



# An application of Mumford's gap principle

Jung-Jo Lee and M. Ram Murty<sup>\*,1</sup>

*Department of Mathematics, Queen's University, Kingston, Ont., Canada K7L 3N6*

Received 3 May 2003

Communicated by A. Granville

---

## Abstract

We study a Dirichlet series attached to a polynomial first defined by Rubin and Silverberg in their study of ranks of quadratic twists of a fixed elliptic curve. We apply Mumford's gap principle to show that the series converges if the associated polynomial has distinct roots and degree at least 5.

© 2003 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

---

## 1. Introduction

Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Suppose that  $E$  is defined by the Weierstrass equation

$$y^2 = x^3 + ax + b, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The quadratic twist, denoted  $E_D$ , of  $E$  is given by

$$Dy^2 = x^3 + ax + b.$$

By a celebrated theorem of Mordell, the group of rational points,  $E_D(\mathbb{Q})$ , of  $E_D$  is a finitely generated abelian group. Its rank has been the study of numerous papers such as [G,H,RS1,RS2].

Honda [H] has made the surprising conjecture that  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E_D(\mathbb{Q})$  is bounded as  $D$  varies over all integers. At present, there is no evidence for or against this conjecture, although in the case of function fields over finite fields, there are analogous works by

---

\*Corresponding author.

*E-mail address:* [murty@mast.queensu.ca](mailto:murty@mast.queensu.ca) (M.R. Murty).

<sup>1</sup>Research partially supported by an NSERC grant.

Shafarevich and Tate [ST] and Ulmer [U]. In a related context, Goldfeld [G] has conjectured that “on average”  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E_D(\mathbb{Q})$  is  $\frac{1}{2}$ . More precisely, Goldfeld conjectured that

$$\#\{|D| \leq x : \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E_D(\mathbb{Q}) = 0\} \sim \#\{|D| \leq x : \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E_D(\mathbb{Q}) = 1\} \sim \frac{1}{2} \#\{|D| \leq x\}$$

and

$$\#\{|D| \leq x : \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E_D(\mathbb{Q}) \geq 2\} = o(x)$$

as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .

Perhaps motivated by these conjectures, Rubin and Silverberg [RS1] derived the following equivalent formulation of Honda’s conjecture.

For each natural number  $n$ , let

$$n = \prod_p p^{v_p(n)}$$

be its unique factorization as a product of prime powers. Define the square-free part of  $n$  by

$$\text{sf}(n) = \prod_{v_p(n) \text{ odd}} p.$$

If  $n$  is a negative integer, we let  $\text{sf}(n) = -\text{sf}(-n)$ .

Now let

$$g(x) = x^3 + ax + b$$

and set

$$G(u, v) = v^4 g\left(\frac{u}{v}\right) = v(u^3 + auv^2 + bv^3).$$

For  $(u, v) = 1$ , define the height  $h(u/v) = \max\{1, \log |u|, \log |v|\}$ . It is the “naive” height with only a finite number of exceptions so that it takes positive values. Define the series

$$S_g(j, k) := \sum_{\substack{(u,v)=1 \\ G(u,v) \neq 0}} \frac{1}{|\text{sf}(G(u, v))|^k h(u/v)^j}.$$

**Theorem 1** (Rubin, Silverberg [RS1,RS2]). *If  $j$  is a positive real number, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E_D(\mathbb{Q}) < 2j$  for every  $D \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ ;
- (b)  $S_g(j, k)$  converges for some  $k \geq 1$ ;
- (c)  $S_g(j, k)$  converges for every  $k \geq 1$ .

Their theorem suggests the study of the cognate series defined as follows.

Let  $f(x)$  be a monic polynomial of degree  $r$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$  and with distinct roots. Let

$$F(u, v) = \begin{cases} v^r f\left(\frac{u}{v}\right) & \text{if } r \text{ is even,} \\ v^{r+1} f\left(\frac{u}{v}\right) & \text{if } r \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Consider the sum

$$S_f(j, k) = \sum_{\substack{(u,v)=1 \\ F(u,v) \neq 0}} \frac{1}{|\text{sf}(F(u, v))|^k h(u/v)^j}$$

We prove:

**Theorem 2.** *If  $r \geq 5$ , then  $S_f(j, k)$  converges for every  $k > 1$  and any positive real number  $j$ .*

We remark (as noted in [RS1]) that Theorem 2 is an immediate consequence of a conjecture of Caporaso et al. [CHM], namely that the number of rational points on a curve (defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  say) of genus  $g$  is bounded by a constant depending only on  $g$ , for  $g \geq 2$ . They prove that their conjecture is a consequence of the Bombieri–Lang–Vojta conjecture on distribution of rational points on varieties of general type. Indeed, if we write  $m = \text{sf}(F(u, v))$ , then

$$S_f(j, k) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^2(m)}{m^k} \left( \sum_{\substack{(u,v)=1 \\ 0 \neq F(u,v) = \pm my^2}} \frac{1}{h(u/v)^j} \right),$$

where  $\mu$  is the Möbius function, and the inner sum is uniformly bounded because

$$f\left(\frac{u}{v}\right) = \pm m \left(\frac{y}{v^{r/2}}\right)^2 \quad \text{if } r \text{ is even}$$

and

$$f\left(\frac{u}{v}\right) = \pm m \left(\frac{y}{v^{(r+1)/2}}\right)^2 \quad \text{if } r \text{ is odd}$$

has a uniformly bounded number of solutions assuming the Caporaso, Harris and Mazur conjecture. Thus the series converges for  $k > 1$  and  $j \geq 0$ .

Our goal in this paper is to prove Theorem 2 unconditionally. We will give two proofs of Theorem 2. The first one will have fewer prerequisites. The second will use the deeper work of Vojta [V] on effective versions of Faltings theorem concerning Mordell’s conjecture. Our main tool will be Mumford’s gap principle (see [La, p. 120], [Mu]) which we now describe.

**2. Mumford’s gap principle**

Let  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  be a number field,  $C/K$  a hyperelliptic curve of genus  $g \geq 2$ ,  $J/K$  the Jacobian variety of  $C$ . Let

$$e : C \hookrightarrow J$$

be an embedding of  $C$  into  $J$  of the form

$$P \mapsto [(P) - (P_0)]$$

for a fixed base point  $P_0 \in C(\bar{K})$ .

We assume that  $P_0$  is chosen so that  $\Theta = e(C^{g-1})$  is a symmetric divisor on  $J$ . Let  $\hat{h}$  be the logarithmic canonical height on  $J$  with respect to  $\Theta$ . (See [La, p. 113] for details.)

We have an inner product on  $J(\bar{K})$  corresponding to  $\hat{h}$  given by

$$\langle P, Q \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{h}(P + Q) - \hat{h}(P) - \hat{h}(Q)).$$

The norm on the points of  $J(\bar{K})$  is defined accordingly as

$$|P| = \sqrt{\langle P, P \rangle}.$$

From the well-known property of the canonical height that  $\hat{h}(kP) = k^2\hat{h}(P)$  for any natural number  $k$ , we have

$$|P| = \sqrt{\langle P, P \rangle} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\hat{h}(2P) - 2\hat{h}(P))} = \sqrt{\hat{h}(P)}.$$

Having fixed  $e$ , we identify points on  $C(\bar{K})$  with their images in  $J(\bar{K})$ .

**Proposition 3** (Mumford [Mu]). *With notations as above, there is a constant  $\gamma_2 = \gamma_2(C/K)$  such that for any two distinct points  $P, Q \in C(\bar{K})$ , we have*

$$\langle P, Q \rangle \leq \frac{|P|^2 + |Q|^2}{2g} + \gamma_2.$$

As is well-known, Mumford’s gap principle implies that rational points are *thinly* distributed. More precisely, Mumford [Mu] showed that if  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence of distinct points in  $C(\bar{K})$  lying in some finitely generated subgroup of  $J(\bar{K})$  and ordered by increasing height then there is an integer  $N$  and a number  $a > 1$  such that

$$|x_{n+N}| \geq a|x_n|.$$

Mumford then deduced that  $|x_n|$  grows exponentially. In this sense, the points of  $C(\bar{K})$  are sparsely distributed in  $J(\bar{K})$ .

For our purpose, we need to make the argument of Mumford more explicit. To this end, the following lemma, due to Silverman [Si] will be useful.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $0 < \theta_0 < \frac{\pi}{2}$  be a fixed angle, and let  $z_1, \dots, z_N \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be a collection of points in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . If*

$$N > \frac{(d-1)\pi}{2 \sin^{d-1}(\theta_0/2)},$$

*then there are distinct indices  $i \neq j$ , such that*

$$\langle z_i, z_j \rangle \geq |z_i||z_j| \cos \theta_0.$$

**Proof.** See [Si, p. 388]. A related packing argument can also be found in [K].  $\square$

If we define the cosine between two vectors  $v$  and  $w$  by

$$\cos(v, w) := \frac{\langle v, w \rangle}{|v||w|},$$

we may write the Mumford’s inequality as

$$g \cos(P, Q) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{|P|}{|Q|} + \frac{|Q|}{|P|} \right) + \frac{\gamma_2}{|P||Q|},$$

provided  $|P|, |Q|$  are non-zero.

Now fix  $\theta_0$  as in Lemma 4. We apply the lemma to the elements  $x_i$  with

$$R + 1 \leq i \leq R + N$$

where  $R$  is any non-negative integer and

$$N = \left\lceil \frac{(d-1)\pi}{2 \sin^{d-1}(\theta_0/2)} \right\rceil + 1.$$

By the lemma, there is a pair  $R < i < j \leq R + N$  such that

$$\cos \theta_0 \leq \cos(x_i, x_j).$$

Thus, by Mumford’s inequality

$$g \cos \theta_0 \leq g \cos(x_i, x_j) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{|x_i|}{|x_j|} + \frac{|x_j|}{|x_i|} \right) + \frac{\gamma_2}{|x_i||x_j|}.$$

The function  $f(s) = \frac{1}{2}(s + s^{-1})$  is easily analyzed and we see that it takes its minimum (for  $s > 0$ ) at  $s = 1$  with  $f(1) = 1$ .

If we insist that  $|x_i| > 2\sqrt{\gamma_2}$  (say), then with  $\theta_0$  chosen close to 0, we obtain

$$g(1 - \varepsilon) = g \cos \theta_0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{|x_i|}{|x_j|} + \frac{|x_j|}{|x_i|} \right) + \frac{1}{4}.$$

As  $g \geq 2$ , this implies there is an  $a$  (depending only on  $\varepsilon$ ) so that

$$|x_j| \geq a|x_i|.$$

Moreover,  $a > 1$ . [In fact, if  $|x_i|$  is very large,  $\varepsilon$  small, then  $a$  is approximately the number  $s$  such that  $s + s^{-1} = 2g$ .] The important point is that  $a$  depends only on  $\gamma_2$  (with  $\varepsilon$  chosen sufficiently small). We record our observation in the following.

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $C$  be a curve of genus  $g \geq 2$  defined over a number field  $K$ . Let*

$$e : C \hookrightarrow J$$

*be the embedding of  $C$  into its Jacobian as before. Let  $\hat{h}$  be the canonical height on  $J$  with respect to  $\Theta$ . There exists a constant  $\gamma_2$  depending only on  $C$  and an absolute constant  $a > 1$  such that if  $L$  is any finite extension of  $K$ , and  $\{x_n\}$  is the sequence of points of  $C(L)$  ordered by increasing height, then for  $|x_n| > 2\sqrt{\gamma_2}$ , we have*

$$|x_{n+N}| \geq a|x_n|$$

*for  $N$  explicitly given in terms of  $d = \text{rank} J(L)$ . In fact, we have  $N \asymp dc_1^d$  for some constant  $c_1$  which depends only on  $\theta_0$  of Lemma 4.*

**Proof.** This follows immediately from the preceding discussion. It is an effective version of the Mumford’s gap principle, analogous to Theorem 8.1 of [La, p. 135].

**Remark.** Several authors have discussed effective versions of Mumford’s theorem. The first such statement seems to be in [Si] and later in [Di, p. 92]. As these latter presentations do not state the result in the form we need it, we have given the discussion above, closely following [La,Si].

We now apply this to the case of a hyper-elliptic curve. Let  $f(x)$  be a polynomial with  $\mathbb{Z}$ -coefficients, of degree  $r \geq 5$ , and with distinct roots. Then the curve

$$C : y^2 = f(x)$$

has genus  $g \geq 2$  (see [Si2, p. 44]).

If we are interested in the rational points of the twists

$$C_m : my^2 = f(x),$$

then these can be viewed as points of  $C(L)$  with  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ . The Mordell–Weil theorem tells us that if  $J_m$  is the Jacobian of  $C_m$ , then  $J_m(L)$  is finitely generated.

Moreover, if  $y \neq 0$ , then the height of a rational point  $P = (x, y) \in C_m(\mathbb{Q})$  satisfies (see [Si3])

$$\hat{h}(P) \gg \log |m|.$$

We will need the following well-known result of Northcott in later discussions.

**Proposition 6** (Northcott). *Let  $K$  be a number field,  $V/K$  a projective variety and  $h : V(\bar{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  an absolute logarithmic height relative to an ample divisor on  $V$ . Then for all numbers  $d$ , and  $H$ , the set*

$$\{P \in V(\bar{K}) : [K(P) : K] \leq d \text{ and } h(P) \leq H\}$$

is finite.

**Proof.** See [La, p. 59].

With a view to proving Theorem 2, we record below the consequence of the preceding discussion to our situation.

**Proposition 7.** *Let  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be a polynomial of degree  $r \geq 5$  and with distinct roots. Let*

$$F(u, v) = \begin{cases} v^r f\left(\frac{u}{v}\right) & \text{if } r \text{ is even,} \\ v^{r+1} f\left(\frac{u}{v}\right) & \text{if } r \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

There are constants  $\gamma_3$  and  $c$ , depending only on  $f$ , such that for  $|m| > \gamma_3$ , we have

$$\sum_{\substack{(u,v)=1 \\ 0 \neq F(u,v)=my^2}} \frac{1}{h(u/v)^j} \ll c^{\text{rank}_{\bar{K}} J_m(\mathbb{Q})}$$

for any positive real number  $j$ .

**Proof.** First, note that  $y \neq 0$ . We choose  $m$  sufficiently large to ensure all rational points of  $C_m$  have height  $> 2\sqrt{\gamma_2}$  so that we can apply Lemma 5. The points  $(u, v)$  with  $u, v$  coprime and satisfying

$$F(u, v) = my^2$$

correspond to the points

$$P = \left( \frac{u}{v}, \pm \frac{\sqrt{my}}{v^{r/2}} \right)$$

(if  $r$  is even) and

$$P = \left( \frac{u}{v}, \pm \frac{\sqrt{my}}{v^{(r+1)/2}} \right)$$

(if  $r$  is odd) in the set  $C(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}))$  of the hyper-elliptic curve

$$C : y^2 = f(x).$$

We order the countable set of points in  $C(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}))$  in the order of increasing height to have a sequence  $\{x_n\}$ . (Of course, by Faltings theorem, this is a finite sequence but we are not assuming Faltings theorem.)

Let  $h_x(P)$  denote the naive height on the  $x$ -coordinate of  $P$ . Then

$$h_x(P) \asymp \hat{h}(P).$$

By Northcott’s theorem (Proposition 6),

$$\bigcup_K J(K)_{\text{tor}},$$

where the union is over all quadratic extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , is a finite set. Thus, if a torsion point lies in infinitely many  $C(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}))$ , then  $y = 0$  necessarily. In other words, if  $m$  is sufficiently large, then the only torsion points of  $F(u, v) = my^2$  come from points with  $y = 0$ , and these have been eliminated from the sum under consideration. Thus we see that the convergence of the sum in question is determined by

$$\sum_{x_n \in C(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})) - C(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}))_{\text{tor}}} \frac{1}{\hat{h}(x_n)^j}.$$

We partition the indices  $n$  in this sum into residue classes (mod  $N$ ) with  $N$  given as in Lemma 5. For each such residue class  $t \pmod{N}$ , and  $n = qN + t$ , we have by Lemma 5

$$\hat{h}(x_n) = |x_n|^2 > a^{2q} |x_t|^2 = a^{2 \cdot (n-t)/N} |x_t|^2.$$

As  $a > 1$ , we find that for each residue class  $t \pmod{N}$ , the contribution is

$$\ll |x_t|^{-2j}.$$

Summing this for  $t \pmod{N}$  gives an estimate of  $O(N)$  as the bound for the sum in question. Then

$$N \asymp (\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} J_m(\mathbb{Q})) c_1^{\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} J_m(\mathbb{Q})}$$

gives us an upper bound

$$N \ll c^{\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} J_m(\mathbb{Q})}$$

with  $c = 2c_1$  as our final estimate for the sum.  $\square$

### 3. Proof of Theorem 2

We will show that  $S_f(j, k)$  converges for  $k > 1$  and any positive real number  $j$ . We write the sum as

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^2(m)}{m^k} \sum_{\substack{(u,v)=1 \\ 0 \neq F(u,v) = \pm my^2}} \frac{1}{h(u/v)^j}.$$

If  $m$  is sufficiently large, say  $m > \gamma_3$ , then we can apply the estimate of Proposition 7 to deduce the convergence of the series. If  $\hat{h}(P)$  is sufficiently large, say  $\hat{h}(P) > 4\gamma_2$ , then we still can apply Lemma 5 so that the arguments of Proposition 7 remain valid to deduce the convergence. Here  $\gamma_2$  and  $\gamma_3$  are as given in Lemma 5 and Proposition 7. The sum of the remaining terms is finite by the result of Northcott. (See Proposition 6.) From  $\hat{h}(P) \asymp h(P)$ , we know that there exists a constant  $H$  such that if  $\hat{h}(P) \leq 4\gamma_2$  then  $h(P) \leq H$  to apply Proposition 6.

Thus, in analyzing  $S_f(j, k)$ , we may apply Proposition 7. We deduce

$$S_f(j, k) \ll \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{c^{\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} J_m(\mathbb{Q})}}{m^k}.$$

There are several ways to complete the proof. The standard descent argument (see [Si2]) gives

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} J_m(\mathbb{Q}) \ll v(m) + O(1)$$

where  $v(m)$  denotes the number of prime divisors of  $m$  (see [H, p. 95] or [Si], [Si1]).

We have the estimate, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$c^{v(m)} \ll m^\varepsilon$$

which gives the desired result. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

### 4. An alternate proof

As a consequence of his work on effective versions of Faltings theorem on Mordell’s conjecture, Vojta [V], [Bo] proved the following bound. (See [HS, Exercise

E.2].) Let  $C/K$  be a curve of genus  $\geq 2$  defined over a number field  $K$ , let  $h : C(\bar{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a height function on  $C$  corresponding to a projective embedding of  $C$ , and let  $J/K$  be the Jacobian variety of  $C$ . There is a constant  $\gamma$  (depending only on  $C/K$ ) such that for all extensions  $L/K$ ,

$$\#\{P \in C(L) : h(P) \geq \gamma\} \leq \#J(L)_{\text{tor}} (\log_2 \gamma) 10^{\text{rank} J(L)}.$$

If we vary over extensions  $L$  of fixed degree, then Northcott's theorem (Proposition 6) implies that  $\#J(L)_{\text{tor}}$  is uniformly bounded. Thus, the sum appearing in Theorem 2 is bounded by

$$\ll \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{10^{\text{rank}_Z J_m(\mathbb{Q})}}{m^k},$$

which by the previous argument, converges for  $k > 1$  if we use the bound

$$v(m) = O\left(\frac{\log m}{\log \log m}\right).$$

However, Vojta's theorem is rather deep. Our purpose here was to prove Theorem 2 using the "simpler" result embodied in Mumford's gap principle.

## Acknowledgments

We are thankful to Joseph Silverman, Ernst Kani, Michael Roth and the referee for providing comments and suggestions.

## References

- [Bo] E. Bombieri, The Mordell conjecture revisited, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Ser. IV* 17 (1990) 615–640;  
E. Bombieri, Corrigendum, 18 (1991) 473.
- [CHM] L. Caporaso, J. Harris, B. Mazur, Uniformity of rational points, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 10 (1997) 1–35.
- [Di] T. de Diego, Points rationnels sur les familles de courbes de genre au moins 2, *J. Number Theory* 67 (1997) 85–114.
- [G] D. Goldfeld, Conjectures on elliptic curves over quadratic fields, in: M.B. Nathanson (Ed.), *Number Theory, Carbondale 1979* (Proc. Southern Illinois Conf., 1979).
- [HS] M. Hindry, J. Silverman, *Diophantine Geometry*, GTM 201, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [H] T. Honda, Isogenies, rational points and section points of group varieties, *Jpn. J. Math.* 30 (1960) 84–101.
- [K] E. Kani, Bounds on the number of non-rational subfields of a function field, *Invent. Math.* 85 (1986) 185–198.
- [La] S. Lang, *Fundamentals of Diophantine Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [Mu] D. Mumford, A remark on Mordell's conjecture, *Amer. J. Math.* 87 (4) (1965) 1007–1016.

- [RS1] K. Rubin, A. Silverberg, Ranks of elliptic curves in families of quadratic twists, *Exp. Math.* 9 (4) (2000) 583–590.
- [RS2] K. Rubin, A. Silverberg, Ranks of elliptic curves, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 39 (2002) 455–474.
- [Si] J. Silverman, A uniform bound for rational points on twists of a given curve, *J. London Math. Soc.* 47 (2) (1993) 385–394.
- [Si1] J. Silverman, Representations of integers by binary forms and the rank of the Mordell–Weil group, *Invent. Math.* 74 (1983) 281–292.
- [Si2] J. Silverman, *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, Springer, Berlin, 1986.
- [Si3] J. Silverman, Lower bounds for height functions, *Duke Math. J.* 51 (1984) 395–403.
- [ST] I.R. Shafarevich, J. Tate, The rank of elliptic curves (Russian), *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 175 (1967) 770–773.
- [U] D. Ulmer, Elliptic curves with large rank over function fields, *Ann. of Math.* 155 (2,1) (2002) 295–315.
- [V] P. Vojta, Siegel’s theorem in the compact case, *Annals of Math.* 133 (1991) 509–548.