, had identified the singularities of (3) in terms of the singularities of the functions in (2). Borel’s work is discussed in [3]. But what is important for our applications is the shape of the numerator of the Hadamard product of the two series. We also refer the reader to the survey [6] in which there is a very special case of our result below here and this is discussed in the context of prime number theory and Ramanujan’s work.

## 2. The case of simple roots

In this section, we prove the following generalisation of the Ramanujan identities (1).

**Theorem 1.** For  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $P_i(T)$ ,  $Q_i(T)$  be non-zero polynomials over  $\mathbb{C}$  such that degree of  $P_i$  is strictly less than the degree of  $Q_i$ . Also let

$$Q_1(T) := \prod_{i=1}^r (1 - \alpha_i T) \quad \text{and} \quad Q_2(T) := \prod_{j=1}^t (1 - \beta_j T),$$

where  $\alpha_i$ 's are distinct for  $1 \leq i \leq r$  and  $\beta_j$ 's are distinct for  $1 \leq j \leq t$ . Suppose that

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n T^n = \frac{P_1(T)}{Q_1(T)} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n T^n = \frac{P_2(T)}{Q_2(T)},$$

where  $a_n, b_n \in \mathbb{C}$  for all  $n$ . Then

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n b_n T^n = \frac{R(T)}{\prod_{i,j} (1 - \alpha_i \beta_j T)},$$

where  $R(T) \in \mathbb{C}[T]$ . Now if  $a_0 = 1 = b_0$ , then  $R(0) = 1$ . Further, if we have  $P_1'(0) = 0 = P_2'(0)$ , then  $R'(0) = 0$ . Here  $P'$  denotes the derivative of  $P(T)$  with respect to  $T$ .

*Proof.* Using partial fractions, one can write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n T^n &= \frac{P_1(T)}{Q_1(T)} = \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{c_i}{1 - \alpha_i T}, \\ \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n T^n &= \frac{P_2(T)}{Q_2(T)} = \sum_{j=1}^t \frac{e_j}{1 - \beta_j T}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_i, e_j$ 's are suitable absolute constants. Then

$$a_n = \sum_{i=1}^r c_i \alpha_i^n \quad \text{and} \quad b_n = \sum_{j=1}^t e_j \beta_j^n. \quad (4)$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(T) &:= \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n b_n T^n \\
 &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \left( \sum_{i=1}^r c_i \alpha_i^n \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^t e_j \beta_j^n \right) T^n, \text{ by equation (4)} \\
 &= \sum_{i,j} c_i e_j \sum_{n \geq 0} \alpha_i^n \beta_j^n T^n \\
 &= \sum_{i,j} \frac{c_i e_j}{1 - \alpha_i \beta_j T} \\
 &= \frac{R(T)}{\prod_{i,j} (1 - \alpha_i \beta_j T)},
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $R(T) \in \mathbb{C}[T]$ . Now if  $a_0 = 1 = b_0$ , then  $a_0 b_0 = 1 = R(0)$ . Further  $a_0 = 1 = b_0$  implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^r c_i = 1 = \sum_{j=1}^t e_j. \tag{5}$$

Using (5) and the assumption that the coefficient of  $T$  in  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are zero, we get

$$S_1 := \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i = \sum_{i=1}^r c_i \alpha_i \quad \text{and} \quad S_2 := \sum_{j=1}^t \beta_j = \sum_{j=1}^t e_j \beta_j. \tag{6}$$

Write

$$D(T) := \prod_{i,j} (1 - \alpha_i \beta_j T).$$

Then  $R'(0) = (D(T)F(T))'|_{T=0} = D'(0)F(0) + F'(0)D(0)$ . Now by equation (5), we have

$$D(0) = 1 = \sum_{i,j} c_i e_j = F(0).$$

Also by equation (6), we get

$$D'(0) = - \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \beta_j = -S_1 S_2, \quad F'(0) = \sum_{i,j} c_i \alpha_i e_j \beta_j = S_1 S_2.$$

Hence the theorem. □

### 3. The case of multiple roots

Related results in the case that the denominators  $Q_1(T)$  and  $Q_2(T)$  of our rational functions have multiple roots can be derived. These will take various forms. We begin with:

**Theorem 2.** For  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $P_i(T), Q_i(T) \in \mathbb{C}[T]$  be non-zero polynomials such that degree of  $P_i$  is strictly less than the degree of  $Q_i$ . Also let

$$Q_1(T) := \prod_{i=1}^r (1 - \alpha_i T)^{\ell_i} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_2(T) := \prod_{j=1}^t (1 - \beta_j T)^{m_j},$$

where  $\alpha_i$ 's are distinct for  $1 \leq i \leq r$  and  $\beta_j$ 's are distinct for  $1 \leq j \leq t$ . Suppose that

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n T^n = \frac{P_1(T)}{Q_1(T)} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n T^n = \frac{P_2(T)}{Q_2(T)},$$

where  $a_n, b_n \in \mathbb{C}$  for all  $n$ . Then

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n b_n T^n = \frac{R^*(T)}{\prod_{i,j} (1 - \alpha_i \beta_j T)^{\ell_i + m_j - 1}},$$

where  $R^*(T) \in \mathbb{C}[T]$ . If  $a_0 = 1 = b_0$ , then  $R^*(0) = 1$ . We also have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n b_n T^n = \frac{R(T)}{\prod_{i,j} (1 - \alpha_i \beta_j T)^{\ell_i m_j}}.$$

If  $a_0 = b_0 = 1$ , then  $R(0) = 1$ . Further suppose that  $P_1'(0) = 0 = P_2'(0)$ . Then  $R'(0) = 0$ . Here  $P'$  denotes the derivative of  $P(T)$  with respect to  $T$ .

**Remark 3.** It is the second formulation of the power series that should be viewed as the analogue of our Theorem 1 in the case of multiple roots. As will be evident from the application to the Rankin-Selberg convolution discussed in section 4, it is this version that is applicable in a wide context.

*Proof.* Using partial fractions, we can write

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n T^n = \frac{P_1(T)}{Q_1(T)} = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{u=0}^{\ell_i-1} \frac{c_{i,u}}{(1 - \alpha_i T)^{\ell_i - u}}$$

and

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} b_n T^n = \frac{P_2(T)}{Q_2(T)} = \sum_{j=1}^t \sum_{v=0}^{m_j-1} \frac{e_{j,v}}{(1 - \beta_j T)^{m_j - v}},$$

where  $c_{i,u}'$ s and  $e_{j,v}'$ s are suitable absolute constants. If  $D = d/dT$  is the derivative operator, we have

$$\frac{1}{(1 - \gamma T)^k} = \frac{\gamma^{-(k-1)}}{(k-1)!} D^{(k-1)} \left( \frac{1}{1 - \gamma T} \right).$$

Then it is evident from the above that

$$a_n = \sum_{i=1}^r U_i(n) \alpha_i^n \tag{7}$$

and  $b_n = \sum_{j=1}^t V_j(n) \beta_j^n,$

where  $U_i$  and  $V_j$  are polynomials of degree  $\ell_i - 1$  and  $m_j - 1$  respectively. Hence we can write by equation (7),

$$\begin{aligned} F(T) &:= \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n b_n T^n \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{i,j} U_i(n) V_j(n) \alpha_i^n \beta_j^n T^n. \end{aligned}$$

It is convenient to introduce the Pochhammer symbol  $(n)_k$  to designate

$$n(n-1) \cdots (n-k+1)$$

for  $k \geq 1$  and 1 if  $k = 0$ . If  $D = d/dT$  is the derivative operator, then

$$D^k \left( \frac{1}{1 - \gamma T} \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n)_k \gamma^n T^{n-k}.$$

With this notation, we may write the polynomial  $R_{ij}(n) := U_i(n)V_j(n)$  which is a polynomial of degree  $\ell_i + m_j - 2$  as

$$\sum_k c_{ijk}(n)_k$$

so that our expression above becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(T) &:= \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n b_n T^n \\
 &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{i,j} \sum_k c_{ijk}(n)_k \alpha_i^n \beta_j^n T^n. \\
 &= \sum_{i,j} \sum_{k=0}^{\ell_i+m_j-2} c_{ijk} T^k D^k \left( \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_i \beta_j T} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{i,j} \sum_{k=0}^{\ell_i+m_j-2} c_{ijk} T^k \frac{k! (\alpha_i \beta_j)^k}{(1 - \alpha_i \beta_j T)^{k+1}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking common denominators, we see that we can write

$$F(T) = \frac{R^*(T)}{\prod_{i,j} (1 - \alpha_i \beta_j T)^{\ell_i+m_j-1}}.$$

If  $a_0 = b_0 = 1$ , we see immediately that  $R^*(0) = 1$ .

To deduce the final assertion of the theorem, we write

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n b_n T^n = \frac{R(T)}{\prod_{i,j} (1 - \alpha_i \beta_j T)^{\ell_i m_j}}$$

so that

$$R(T) = R^*(T) \prod_{i,j} (1 - \alpha_i \beta_j T)^{(\ell_i-1)(m_j-1)}.$$

As before,  $R(0) = 1$  if  $a_0 = b_0 = 1$ . Moreover, from the fact that

$$R(T) = \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n b_n T^n \right) \prod_{i,j} (1 - \alpha_i \beta_j T)^{\ell_i m_j},$$

we see that  $R'(0) = a_1 b_1 - (\sum_i \ell_i \alpha_i)(\sum_j m_j \beta_j) = 0$ , since

$$a_1 = \left( \frac{P_1(T)}{Q_1(T)} \right)' \Big|_{T=0} = -Q_1'(0) = \sum_i \ell_i \alpha_i$$

and

$$b_1 = \left( \frac{P_2(T)}{Q_2(T)} \right)' \Big|_{T=0} = -Q_2'(0) = \sum_j m_j \beta_j.$$

This completes the proof. □



Now that we have dealt with the multiple root case, we can safely derive the following result.

**Corollary 4.** For  $a_n, b_n \in \mathbb{C}$ , consider the power series

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n T^n = \frac{P_1(T)}{Q_1(T)} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n T^n = \frac{P_2(T)}{Q_2(T)},$$

where  $P_i, Q_i \in \mathbb{C}[T]$  for  $i = 1, 2$  are non-zero polynomials satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 2. Then for any natural number  $k, \ell \geq 1$ , we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n^k b_n^\ell T^n = \frac{R(T)}{\prod_{i_1, \dots, i_k; j_1, \dots, j_\ell} (1 - \alpha_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_{i_k} \beta_{j_1} \cdots \beta_{j_\ell} T)},$$

where  $R(T) \in \mathbb{C}[T]$ . Further if  $a_0 = 1 = b_0$  and the coefficient of  $T$  in  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are zero, then  $R(0) = 1$  and  $R'(0) = 0$ .

*Proof.* The result follows by applying Theorem 2 and an easy induction argument. □

**Remark 5.** Corollary 4 is true if we replace  $\mathbb{C}$  by any field  $K$  of characteristic zero.

#### 4. Applications to automorphic $L$ -functions

We indicate here briefly how the results of the previous section can be applied to the theory of automorphic  $L$ -functions. For the background and technical preparation, we refer the reader to [1].

**Theorem 6.** Let  $L(s, \pi_1)$  and  $L(s, \pi_2)$  be two automorphic  $L$ -functions whose associated Dirichlet series can be written as

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_n}{n^s},$$

respectively. Suppose that  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  satisfy Ramanujan's conjecture. Then the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n b_n}{n^s} = L(s, \pi_1 \times \pi_2) g(s),$$

where  $g(s)$  is a Dirichlet series absolutely convergent for  $\Re(s) > 1/2$  and  $L(s, \pi_1 \times \pi_2)$  denotes the Rankin-Selberg  $L$ -series attached to  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$ .

*Proof.* By the standard theory of automorphic  $L$ -functions, we know that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{p^n} T^n = \prod_{j=1}^{r_1} (1 - \alpha_{p,j} T)^{-1}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{p^n} T^n = \prod_{j=1}^{r_2} (1 - \beta_{p,j} T)^{-1},$$

for certain natural numbers  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ . Notice that the numerator for each of the rational functions here is 1 and thus satisfies the condition in our theorems. Thus, by our theorem, the associated  $R(T)$  is a polynomial such that  $R(0) = 1$  and  $R'(0) = 0$ . A routine calculation shows that  $R(T)$  is a polynomial of degree less than  $r_1 r_2$  whose coefficients are bounded (the bound depending only on  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ ). In this way, we easily identify the local factors of the Rankin-Selberg series attached to  $\pi_1 \times \pi_2$ . The function  $g(s)$  can be shown to converge for  $Re(s) > 1/2$  since

$$g(s) = \prod_p R(p^{-s}).$$

□

The applications of our theorem of course are not limited to the automorphic context. They are applicable whenever we have Dirichlet series, associated to a multiplicative function, whose associated  $p$ -Euler factor is a rational function in  $p^{-s}$ , for all but finitely many primes  $p$ . For instance, in Ramanujan's case, the functions  $\sigma_a(n)$  are not (in general) coefficients of automorphic  $L$ -series, except in cases where  $a$  is a positive odd integer, in which case the coefficient is coming from the classical Eisenstein series or the quasi-modular form  $E_2$ . In this way, we can deduce analytic properties of series of the form

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n \sigma_a(n)}{n^s}.$$

For instance, it is easy to check that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_a(p^n) T^n = \frac{1 + p^{a+1} T^2}{(1 - T)(1 - p^a T)},$$

so that this power series is in the form required of our main theorem.

### Acknowledgements

We thank the referees and the editors for their remarks on an earlier version of this paper.

**References**

- [1] J. Cogdell, H. Kim and M. Ram Murty, Fields institute monograph series, *Lectures on Automorphic L-functions*, American Math. Society, Providence, **20** (2004).
- [2] J. Hadamard, Théorème sur les séries entières, *Acta Math.*, **22** (1899) 55–63.
- [3] R. Jungen, Sur les séries de Taylor n'ayant que des singularités algébriques-logarithmiques sur leur cercle de convergence, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, **3** (1931) 266–306.
- [4] J. Meher and M. Ram Murty, Sign changes of Fourier coefficients of half-integral weight cusp forms, *International Journal of Number Theory*, **10** (2014) no. 4, 905–914.
- [5] J. Meher and M. Ram Murty, Sign changes of coefficients of  $L$ -series attached to self-dual automorphic representations, in preparation.
- [6] M. Ram Murty, Ramanujan and the zeta function, *Journal of the Indian Math. Society*, Special Volume (2013) 73–91.
- [7] D. Thakur, Automata and transcendence, Number theory (Tiruchirapalli, 1996), *Contemp. Math. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI*, **210** (1998) 387–399,
- [8] S. Ramanujan, Some formulae in the analytic theory of numbers, *Messenger of Mathematics*, **45** (1916) 81–84.
- [9] C. F. Woodcock and H. Sharif, Hadamard products of rational formal power series, *Journal of Algebra*, **128** (1990), 517–527.